## Week 7: Different notions of convergence of random variables

Submission of solutions. Feedback can be given on Exercise 1 and any other exercise from the Training exercises. If you want to hand in, do it so by Monday 6/11/2023 17:00 (online) following the instructions on the course website
https://metaphor.ethz.ch/x/2023/hs/401-3601-ooL/

Please pay attention to the quality, the precision and the presentation of your mathematical writing.

## 1 Exercise covered during the exercise class

The following exercise will be covered during the exercise class.
Exercise 1. Let $\lambda>0$ and let $X$ be a real random variable such that $\mathbb{P}(X \geq a)=a^{-\lambda}$ for all $a \geq 1$.
(1) Show that $X$ has a density and give its expression.

Let $\left(X_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of independent random variables with the same distribution as $X$. We define

$$
T_{n}=\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}\right)^{1 / n}
$$

(2) As $n \rightarrow \infty$, does $T_{n}$ converge almost surely? Justify your answer.
(3) As $n \rightarrow \infty$, does $T_{n}$ converge in probability? Justify your answer.
(4) Does $\mathbb{E}\left[T_{n}^{2}\right]$ converge as $n \rightarrow \infty$ ? Justify your answer.
(5) As $n \rightarrow \infty$, does $T_{n}$ converge in $L^{1}$ ? Justify your answer.

## Solution:

(1) Observe that the cdf of $X$ is given by $\mathbb{P}(X \leq a)=1-a^{-\lambda}$ for $a \geq 1$ and $\mathbb{P}(X \leq a)=0$ for $a<1$. The cdf is piece-wise $C^{1}$, so $X$ has a density given by $-\mathbb{1}_{x \geq 1} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} x} x^{-\lambda}=\mathbb{1}_{x \geq 1} \frac{\lambda}{x^{\lambda+1}}$
(2) Yes, $T_{n}$ converges almost surely. Observe that $\mathbb{P}(X \geq 1)=1$, and that $\mathbb{P}(\ln (X) \geq a)=e^{-\lambda a}$ for every $a \geq 0$. Thus $\ln (X)$ follows an exponential law of parameter $\lambda$. In addition,

$$
\ln \left(T_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln \left(X_{i}\right)
$$

By the composition principle, the random variables $\ln \left(X_{1}\right), \ldots, \ln \left(X_{n}\right)$ are independent with same law distibuted as an exponential random variable of parameter $\lambda$. By the strong law of large numbers, $\ln \left(T_{n}\right)$ converges almost surely to $1 / \lambda$. By continuity of the exponential function, it follows that $T_{n}$ converges almost surely to $\exp (1 / \lambda)$.
(3) Yes, $T_{n}$ converges in probability to $\exp (1 / \lambda)$ : we saw in the lecture that almost sure convergence implies convergence in probability.
(4) Write

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[T_{n}^{2}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[\prod_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}^{2 / n}\right]=\prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left[X_{i}^{2 / n}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[X^{2 / n}\right]^{n},
$$

where we have used the indepedence of $\left(X_{i}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ for the second equality and the fact that these random variables all have the same law for the last equality. To compute $\mathbb{E}\left[X^{2 / n}\right]$ using (1) and the transfer theorem:

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[X^{2 / n}\right]=\int_{1}^{\infty} x^{2 / n} \cdot \frac{\lambda}{x^{\lambda+1}} \mathrm{~d} x=\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda}{x^{\lambda-2 / n+1}} \mathrm{~d} x
$$

which is finite for $n$ such that $\lambda-2 / n>0$. Thus for $n$ sufficiently large $\mathbb{E}\left[X^{2 / n}\right]<\infty$ and

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[X^{2 / n}\right]=\frac{\lambda n}{\lambda n-2}=1+\frac{2}{\lambda n-2} .
$$

Thus, using the Taylor expansion $\ln (1+x)=x+o(x)$ as $x \rightarrow 0$ :

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[T_{n}^{2}\right]=\left(1+\frac{2}{\lambda n-2}\right)^{n}=\exp \left(n \ln \left(1+\frac{2}{\lambda n-2}\right)\right)=\exp \left(n\left(\frac{2}{\lambda n-2}+o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right)\right)=\exp \left(\frac{2}{\lambda}+o(1)\right)
$$

which converges to $\exp (2 / \lambda)$ so the answer of the question is yes.
(5) The answer is yes.

