

Mathematical Foundations for Finance

Exercise Sheet 11

Exercise 11.1 Let $X = (X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a continuous semimartingale null at 0. We define the process

$$Z := \mathcal{E}(X) := e^{X - \frac{1}{2}[X]}.$$

(a) Show via Itô's formula that

$$Z_t = 1 + \int_0^t Z_s dX_s, \quad P\text{-a.s.}, \quad \text{for } t \geq 0. \quad (1)$$

Conclude that Z is a continuous local martingale if and only if X is a continuous local martingale.

Hint: You may compute Itô's formula for $f(x, y) := e^{x - \frac{1}{2}y}$.

(b) Show that $Z = \mathcal{E}(X)$ is the unique solution to (1).

Hint: You may compute Z'/Z using Itô's formula, where Z' is another solution of Equation (1).

(c) Let $Y = (Y_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be another continuous semimartingale null at 0. Prove Yor's formula

$$\mathcal{E}(X)\mathcal{E}(Y) = \mathcal{E}(X + Y + [X, Y]), \quad P\text{-a.s.}$$

Hint: You may deduce this formula from the uniqueness proved at point (b).

Solution 11.1

(a) We apply Itô's formula to the C^2 -function $f(x, y) := e^{x - \frac{1}{2}y}$ and the continuous semimartingale $(X_t, [X]_t)_{t \geq 0}$. We obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} dZ_t &= df(X_t, [X]_t) \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(X_t, [X]_t) dX_t + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} f(X_t, [X]_t) d[X]_t + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} f(X_t, [X]_t) d[X]_t \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} f(X_t, [X]_t) d[[X]]_t + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} f(X_t, [X]_t) d[X, [X]]_t, \quad P\text{-a.s.} \end{aligned}$$

However, since X is continuous and $[X]$ is continuous and of finite variation, we have that $[[X]] = 0$, P -a.s., and $[X, [X]] = 0$, P -a.s. Moreover, a direct computation shows that $\frac{\partial}{\partial y} f + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} f = 0$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} f = f$. We conclude that

$$dZ_t = Z_t dX_t, \quad P\text{-a.s.}, \quad \text{or } Z_t = 1 + \int_0^t Z_s dX_s, \quad P\text{-a.s.}$$

As Z is a C^2 -transformation of the continuous semimartingale $(X_t, [X]_t)_{t \geq 0}$, the process Z is always a continuous semimartingale (hence predictable and locally bounded). Therefore, $Z \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(M)$ for all continuous local martingales M . If X is a continuous local martingale, then we conclude that Z is a continuous local martingale.

Conversely, since Z is strictly positive by definition, X is given by

$$dX_t = \frac{1}{Z_t} dZ_t, P\text{-a.s.}, \text{ or } X_t = \int_0^t \frac{1}{Z_s} dZ_s, P\text{-a.s.}$$

Therefore, if Z is a continuous local martingale, then X is a local martingale by the same reasoning as above.

(b) Let Z' be another process such that

$$dZ'_t = Z'_t dX_t, \quad Z'_0 = 1, \quad P\text{-a.s.}$$

Since Z' is necessarily a semimartingale, we can apply Itô's formula to the quotient $\frac{Z'}{Z} = f(Z', Z)$ with the function $f(x, y) = \frac{x}{y}$. A direct computation yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(x, y) &= \frac{1}{y}, & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} f(x, y) &= -\frac{x}{y^2}, \\ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} f(x, y) &= 0, & \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} f(x, y) &= -\frac{1}{y^2}, & \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} f(x, y) &= 2\frac{x}{y^3}. \end{aligned}$$

Plugging these into Itô's formula and using that $dZ_t = Z_t dX_t$ and $dZ'_t = Z'_t dX_t$ gives that $d[\frac{Z'}{Z}]_t = \frac{Z'_t}{Z_t} d[X]_t$, $d[Z', Z]_t = Z'_t Z_t d[X]_t$ which then yields

$$\begin{aligned} d\left(\frac{Z'_t}{Z_t}\right) &= \frac{1}{Z_t} dZ'_t - \frac{Z'_t}{Z_t^2} dZ_t - \frac{1}{Z_t^2} d[Z', Z]_t + \frac{Z'_t}{Z_t^3} d[Z]_t \\ &= \frac{Z'_t}{Z_t} dX_t - \frac{Z'_t}{Z_t} dX_t - \frac{Z'_t}{Z_t} d[X]_t + \frac{Z'_t}{Z_t} d[X]_t \\ &= 0, \quad P\text{-a.s.} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we conclude that $\frac{Z'_t}{Z_t} = 1$, P -a.s., for all $t \geq 0$.

