

VI. 3. Weak Derivatives.

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open.

Recall that for $f \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ and $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$

integration by parts gives

$$\int_{\Omega} f D^\alpha \varphi = (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_{\Omega} (D^\alpha f) \cdot \varphi.$$

We use this to define weak derivatives:

Def. VII.2, let $f, h \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$. Then h is

the weak α -th partial derivative of f on

Ω if

$$\int_{\Omega} f D^\alpha \varphi = (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_{\Omega} h \cdot \varphi, \quad \forall \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega).$$

Observe that since φ and $D^\alpha \varphi$ are compactly supported, these integrals make sense.

Our first task is to show that if such a weak derivative exists, it is unique. This

will follow from

Lemma VII.22. Let $h \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$. If

$\int h \varphi = 0 \quad \forall \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ then $h = 0$ a.e.

Proof: Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$ arbitrary and let $r > 0, \varepsilon > 0$

with $B(x) \subset \mathbb{R}$. Let $x = x_{\substack{B(x) \\ \leq r+\varepsilon}}$.

Then $x \cdot h \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Choose an approximate

identity δ_ε where in addition $\text{supp } \delta \subset B(0)$

and $\delta(t) = \delta(-t)$. For every $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$

a computation gives

$$\int (\delta_\varepsilon * x \cdot h) \varphi = \int x \cdot h \cdot (\varphi * \delta_\varepsilon).$$

If now $\text{supp } \varphi \subset B(x) \subset B(x) \subset B(x) \subset B(x)$ then

$$\int (\delta_\varepsilon * x \cdot h) \varphi = \int h (\varphi * \delta_\varepsilon) = 0$$

since $\text{supp } (\varphi * \delta_\varepsilon) \subset B(x) \subset B(x) \subset B(x) \subset \mathbb{R}$.

By Prop VII.16, $\delta_\varepsilon * (x \cdot h) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$

and hence its restriction to $B_{\zeta_r}(x)$ is L^2 .

Since $C_0^\infty(B_{\zeta_r}(x))$ is dense in $L^2(B_{\zeta_r}(x))$

we get $\delta_\varepsilon * x \cdot h = 0$ in $B_{\zeta_r}(x)$. Since

$\delta_\varepsilon * x \cdot h \rightarrow x \cdot h$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we get

$$x \cdot h = 0 \text{ in } B_{\zeta_r}(x)$$

and hence $h = 0$ in $B_{\zeta_r}(x)$. □

Notation VII.23 If h is the weak α -partial

derivative of f , we write $h = D_w^\alpha f$. In

particular it follows from lemma VII.22 that

if $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $D_w^\alpha f = D^\alpha f$.

Example VII.24. Let $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$ and assume

there are $t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_e$ with $f|_{(-\infty, t_e]}$
 ~~$f|_{(t_1, t_2)}, \dots, f|_{(t_{e-1}, t_e)}, f|_{(t_e, \infty)}$ are differentiable~~

Example VII. 24. Let $\alpha > 0$ and $f(t) = |t|^\alpha$

Then $f \in L'_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$ and the weak derivative

$\frac{d}{dt} w f$ exists and it $= \alpha \operatorname{sgn}(x) |x|^{\alpha-1}$.

Now we can define Sobolev spaces $W^{p,k}(\mathbb{R})$:

Def. VII. 25

$W^{p,k}(\mathbb{R}) = \{f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : D_w^\alpha f \text{ exists for all } |x| \leq k \text{ and } D_w^\alpha f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})\}$.

We define the norm on $W^{p,k}(\mathbb{R})$ by:

$$\|f\|_{p,k} := \sum_{|x| \leq k} \|D_w^\alpha f\|_p.$$

Prop. VII. 26 $W^{p,k}(\mathbb{R})$ is a Banach space.

Proof: Let $(f_k)_{k \geq 1}$ be a cauchy sequence in $W^{p,k}(\mathbb{R})$. Then $\forall \alpha, 1 \leq k, (D_w^\alpha f_k)_{k \geq 1}$ is a C.S. in $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ and hence has a limit

$f^\alpha \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$; let $f = f^\alpha$ for $\alpha = (0, \dots, 0)$.

Observe that $f^\alpha \in L^r(\mathbb{R}) \subset L_{loc}^p(\mathbb{R}) \subset L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R})$.