Solution 1. We check that $\left(T_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ converges in probability and is uniformly integrable. The convergence in probability has been established in (2) and uniform integrability comes from the fact that $\left(T_{n}\right)$ is bounded in $L^{2}$ since $\mathbb{E}\left[T_{n}^{2}\right]$ converges as $n \rightarrow \infty$ (we saw in the lecture that a sequence of random variables bounded in $L^{p}$ for $p>1$ is uniformly integrable).

For Solutions 2 and 3, we first show that $\mathbb{E}\left[T_{n}\right] \rightarrow \exp (1 / \lambda)$. As in question (4), we have

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[T_{n}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[\prod_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}^{1 / n}\right]=\prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left[X_{i}^{1 / n}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[X^{1 / n}\right]^{n},
$$

and we similarly compute $\mathbb{E}\left[X^{1 / n}\right]$ :

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[X^{1 / n}\right]=\int_{1}^{\infty} x^{1 / n} \cdot \frac{\lambda}{x^{\lambda+1}} \mathrm{~d} x=\frac{\lambda n}{\lambda n-1}=1+\frac{1}{\lambda n-1} .
$$

Thus, similarly to (4):

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[T_{n}\right]=\left(1+\frac{1}{\lambda n-1}\right)^{n}=\exp \left(n \ln \left(1+\frac{1}{\lambda n-1}\right)\right)=\exp \left(n\left(\frac{1}{\lambda n-1}+o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right)\right)=\exp \left(\frac{1}{\lambda}+o(1)\right)
$$

This entails

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[T_{n}\right] \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\longrightarrow} \exp (1 / \lambda)
$$

Solution 2. We show that $\mathbb{E}\left[\left(T_{n}-\exp (1 / \lambda)^{2}\right] \rightarrow 0\right.$. Indeed, then by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality $\mathbb{E}\left[\left|T_{n}-\exp (1 / \lambda)\right|\right] \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\left(T_{n}-\exp (1 / \lambda)\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2} \rightarrow 0$. To this end just write

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\left(T_{n}-\exp (1 / \lambda)^{2}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[T_{n}^{2}\right]-2 \exp (1 / \lambda) \mathbb{E}\left[T_{n}\right]+\exp (2 / \lambda) \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\longrightarrow} 0\right.
$$

since $\mathbb{E}\left[T_{n}^{2}\right] \rightarrow \exp (2 / \lambda)$ and $\mathbb{E}\left[T_{n}\right] \rightarrow \exp (1 / \lambda)$.
Solution 3. We have $T_{n} \geq 0, T_{n} \rightarrow \exp (1 / \lambda)$ almost surely and $\mathbb{E}\left[T_{n}\right] \rightarrow \exp (1 / \lambda)$. Then Scheffé's lemma (Exercise 2) implies that $T_{n} \rightarrow \exp (1 / \lambda)$ in $L^{1}$.

## 2 Training exercises

Exercise 2. (Scheffé Lemma) Let $\left(X_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ be non-negative real random variables that almost surely converge to $X$. We assume that $\mathbb{E}[X]<\infty$, and that $\mathbb{E}\left[X_{n}\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{E}[X]$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The goal of this exercise is to show that $X_{n} \rightarrow X$ in $\mathbb{L}^{1}$.

We define $Y_{n}=\min \left(X_{n}, X\right)$ and $Z_{n}=\max \left(X_{n}, X\right)$.
(1) Show that $\mathbb{E}\left[Y_{n}\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{E}[X]$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$.
(2) Show that $\mathbb{E}\left[Z_{n}\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{E}[X]$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Hint. Write $Z_{n}=X+X_{n}-Y_{n}$.
(3) Conclude.

Hint. Write $\left|X_{n}-X\right|=Z_{n}-Y_{n}$.

