# Week 9: (sub/super)martingales and their a.s. convergence

*Submission of solutions.* Feedback can be given on Exercise 1 and any other exercise from the Training exercises. If you want to hand in, do it so by Monday 20/11/2023 17:00 (online) following the instructions on the course website

```
https://metaphor.ethz.ch/x/2023/hs/401-3601-ooL/
```

\* \* \*

Please pay attention to the quality, the precision and the presentation of your mathematical writing.

## 1 Exercise covered during the exercise class

The following exercise will be covered during the exercise class.

*Exercise 1.* (Pólya's Urn) At time 0, an urn contains 1 black ball and 1 white ball. At each time  $n \ge 1$  a ball is chosen uniformly at random from the urn and is replaced together with a new ball of the same colour. Just after time *n*, there are therefore n + 2 balls in the urn, of which  $B_n + 1$  are black, where  $B_n$  is the number of black balls chosen by time *n*. We let  $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(B_1, \ldots, B_n)$  for  $n \ge 1$  and  $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{\emptyset, \Omega\}$ .

(1) For every  $n \ge 1$  prove that  $B_n$  is uniformly distributed on  $\{0, 1, ..., n\}$ .

Hint. Argue by induction.

- (2) Let  $M_n = (B_n + 1)/(n + 2)$  be the proportion of black balls in the urn just after time *n*. Prove that  $(M_n)$  is a martingale with respect to  $(\mathcal{F}_n)$  and show that  $M_n \to U$  as  $n \to \infty$  a.s. for some random variable *U*.
- (3) Show that U follows the uniform distribution on (0, 1).

Hint. For a continuous function  $f : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}$ , consider  $f(M_n)$  and use Exercise 1 from Exercise Sheet 4.

(4) Fix  $o < \theta < 1$  and define for  $n \ge o$ 

$$N_n = \frac{(n+1)!}{B_n!(n-B_n)!} \theta^{B_n} (1-\theta)^{n-B_n}$$

Show that  $(N_n)_{n\geq 0}$  is a martingale for the filtration  $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{n\geq 0}$ .

## 2 Training exercises

*Exercise 2.* Let  $(M_n)$  be a submartingale such that

$$\sup_{n\geq 1} \mathbb{E}\left[M_n^+\right] < \infty$$

where  $M_n^+ = \max(M_n, o)$ . Show that  $(M_n)_{n \ge o}$  converges almost surely.

*Exercise 3.* Let  $(X_i)_{i \ge 1}$  be i.i.d. random variables with values in  $\{-1, 1\}$  where we write  $\mathbb{P}(X_i = 1) = p$  and assume that  $p \in (0, 1/2)$ . Moreover, define  $S_0 = 0$  and  $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$  for  $n \ge 1$ . For  $n \ge 0$  we set

$$M_n = \left(\frac{\mathbf{1}}{p} - \mathbf{1}\right)^{S_n}.$$

For  $n \ge 1$  set  $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  and  $\mathcal{F}_o = \{\emptyset, \Omega\}$ .

- (1) Show that  $(M_n)$  is an  $L^1$  bounded martingale with respect to the filtration  $(\mathcal{F}_n)$  where.
- (2) Show that  $M_n$  converges almost surely to 0 as  $n \to \infty$ , but does not converge in  $L^1$ .

#### Exercise 4.

- (1) Find an example of a martingale which is not bounded in  $L^1$ .
- (2) Find an example of a martingale which converges almost surely but which is not bounded in  $L^1$ .
- (3) Find an example of a martingale which converges almost surely to  $\infty$ .

Hint. Search for martingales of the form  $M_n = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$ .

## 3 More involved exercises (optional, will not be covered in the exercise class)

*Exercise 5.* Let  $(Y_n)_{n \ge 0}$  be a sequence of non-negative i.i.d. random variables with  $\mathbb{E}(Y_1) = 1$  and  $\mathbb{P}(Y_1 = 1) < 1$ . For  $n \ge 1$  we let  $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ , and we set  $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{\emptyset, \Omega\}$ .

- (1) Show that  $X_n = \prod_{i=1}^n Y_i$  defines a martingale with respect to  $(\mathcal{F}_n)$ .
- (2) Show that  $X_n \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$  a.s.

Hint. You may use the strict Jensen inequality: if  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is strictly convex and X is a non-constant random variable such that X and f(X) are integrable, then  $f(\mathbb{E}[X]) < \mathbb{E}[f(X)]$ .

*Exercise 6.* (Bellman's Optimality Principle) Your winnings per unit stake on game *n* are  $\epsilon_n$ , where the  $\epsilon_n$  are i.i.d. random variables with

$$\mathbb{P}(\epsilon_n = +1) = p$$
,  $\mathbb{P}(\epsilon_n = -1) = q$ , where  $1/2 .$ 

Your stake  $C_n$  on game *n* must lie between 0 and  $Z_{n-1}$ , where  $Z_{n-1}$  is your fortune at time n-1. Your objective is to maximize the expected *interest rate*  $\mathbb{E}[\ln(Z_N/Z_0)]$ , where *N* is a given integer representing the length of the game, and  $Z_0$ , your fortune at time 0, is a given constant. Let  $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n)$  be your *history* up to time *n*. We assume that  $\ln(Z_n)$  is integrable for all  $n \ge 0$ .

(1) Show that if *C* is any (predictable) strategy, meaning that for all  $n \ge 1$ ,  $C_n$  is  $\mathcal{F}_{n-1}$  measurable, then  $\ln(Z_n) - n\alpha$  is a supermartingale, where  $\alpha$  denotes the *entropy* 

$$\alpha = p \ln p + q \ln q + \ln 2.$$

Conclude that  $\mathbb{E}[\ln(Z_N/Z_o)] \leq N\alpha$ .

(2) Show that for a certain strategy,  $\ln(Z_n) - n\alpha$  is a martingale. What is the best strategy?

*Exercise* 7. Find a sequence  $(M_n)_{n\geq 0}$  of integrable random variables such that  $\mathbb{E}[M_{n+1}|M_n] = M_n$  but such that  $(M_n)_{n\geq 0}$  is not a martingale with respect to its canonical filtration.

### 4 Fun exercise (optional, will not be covered in the exercise class)

Exercise 8. A mathematician, an economist and a trader are chatting in a bar. The economist says:

"The euro value of a CHF over time is a martingale! Otherwise, it would be possible to make money on average, buying and selling CHF at the right time!"

The mathematician replies: "But if that's true, according to conditional's Jensen's inequality, the CHF value of a euro is a sub-martingale!"

The trader says nothing, thinks for a few seconds, then runs off to buy euros. What do you think?