

## Recap on some topological aspects

If  $(E, d)$  is a metric space, the open ball centered at  $x \in E$  and with radius  $r > 0$  is  $B(x, r) = \{y \in E : d(x, y) < r\}$ . Sometimes we write  $B_E(x, r)$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{B}(E)$  or  $\mathcal{B}_E$  the Borel  $\sigma$ -field on  $E$ , which is the  $\sigma$ -field generated by all open sets of  $E$ . Recall that  $A \subset E$  is open if for every  $x \in A$  there exists  $r > 0$  such that  $B(x, r) \subset A$ , and that *any* union (possibly uncountable) is open.

Observe that in  $\mathbb{R}$  an open interval is an open ball (take the center in the middle of the interval).

## 1 $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

\*  $\mathbb{R}$ . In  $\mathbb{R}$ , every open set can be written as an at most countable union of disjoint open intervals.

*Proof.* Indeed, if  $O$  is an open set and  $x \in O$ , then there exists an open interval  $I$  such that  $x \in I \subset O$ . If there exists one such interval, then there exists a maximal interval which contains  $x$  (the union of all such open intervals, which is open as a union of open sets). Denote by  $(O_i)_{i \in I}$  the family of such maximal intervals. First, all intervals  $O_i$  are pairwise disjoint (otherwise they wouldn't be maximal). Second, any interval contains a rational number, so one can construct a one-to-one map from  $I$  to  $\mathbb{Q}$ . This shows that  $I$  is at most countable.  $\square$

\*  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . In  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , every open set can be written as an at most countable union of open balls. As a corollary, the Borel  $\sigma$ -field on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is generated by open balls.

*Proof.* Consider an open set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then for every  $x \in A$ , there is some  $\epsilon_x > 0$  such that the open ball  $B(x, 2\epsilon_x)$  is contained in  $A$ . We may assume that  $\epsilon_x$  is rational by making it smaller. Because  $\mathbb{Q}^n$  is dense, we can pick a point  $q_x \in \mathbb{Q}^n$  with  $\|x - q_x\| < \epsilon_x$ . In particular  $q_x \in A$ . Let us show that

$$A = \bigcup_{x \in A} B(q_x, \epsilon_x) \tag{1}$$

by double inclusion:

- Take  $x \in A$ . Since  $x \in B(q_x, \epsilon_x)$ , we get  $A \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in A} B(q_x, \epsilon_x)$ .
- For the reverse inclusion, observe that for every  $x \in A$  we have  $B(q_x, \epsilon_x) \subseteq A$ . Therefore,  $\bigcup_{x \in A} B(q_x, \epsilon_x) \subseteq A$ .

This shows (1). Finally observe that the union in (1) is a countable union, because there are only countably many balls with rational center and rational radius.  $\square$

$\diamond$  WARNING. However, it is NOT true that in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , every open set can be written as an at most countable *disjoint* union of open balls. For example, one can show that  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$  is not a countable disjoint union of open balls.

$\diamond$  WARNING. In general, it is not true in general that in any metric space every open set can be written as an at most countable union of open balls (it is true for *separable* metric spaces, which are metric spaces that admit a countable dense sequence, such as  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for example). In particular, it is not true in general that the Borel  $\sigma$ -field of a metric space is generated by open balls (but it is generated by open sets, by definition).

## 2 Induced topology, induced $\sigma$ -field

Let  $(E, d)$  be a metric space and  $A \subset E$ . We view  $A$  as a metric space with distance  $d$ .

\* The following results gives the form of all open sets of  $(A, d)$  and of all elements of the Borel  $\sigma$ -field of  $(A, d)$  in terms of open sets of  $E$  and elements of the Borel  $\sigma$ -field of  $(E, d)$ .

- (1) The open sets of  $(A, d)$  are of the form  $A \cap O$  with  $O$  open set in  $(E, d)$ .
- (2) The elements of  $(A, \mathcal{B}(A))$  are of the form  $A \cap B$  with  $B \in \mathcal{B}(E)$ .

*Proof.*

- (1) The inclusion  $I : (A, d) \rightarrow (E, d)$  defined by  $I(x) = x$  being continuous, the preimage of any open is an open, so elements of the form  $I^{-1}(O) = A \cap O$  with  $O$  open in  $(E, d)$  are open in  $(A, d)$ .

Conversely, let  $U \subset A$  be open in  $(A, d)$ . For every  $x \in U$ , there exists  $r_x > 0$  such that  $B_A(x, r_x) \subset U$ . Then

$$U = \bigcup_{x \in U} B_A(x, r_x).$$

Now  $B_A(x, r_x) = B_E(x, r_x) \cap A$ . Therefore

$$U = \bigcup_{x \in U} (B_E(x, r_x) \cap A) = \left( \bigcup_{x \in U} B_E(x, r_x) \right) \cap A,$$

and the result follows by taking  $O = \bigcup_{x \in U} B_E(x, r_x)$ , which is open in  $E$  as a union of open sets.

- (2) Since the inclusion  $I : (A, d) \rightarrow (E, d)$  is continuous and therefore measurable, we deduce that elements of the form  $A \cap B$  with  $B \in \mathcal{B}(E)$  are elements of  $(A, \mathcal{B}(A))$ .

Conversely, elements of the form  $A \cap B$  with  $B \in \mathcal{B}(E)$  contain the open of  $A$  (from the previous question) and form a tribe, so contain elements of  $(A, \mathcal{B}(A))$ .  $\square$

⚠ WARNING. Observe that  $A$  is not necessarily an element of the Borel  $\sigma$ -field of  $E$ ! It still makes sense to consider  $\mathcal{B}(A)$ .