## Solution:

(1) Since $X_{n} \rightarrow X$ almost surely, we have that $Y_{n}$ converges almost surely to $X$. In addition $\left|Y_{n}\right| \leq X$. Thus $\mathbb{E}\left[Y_{n}\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{E}[X]$ by the dominated convergence theorem.
(2) We have $\mathbb{E}\left[Z_{n}\right]=\mathbb{E}[X]+\mathbb{E}\left[X_{n}\right]-\mathbb{E}\left[Y_{n}\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{E}[X]+\mathbb{E}[X]-\mathbb{E}[X]=\mathbb{E}[X]$.
(3) We have $\mathbb{E}\left[\left|X_{n}-X\right|\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[Z_{n}\right]-\mathbb{E}\left[Y_{n}\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{E}[X]-\mathbb{E}[X]=0$. This shows that $X_{n} \rightarrow X$ in $L^{1}$.

Remark. Convergence in $L^{1}$ implies convergence of expectations: indeed, if $X_{n} \rightarrow X$ in $L^{1}$, then $\left|\mathbb{E}\left[X_{n}\right]-\mathbb{E}[X]\right| \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\left|X_{n}-X\right|\right] \rightarrow$. However, we can have convergence of expectations without convergence in $L^{1}$ : for instance, taking the example from the lecture $X_{n}(\omega)=2^{n} \mathbb{1}_{\omega \in\left[0,1 / 2^{n}\right]}$ on probability space $\left([0,1], \mathcal{B}[0,1]\right.$, Leb $\left._{[0,1]}\right)$, we have convergence of expectations since $\mathbb{E}\left(X_{n}\right)=1$ is constant for all $n$, but $X_{n}$ does not convergence in $L^{1}$. Indeed, argue by contradiction: if $X_{n} \rightarrow X$ in $L^{1}$, this would imply
that $X_{n} \rightarrow X$ in probability. But $X_{n} \rightarrow \mathrm{o}$ in probability. Thus $X=\mathrm{o}$ almost surely, so $X_{n} \rightarrow \mathrm{o}$ in $L^{1}$, which implies $\mathbb{E}\left[X_{n}\right] \rightarrow 0$, which is a contradiction.

Exercise 3. Let $\left(X_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of real-valued random variables that converges in probability to $X$, and let $\left(Y_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of real-valued random variables that converges in probability to $Y$. We want to show that $\left(X_{n}, Y_{n}\right)$ converges in probability to $(X, Y)$.

## Solution:

First solution. For any $\varepsilon>0$, we observe that if

$$
\left\|\left(X_{n}, Y_{n}\right)-(X, Y)\right\| \geq 2 \varepsilon
$$

then either $\left|X_{n}-X\right| \geq \varepsilon$ or $\left|Y_{n}-Y\right| \geq \varepsilon$. Indeed, by contradiction, if $\left|X_{n}-X\right|<\varepsilon$ and $\left|Y_{n}-Y\right|<\varepsilon$, then

$$
\left\|\left(X_{n}, Y_{n}\right)-(X, Y)\right\| \leq \sqrt{\varepsilon^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}}=\sqrt{2} \varepsilon<2 \varepsilon .
$$

Thus, for any $\varepsilon>0$,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\left\|\left(X_{n}, Y_{n}\right)-(X, Y)\right\| \geq 2 \varepsilon\right) \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\left|X_{n}-X\right| \geq \varepsilon\right)+\mathbb{P}\left(\left|Y_{n}-Y\right| \geq \varepsilon\right) \quad \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\longrightarrow} \quad \text { o, }
$$

which implies the result.
Second solution. We use the sub-sub-sequence lemma: given a subsequence $\varphi$, we find $\psi$ such that $\left(X_{\varphi \circ \psi(n)}, Y_{\varphi \circ \psi(n)}\right)$ converges almost surely to ( $X, Y$ ).