By definition we have $\forall \alpha, |\alpha| \leq k$ and

$\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$:

$$\int f_n D^\alpha \varphi = (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int (D_w^\alpha f_n) \cdot \varphi$$

but as $D_w^\alpha f_n \rightarrow f^\alpha$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ and

$\varphi \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ we get

$$\int (D_w^\alpha f_n) \cdot \varphi \rightarrow \int f^\alpha \cdot \varphi.$$

Since $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ we get

$$\int f D^\alpha \varphi = (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int f^\alpha \cdot \varphi$$

and hence $D_w^\alpha f = f^\alpha$. □

Remark VII.27 $W^{s,k}(\Omega)$ is a Hilbert

space; in fact for $f_1, f_2 \in W^{s,k}(\Omega)$

$$\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \langle D_w^\alpha f_1, D_w^\alpha f_2 \rangle$$

leads to the norm

$$\|f\| = \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \|D_w^\alpha f\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

which is equivalent to $\|f\|_{2,k}$.

Let $C_{p,k}^\infty(\Omega) := \left\{ f \in C^\infty(\Omega) : \|D^\alpha f\|_p < +\infty \forall |\alpha| \leq k \right\}$.

Then $C_{p,k}^\infty(\Omega) \subset W^{s,k}(\Omega)$ and

it is a fact that the former is dense in the latter for any open $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. The

proof of this is rather delicate and here we will show it for $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$.

To this end we collect some simple facts about weak derivatives which will be also useful later on in the proof of the Sobolev embedding theorem.

Lemma VII.28 :

-
- (a) If $f \in W^{r,k}(\mathbb{R})$ and $|x|+|\beta| \leq h$
then $D_w^\alpha (D_w^\beta f) = D_w^{\alpha+\beta} f$.
- (b) If $f \in W^{r,k}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$
then $\varphi \cdot f \in W^{r,k}(\mathbb{R})$.
- (c) If ~~$\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$~~ and $f \in W^{r,k}(\mathbb{R}^n)$
then $\varphi \ast f \in C_{p,k}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and
 $D^\alpha (\varphi \ast f) = \varphi \ast D_w^\alpha f \quad \text{if } |\alpha| \leq k$.

Proof:

(a) We have $\forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$:

$$\int D_w^\alpha (D_w^3 f) \varphi = (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int (D_w^\alpha f) (D^3 \varphi)$$

$$= (-1)^{|\alpha|+1} \int f (D^{|\alpha|+3} \varphi)$$

$$= \int (D_w^{\alpha+3} f) \cdot \varphi .$$

By Lemma V6. 22 we get

$$D_w^\alpha (D_w^3 f) = D_w^{\alpha+3} f.$$

(b) Let $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$; we may assume $k \geq 1$.

$$\int (\varphi \cdot f) \partial_j^k \varphi = \int f \cdot \varphi \cdot \partial_j^k \varphi = \int f [\partial_j^k (\varphi \cdot \varphi) - \varphi \partial_j^k \varphi]$$

$$= \int f \partial_j^k (\varphi \cdot \varphi) - \int f \partial_j^k \varphi \cdot \varphi$$

$$= - \int (\partial_j^k f) \varphi \cdot \varphi - \int f \cdot \partial_j^k \varphi \cdot \varphi$$

$$-\overline{V^k} - u_1 -$$

$$= - \int [(\partial_j^w f) \cdot \varphi + f \cdot \partial_j \varphi] \cdot \psi$$

Since $\partial_j^w f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ and $f \in L^r(\mathbb{R})$

so is $(\partial_j^w f) \varphi + f \cdot \partial_j \varphi$ and hence

$\partial_j^w (\varphi \cdot f)$ exists and is in $L^p(\mathbb{R})$, ~~Hence~~

~~exists~~ and $\partial_j^w (\varphi \cdot f) = \varphi \cdot \partial_j^w f + f \cdot \partial_j \varphi$.

$\forall 1 \leq j \leq n$. One completes the proof by

recurrence on $|\alpha|$ using the formula

$$D^\alpha (\varphi \cdot \psi) = \sum_{0 \leq \beta \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} D^\beta \varphi D^{\alpha-\beta} \psi$$

and integration by parts.