First, since $X_{n} \rightarrow X$ in probability, we can find a $\sigma_{1}$ suh that $X_{\varphi \circ \sigma_{1}(n)}$ converges almost surely to $X$. But $Y_{\varphi \circ \sigma_{1}(n)}$ converges in probability to $Y$, so we can find $\sigma_{2}$ such that $Y_{\varphi \circ \sigma_{1} \circ \sigma_{2}(n)}$ converges almost surely to $Y$. But then $X_{\varphi \circ \sigma_{1} \circ \sigma_{2}(n)}$ also converges almost surely to $X$, so we can take $\psi=\sigma_{1} \circ \sigma_{2}$.

Exercise 4. Let $\left(X_{i}\right)_{i \geq 1}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. real-valued random variables. Show that if $\mathbb{E}\left[\left|X_{1}\right|\right]<\infty$, the sequence $\left(\max \left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right) / n\right)_{n \geq 2}$ is uniformly integrable.

## Solution:

First solution. We show uniform integrability via its characterization of boundedness in $L^{1}$ and $\epsilon-\delta$ condition. For boundedness in $L^{1}$, we have for all $n \geq 2$,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\frac{\max \left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)}{n}\right|\right] \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|X_{k}\right|}{n}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[\left|X_{1}\right|\right]<\infty .
$$

For $\epsilon-\delta$ condition, first notice that $\left\{X_{1}\right\}$ is a finite family of integrable random variables, hence this family is uniformly integrable. So $\left\{X_{1}\right\}$ satisfies the $\epsilon-\delta$, which says $\forall \epsilon>0, \exists \delta>0, \forall A \in \mathcal{A}$ with
$\mathbb{P}(A)<\delta$, we have $\mathbb{E}\left(\left|X_{1}\right| \mathbb{1}_{A}\right)<\epsilon$. Then we have for all $n \geq 2$,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\frac{\max \left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)}{n} \mathbb{1}_{A}\right|\right] \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|X_{k}\right|}{n} \mathbb{1}_{A}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left(\left|X_{1}\right| \mathbb{1}_{A}\right)<\epsilon
$$

We conclude that family the $\left\{\frac{\max \left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)}{n}\right\}_{n \geq 2}$ satisfies the $\epsilon-\delta$ condition.
Second solution. Write

$$
\left|\frac{\max \left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)}{n}\right| \leq \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|X_{k}\right|}{n}
$$

We claim that the sequence $\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|X_{k}\right|}{n}$ converges in $L^{1}$ to $\mathbb{E}\left[\left|X_{1}\right|\right]$. We show this by using Scheffé's Lemma (exercise 2):

- the random variables are nonnegative and the convergence holds a.s. by the strong law of large numbers since $X_{1}$ is integrable.
$-\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|X_{k}\right|}{n}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[\left|X_{1}\right|\right]$ which converges to $\mathbb{E}\left[\left|X_{1}\right|\right]$ (it is a constant sequence).
But if o $\leq\left|Y_{n}\right| \leq Z_{n}$ and if $Z_{n}$ converges in $L^{1}$ then $\left(Y_{n}\right)$ is uniformly integrable. Indeed, we have seen in the lecture the fact that $\left(Z_{n}\right)$ converges in $L^{1}$ implies that $\left(Z_{n}\right)$ is uniformly integrable, and since we have for every $K>0$

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\left|Y_{n}\right| \mathbb{1}_{\left|Y_{n}\right| \geq K}\right] \leq \mathbb{E}\left[Z_{n} \mathbb{1}_{Z_{n} \geq K}\right]
$$

this shows that $\left(Y_{n}\right)$ is uniformly integrable.
The desired result follows by appling this with $Y_{n}=\frac{\max \left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)}{n}$ and $Z_{n}=\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|X_{k}\right|}{n}$.
Remark. We will later see in class that the convergence in the strong law of large numbers also always holds in $L^{1}$.

Exercise 5. We model the discretized evolution of a pollen particle between two absorbing plates as follows. Let $\left(X_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of i.i.d random variables with law given by $\mathbb{P}\left(X_{1}=1\right)=\mathbb{P}\left(X_{1}=-1\right)=\frac{1}{2}$. Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer. Set $S_{o}=k$ and $S_{n}=k+X_{1}+\cdots+X_{n}$ for $n \geq 1$. Define $T=\inf \left\{i \geq 1: S_{i}=0\right.$ or $\left.S_{i}=2 k\right\}$ (with the convention inf $\emptyset=\infty$ ).
(1) Show that $T<\infty$ almost surely.
(2) Set $Z_{n}=S_{\min (n, T)}$. Show that $Z_{n}$ converges almost surely to a random variable, and determine its law. Does $Z_{n}$ converge in probability? In $\mathbb{L}^{1}$ ?

## Solution:

(1) If there are $2 k$ consecutive upward sets, then $T<\infty$. An application of Borel-Cantelli shows that in the sequence $\left(X_{i}\right)_{i \geq 1}$ the number 1 appears $2 k$ times consecutively infinitely often almost surely. Indeed, for $i \geq 1$ set

$$
A_{i}=\left\{X_{2 k i}=1, X_{2 k i+1}=1, \ldots, X_{2 k i+2 k-1}=1\right\} .
$$

These events are independent by the coalition principle, and $\mathbb{P}\left(A_{i}\right)=\frac{1}{2^{2 k}}$. Thus $\sum_{i \geq 1} \mathbb{P}\left(A_{i}\right)=\infty$,
so by the second Borel-Cantelli lemma almost surely the events $A_{i}$ happen infinitely many times. Hence $\mathbb{P}(T<\infty)=1$.
(2) Since $T<\infty$ almost surely, $Z_{n}$ converges almost surely to $S_{T}$. Hence $Z_{n}$ converges also in probability to $S_{T}$ (recall that almost sure convergence implies convergence in probability). Since $\left|Z_{n}\right| \leq 2 k$, the convergence also holds in $\mathbb{L}^{1}$.

By symmetry,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(S_{T}=0\right)=\mathbb{P}\left(S_{T}=2 k\right)=\frac{1}{2}
$$

Let us write this argument in a more formal way. Denote by $\Phi$ the map which transforms a walk on $\mathbb{Z}$ which makes $\pm 1$ jumps by transforming -1 jumps into +1 jumps. Set $\left(S_{n}^{\prime}\right)_{n \geq 0}=\Phi\left(\left(S_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}\right)$ and $T^{\prime}=\inf \left\{i \geq 1: S_{i}^{\prime}=0\right.$ or $\left.S_{i}^{\prime}=2 k\right\}$. Hence, by construction, $S_{T}=\mathrm{o}$ if and only if $S_{T^{\prime}}^{\prime}=2 k$. In addition, $\left(S_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ and $\left(S_{n}^{\prime}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ have the same law. Hence $\mathbb{P}\left(S_{T}=0\right)=\mathbb{P}\left(S_{T^{\prime}}^{\prime}=2 k\right)=\mathbb{P}\left(S_{T}=2 k\right)$. Since $\mathbb{P}\left(S_{T}=0\right)+\mathbb{P}\left(S_{T}=2 k\right)=1$, the desired result follows.

## 3 More involved exercises (optional, will not be covered in the exercise class)

Exercise 6. (Coupon-collector problem) Let $\left(X_{k}, k \geq 1\right)$ be a sequence of independent random variables uniformly distributed over the set $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$. Let

$$
T_{n}=\inf \left\{m \geq 1:\left\{X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}\right\}=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}\right\}
$$

the first time when all values have been observed.
(1) Set $\tau_{k}^{n}=\inf \left\{m \geq 1: \operatorname{Card}\left(\left\{X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}\right\}\right)=k\right\}$ for every $k \geq 1$. Show that the variables $\left(\tau_{k}^{n}-\tau_{k-1}^{n}\right)_{2 \leq k \leq n}$ are independent and determine their respective distributions.
(2) Conclude that the convergence $\frac{T_{n}}{n \log n} \rightarrow 1$ holds in probability.

Hint. Show and use the Bienaymé-Tchebyshev inequality, which states that for every random variable $Z$ and $x>0$ we have $\mathbb{P}(|Z-\mathbb{E}[Z]|>x) \leq \frac{\operatorname{Var}(Z)}{x^{2}}$.