(c) We know that $\varphi * f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by

Prop. IV. 16, and also $\varphi * D_w^\alpha f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$\forall |\alpha| \leq k$ by Prop. IV. 15. Thus it suffices

to show that $D^\alpha (\varphi * f) = \varphi * D_w^\alpha f$

$\forall 1 < k \leq k$. We have $\forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\int (\varphi * D_w^\alpha f) \varphi = \int (D_w^\alpha f) (\hat{\varphi} * \varphi)$$

where we set $\hat{\varphi}(x) = \varphi(-x)$. The latter

equals then

$$= (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int f D^\alpha (\hat{\varphi} * \varphi)$$

$$\hat{\varphi} * D^\alpha \varphi$$

$$= (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int (\varphi * f) D^\alpha \varphi$$

~~which implies~~ $= \int D^\alpha (\varphi * f) \cdot \varphi$

and shows $D^\alpha (\varphi * f) = \varphi * D_w^\alpha f$.

□

Prop. VII. 29 $1 \leq p < +\infty$; then

$C_{p,k}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $W^{p,k}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof: Fix an approximation of unity

δ_ε ~~with $\delta_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$~~ . Let $f \in W^{p,k}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

By lemma VII. 28, $\delta_\varepsilon * f \in C_{p,k}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$

and $D^\alpha(\delta_\varepsilon * f) = \delta_\varepsilon * D_w^\alpha f$, $|\alpha| \leq k$.

By prop. VII. 18 (2) we have for $|\alpha| \leq k$,

$\delta_\varepsilon * D_w^\alpha f \rightarrow D_w^\alpha f$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$

and hence $D^\alpha(\delta_\varepsilon * f) \rightarrow D_w^\alpha f$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

✓

VII.4. Sobolev embedding theorems.

The aim of this section is to prove

Thm VII.30 (Sobolev) If $f \in W^{2,k}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

and $k > r + \frac{n}{2}$ then $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Moreover the inclusion $W^{2,k}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow C_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$

is a bounded operator.

Remark VII.31 More precisely if

$f \in W^{2,k}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, f coincides almost

everywhere with a C^r -function that
is bounded.

Interestingly, while in the statement of
the Theorem there is no Fourier transform,

the latter is a crucial tool in the proof.

We proceed the proof with three lemmas.

Lemma VII. 32 If $f \in W^{2,k}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then

$$\forall |\alpha| \leq k \quad \widehat{(D_w^\alpha f)}(\xi) = i^{|\alpha|} \xi^\alpha \widehat{f}(\xi)$$

in particular $\xi^\alpha \widehat{f} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad \forall |\alpha| \leq k$.

Proof: As usual the proof is by induction on k and we'll do it for $k=1$.

Let $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then since

$\partial_j^\omega f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we have by Plancheral,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \widehat{\partial_j^\omega f}, \widehat{\varphi} \rangle &= \langle \partial_j^\omega f, \varphi \rangle \\ &= - \langle f, \partial_j \varphi \rangle \\ &= - \langle \widehat{f}, \widehat{\partial_j \varphi} \rangle \quad (\text{Plancheral}) \end{aligned}$$

By Prop. VII. 5 (1) we have :

$$\widehat{\partial_j \varphi}(\xi) = i \xi_j \widehat{\varphi}(\xi)$$

and thus

$$-\langle \hat{f}, \widehat{\partial_j \varphi} \rangle = -\int \widehat{f}(\xi) \overline{\widehat{\partial_j \varphi}(\xi)} dm(\xi)$$

$$= \int \widehat{f}(\xi) i \xi_j \overline{\widehat{\varphi}(\xi)} dm(\xi)$$

$$= \langle i \xi_j \hat{f}, \hat{\varphi} \rangle$$

And since $\left\{ \hat{\varphi} : \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \right\}$ is dense in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we get

$$\left(\widehat{\partial_j^w f} \right)(\xi) = i \xi_j \widehat{f}(\xi).$$



For the sake of the applications we have in mind we formulate the next lemma in terms of the inverse Fourier transform, which we recall is given by

$$\tilde{h}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(\xi) e^{i \langle x, \xi \rangle} dm(\xi).$$