## Solution:

(1) The quantity $\tau_{k}^{n}-\tau_{k-1}^{n}$ represents the time taken to obtain a new element once you have obtained $k-1$ elements. Intuitively, these variables are independent and follow a geometric distribution with a parameter of $\frac{n-k+1}{n}$ (there are $n-(k-1)$ elements remaining).

To formally prove this, we can proceed as follows. We have $\tau_{1}^{n}=1$. Let $\left(t_{2}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) \in\left(\mathbb{N}^{*}\right)^{n-1}$. We want to calculate $\mathbb{P}\left(\tau_{2}^{n}-\tau_{1}^{n}=t_{2}, \ldots, \tau_{n}^{n}-\tau_{n-1}^{n}=t_{n}\right)$.

By setting $t_{1}=1$ and by letting $\mathcal{S}_{n}$ be the set of permutations of $1,2, \ldots, n$, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P}\left(\tau_{2}^{n}-\tau_{1}^{n}=t_{2}, \ldots, \tau_{n}^{n}-\tau_{n-1}^{n}=t_{n}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{n}} \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap _ { k = 1 } ^ { n - 1 } \left\{X_{t_{1}+\ldots+t_{k}}=\sigma(k), X_{t_{1}+\ldots+t_{k}+1} \in\{\sigma(1), \ldots, \sigma(k)\}, \ldots,\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\quad X_{t_{1}+\ldots+t_{k}+t_{k+1}-1} \in\{\sigma(1), \ldots, \sigma(k)\}\right\} \cap\left\{X_{t_{1}+\ldots+t_{n}}=\sigma(n)\right\}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{n}} \frac{1}{n^{n}} \prod_{k=2}^{n}\left(\frac{k-1}{n}\right)^{t_{k}-1} \\
& =\frac{n!}{n^{n}} \prod_{k=2}^{n}\left(\frac{k-1}{n}\right)^{t_{k}-1} \\
& =\prod_{k=2}^{n}\left(\frac{n+1-k}{n}\right)\left(\frac{k-1}{n}\right)^{t_{k}-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the random variables $\left(\tau_{k}^{n}-\tau_{k-1}^{n}\right)_{2 \leq k \leq n}$ are independent and have the following respective distribution:

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\tau_{k}^{n}-\tau_{k-1}^{n}=i\right)=\left(\frac{n+1-k}{n}\right)\left(\frac{k-1}{n}\right)^{i-1}=\left(\frac{n-k+1}{n}\right)\left(1-\frac{n-k+1}{n}\right)^{i-1} \quad \text { for } i \geq 1
$$

This distribution is indeed the distribution of $G_{k}$ where $G_{k}$ follows a geometric distribution with a parameter of $\frac{n-k+1}{n}$.
(2) To prove the Bienaymé-Tchebyshev inequality, write using Markov's inequality:

$$
\mathbb{P}(|Z-\mathbb{E}[Z]|>x)=\mathbb{P}\left((Z-\mathbb{E}[Z])^{2}>x^{2}\right) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[(Z-\mathbb{E}[Z])^{2}\right]}{x^{2}}=\frac{\operatorname{Var}(Z)}{x^{2}}
$$

Now, we have $T_{n}=1+\sum_{k=2}^{n}\left(\tau_{k}^{n}-\tau_{k-1}^{n}\right)$, and therefore:

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(T_{n}\right)=1+\sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{n}{n+1-k}=1+n H_{n-1}
$$

where $H_{n}$ is the harmonic series, and using the fact that the variance of a geometric random variable with parameter $p$ is $(1-p) / p^{2}$, we get

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(T_{n}\right)=\sum_{k=2}^{n} \operatorname{Var}\left(\tau_{k}^{n}-\tau_{k-1}^{n}\right)=\sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{(k-1) / n}{((n+1-k) / n)^{2}}=n \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{n-k}{k^{2}} \leq C n^{2}
$$

with $C=\sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{k^{2}}$. So for any $\varepsilon>0$ :

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\left|T_{n}-\mathbb{E}\left(T_{n}\right)\right| \geq \varepsilon n \log (n)\right) \leq \frac{\operatorname{Var}\left(T_{n}\right)}{\varepsilon^{2} n^{2} \log (n)^{2}} \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon^{2} \log (n)^{2}}
$$