Lemma VII.33 Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$, assume

$h \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\xi^\alpha h \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$\forall |\alpha| \leq r$. Then $\tilde{h} \in C_b^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$D^\alpha \tilde{h}(x) = i^{|\alpha|} (\widehat{\xi^\alpha h})(x).$$

Proof: As usual, a recurrence on r ;

We do the case $r = 1$. Now

$$\tilde{h}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(\xi) e^{i \langle x, \xi \rangle} dm(\xi)$$

is continuous, and ψ is \mathcal{S} in:

$$G_j(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(\xi) i \xi_j e^{i \langle x, \xi \rangle} dm(\xi)$$

is also continuous, since by hypothesis,

$$\xi_j \cdot h \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

It follows then from Lemma VII.17 that

$$\tilde{h} \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ and } \partial_j \tilde{h} = i (\widetilde{\xi_j \cdot h}) \quad \blacksquare$$

Lemma VII.34. Let $r \geq 0$. Assume

$$f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ and } \xi^\alpha \hat{f} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad (\alpha \leq r).$$

Then $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$D^\alpha f = i^{|\alpha|} (\widetilde{\xi^\alpha \hat{f}})$$

Proof: Apply the preceding lemma to

$h = \hat{f}$: then we got that $\tilde{h} \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$

and $D^\alpha \tilde{h}(x) = i^{|\alpha|} (\widetilde{\xi^\alpha h})(x)$.

Now use the hypothesis $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to

conclude $\tilde{h} = \widetilde{\hat{f}} = f$. □

Proof of Sobolev :

By lemma VII. 32 we have $\xi^\alpha \hat{f} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$\forall |\alpha| \leq k$. In order to show that

$f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$ it suffices to show that

$\xi^\alpha \hat{f} \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad \forall |\alpha| \leq r$. To this end,

write $\xi^\alpha \hat{f} = h_1 \cdot h_{2,\alpha}$ where

$$h_1 = (1 + \|\xi\|^k)^{-1} \hat{f}$$

$$h_{2,\alpha} = \frac{\xi^\alpha}{(1 + \|\xi\|^k)}.$$

And let's show that $h_1, h_{2,\alpha} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

This will then imply, $|\alpha| \leq r$.

$$\|\xi^\alpha \hat{f}\|_1 \leq \|h_\alpha\|_2 \|h_{2-\alpha}\|_\infty$$

Lemma IV. 34 will then imply that

$f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and:

$$D^\alpha f = i^{|\alpha|} (\xi^\alpha \hat{f})$$

$$\text{and thus } \|D^\alpha f\|_\infty \leq \|\xi^\alpha \hat{f}\|_1.$$

On the other hand we will relate

$\|h_\alpha\|_2$ to the Sobolev norm of \hat{f} which will conclude the proof.

To estimate $\|h_\alpha\|_2$ use that

$$\|\xi\| \leq \sum_{j=1}^n |\xi_j|, \text{ hence}$$

$$(1 + \|\xi\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq (1 + \|\xi_j\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^n |\xi_j|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and Hölder's inequality applies to the RHS

$$-\overline{vu} = r \cdot -$$

$$\|\beta\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n |\beta_i| \leq n^{1-\frac{1}{k}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |\beta_i|^k \right)^{1/k}$$

that is $\|\beta\|^k \leq n^{k-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |\beta_i|^k \right)$.

Thus

$$\|h_1\| \leq n^{k-1} \left\{ \|f\| + \sum_{i=1}^n |\beta_i|^k \|f\| \right\}.$$

Which implies using Lemma VII. 32

and Plancheral:

$$\begin{aligned} \|h_1\|_2 &\leq n^{k-1} \left\{ \|f\|_2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \|\partial_i^k f\|_2 \right\} \\ &\leq n^{k-1} \|f\|_{2,k}. \end{aligned}$$

Next: $|h_{2,\infty}(\xi)| \leq \frac{\|\xi\|^{1-k}}{1 + (\|\xi\|)^k} \leq \frac{\|\xi\|^n}{1 + (\|\xi\|)^k}$

But in polar coordinates

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\|\xi\|^{2r}}{(1 + \|\xi\|)^k} = C_n \cdot \int_0^\infty dr r^{n-1} \frac{r^{2r}}{(1 + r^k)^2}$$

- VII - 52 -

which converges $\Leftrightarrow k > r + \frac{n}{r}$.