Therefore, $\left(T_{n}-\mathbb{E}\left(T_{n}\right)\right) /(n \log (n)) \rightarrow$ o in probability. Now, $\mathbb{E}\left(T_{n}\right) \sim n \log (n)$ as $n$ approaches infinity. So, for any $\varepsilon>0,\left\{\left|T_{n}-n \log (n)\right| \geq 2 \varepsilon n \log (n)\right\} \subset\left\{\left|T_{n}-\mathbb{E}\left(T_{n}\right)\right| \geq \varepsilon n \log (n)\right\}$ for sufficiently large n . This yields the result.

Exercise 7. Let $\left(X_{n}\right)$ be a sequence of real random variables converging in probability to o. Show that there exists a sequence $x_{n} \rightarrow$ o such that $\mathbb{P}\left(\left|X_{n}\right| \geq x_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$.

## Solution:

Let $i_{k}$ be such that $\mathbb{P}\left(\left|X_{n}\right| \geq \frac{1}{k}\right) \leq \frac{1}{k}$ for $n \geq i_{k}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\left(i_{k}\right)$ is increasing. For $n \geq 1$, we then set

$$
x_{n}=\frac{1}{i_{k}} \quad \text { with } i_{k} \leq n<i_{k+1} .
$$

Then clearly $x_{n} \rightarrow$ o since $i_{k} \rightarrow \infty$, and for $n \geq 1$, if $k_{n}$ is such that $i_{k_{n}} \leq n<i_{k_{n}+1}$, we have

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\left|X_{n}\right| \geq x_{n}\right)=\mathbb{P}\left(\left|X_{n}\right| \geq \frac{1}{i_{k_{n}}}\right) \leq \frac{1}{i_{k_{n}}} \quad \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\longrightarrow} \quad \text {. }
$$

Exercise 8 . Is the converse of Exercise 4 true? That is, if $\left(X_{i}\right)_{i \geq 1}$ are i.i.d. real-valued random variables, is it ture that if the sequence $\left(\max \left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right) / n\right)_{n \geq 2}$ is uniformly integrable, then $\mathbb{E}\left[\left|X_{1}\right|\right]<\infty$ ?

## Solution:

Yes, if the random variables are non-negative. In such cases, $\mathrm{o} \leq X_{1} / 2 \leq \max \left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right) / 2$, and as a result, $\mathbb{E}\left[X_{1}\right]<\infty$.

In general, no! For example, if we take $X_{1}$ to be a random variable with density given by $\frac{1}{|x|^{2}} \mathbb{1}_{x \leq-1}$, we can observe that $\max \left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$ has density $\frac{n}{|x|^{n+1}} \mathbb{1}_{x \leq-1}$, and as a result,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\frac{\max \left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)}{n}\right|^{3 / 2}\right]=\frac{1}{n^{3 / 2}} \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{n x^{3 / 2}}{x^{n+1}} \mathrm{~d} x=\frac{1}{n^{3 / 2}} \frac{2 n}{2 n-3} .
$$

The sequence $\left(\frac{\max \left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)}{n}\right) n \geq 2$ being bounded in $L^{3 / 2}$, it is uniformly integrable, even though $X_{1}$ is not integrable.

## 4 Fun exercise (optional, will not be covered in the exercise class)

Exercise 9. In the city of Knossos, there's a labyrinth with the following peculiarity: each room in the labyrinth has three corridors leading off it. King Minos places a Minotaur in the labyrinth, who performs the following routine over and over again: he walks down a corridor, enters a room and every other time takes the corridor on the right, and every other time the corridor on the left (. Show that the Minotaur will return to its initial point.

## Solution:

The idea is to consider the set of all configurations of the form $\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, d\right)$, where $s_{1}$ indicates the room the minotaur comes from, $s_{2}$ the room he's in, and $d$ is the direction he must take (right or left).

Observe that the set of all configurations is a finite set, so the Minotaur makes a cycle in this set. In addition, we can reconstruct the past of the minotaur's journey from any element in this cycle, so all its past journey belongs to this cycle. In particular, the Minotaur returns to the initial room.