(c) The product rule implies that

$$\begin{aligned} d(\mathcal{E}(X)\mathcal{E}(Y)) &= \mathcal{E}(X)d\mathcal{E}(Y) + \mathcal{E}(Y)d\mathcal{E}(X) + d[\mathcal{E}(X), \mathcal{E}(Y)] \\ &= \mathcal{E}(X)\mathcal{E}(Y)dY + \mathcal{E}(Y)\mathcal{E}(X)dX + \mathcal{E}(X)\mathcal{E}(Y)d[X, Y] \\ &= \mathcal{E}(X)\mathcal{E}(Y)d(X + Y + [X, Y]). \end{aligned}$$

By uniqueness of the solution to $dZ = ZdX$ for X replaced by $X + Y + [X, Y]$, we conclude that

$$\mathcal{E}(X)\mathcal{E}(Y) = \mathcal{E}(X + Y + [X, Y]).$$

Exercise 11.2 Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F}, P)$ be a filtered probability space where the filtration $\mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ satisfies the usual conditions. Consider two independent Brownian motions $W^1 = (W_t^1)_{t \in [0, T]}$ and $W^2 = (W_t^2)_{t \in [0, T]}$, and let $\tilde{S}^1 = (\tilde{S}_t^1)_{t \in [0, T]}$ and $\tilde{S}^2 = (\tilde{S}_t^2)_{t \in [0, T]}$ be two processes with the dynamics

$$\begin{aligned} d\tilde{S}_t^1 &= \tilde{S}_t^1 (\mu_1 dt + \sigma_1 dB_t^1), \quad P\text{-a.s.}, \quad \tilde{S}_0^1 > 0, \\ d\tilde{S}_t^2 &= \tilde{S}_t^2 (\mu_2 dt + \sigma_2 dB_t^2), \quad P\text{-a.s.}, \quad \tilde{S}_0^2 > 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $B^1 := W^1$ and $B^2 := \alpha W^1 + \sqrt{1 - \alpha^2} W^2$, for some $\alpha \in (-1, 1)$, $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 > 0$.

- (a) Find the SDEs describing the dynamics of $X^1 := \frac{\tilde{S}^2}{\tilde{S}^1}$ and $X^2 := \frac{\tilde{S}^1}{\tilde{S}^2}$, expressed in terms of B^1 and B^2 .
- (b) Fix some $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, and define the continuous local martingale

$$L^{(\beta_1, \beta_2)} := \beta_1 W^1 + \beta_2 W^2.$$

Show that the stochastic exponential $Z^{(\beta_1, \beta_2)} := \mathcal{E}(L^{(\beta_1, \beta_2)})$ is a true martingale on $[0, T]$.

Hint: You may use the independence of W^1 and W^2 and Proposition IV.2.3 in the lecture notes.

- (c) Fix some $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, and define the probability measure $Q^{(\beta_1, \beta_2)}$, which is equivalent to P on \mathcal{F}_T , by

$$dQ^{(\beta_1, \beta_2)} = Z_T^{(\beta_1, \beta_2)} dP.$$

Show that $Z^{(\beta_1, \beta_2)}$ is the density process of $Q^{(\beta_1, \beta_2)}$ with respect to P on $[0, T]$. Using Girsanov's theorem, prove that the two processes $\tilde{W}_t^1 := W_t^1 - \beta_1 t$ and $\tilde{W}_t^2 := W_t^2 - \beta_2 t$, for $t \in [0, T]$, are local $Q^{(\beta_1, \beta_2)}$ -martingales. Conclude that

$$\tilde{B}_t^1 := \tilde{W}_t^1 \text{ and } \tilde{B}_t^2 := B_t^2 - (\alpha\beta_1 + \sqrt{1 - \alpha^2}\beta_2)t, \text{ for } t \in [0, T],$$

are local $Q^{(\beta_1, \beta_2)}$ -martingales as well.

- (d) What conditions on $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ make the processes X^1 and X^2 $Q^{(\beta_1, \beta_2)}$ -martingales? Can they be martingales simultaneously under the same measure? *Hint: You may rewrite the SDEs describing the dynamics of X^1 and X^2 in terms of \tilde{W}^1 and \tilde{W}^2 , and use the fact (without proving it) that \tilde{W}^1 and \tilde{W}^2 are independent Brownian motions under $Q^{(\beta_1, \beta_2)}$ (the reasoning is analogous to point (b)).*

Solution 11.2

(a) Take $i \neq j$, where $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$. By Itô's formula, we get

$$\begin{aligned} dX^i &= d\left(\frac{\tilde{S}^j}{\tilde{S}^i}\right) = \frac{1}{\tilde{S}^i} d\tilde{S}^j - \frac{\tilde{S}^j}{(\tilde{S}^i)^2} d\tilde{S}^i - \frac{1}{(\tilde{S}^i)^2} d[\tilde{S}^i, \tilde{S}^j] + \frac{\tilde{S}^j}{(\tilde{S}^i)^3} d[\tilde{S}^i] \\ &= X^i \left((\mu_j - \mu_i + \sigma_i^2 - \alpha\sigma_i\sigma_j) dt + \sigma_j dB^j - \sigma_i dB^i \right), \quad P\text{-a.s.} \end{aligned}$$

(b) Fix some $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, $L^{(\beta_1, \beta_2)}$ is clearly a martingale, whose quadratic variation satisfies, for all $t \in [0, T]$,

$$[L^{(\beta_1, \beta_2)}]_t = [\beta_1 W^1 + \beta_2 W^2]_t = \beta_1^2 t + \beta_2^2 t, \quad P\text{-a.s.},$$

where we have used that $[W^1, W^2] = 0$, P -a.s. Moreover, by independence of W^1 and W^2 and Proposition IV.2.3 in the lecture notes, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E\left[\frac{Z_t^{(\beta_1, \beta_2)}}{Z_s^{(\beta_1, \beta_2)}} \middle| \mathcal{F}_s\right] &= E\left[\frac{e^{\beta_1 W_t^1 + \beta_2 W_t^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\beta_1^2 + \beta_2^2)t}}{e^{\beta_1 W_s^1 + \beta_2 W_s^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\beta_1^2 + \beta_2^2)s}} \middle| \mathcal{F}_s\right] \\ &= E\left[e^{\beta_1(W_t^1 - W_s^1) + \beta_2(W_t^2 - W_s^2) - \frac{1}{2}(\beta_1^2 + \beta_2^2)(t-s)} \middle| \mathcal{F}_s\right] \\ &= e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\beta_1^2 + \beta_2^2)(t-s)} E\left[e^{\beta_1(W_t^1 - W_s^1) + \beta_2(W_t^2 - W_s^2)} \middle| \mathcal{F}_s\right] \\ &= e^{-\frac{1}{2}\beta_1^2(t-s)} E\left[e^{\beta_1(W_t^1 - W_s^1)}\right] e^{-\frac{1}{2}\beta_2^2(t-s)} E\left[e^{\beta_2(W_t^2 - W_s^2)}\right] \\ &= 1, \quad P\text{-a.s.}, \quad \text{for } s, t \in [0, T] \text{ with } s \leq t, \end{aligned}$$

so $Z^{(\beta_1, \beta_2)}$ has the martingale property. Adaptedness is clear and the integrability follows from the fact that $Z_t^{(\beta_1, \beta_2)}$ is a log-normally distributed random variable for all $t \in [0, T]$, and we know that all moments of log-normal distributions are finite. Therefore, $Z_t^{(\beta_1, \beta_2)}$ is a martingale.

(c) We prove that $Z_t^{(\beta_1, \beta_2)} = \tilde{Z}_t^{(\beta_1, \beta_2)}$, P -a.s., for any $t \in [0, T]$, where $\tilde{Z}^{(\beta_1, \beta_2)}$ denotes the density process of $Q^{(\beta_1, \beta_2)}$ with respect to P on $[0, T]$. Let us fix $t \in [0, T]$, and some $A \in \mathcal{F}_t$. It holds that

$$\begin{aligned} E[1_A \tilde{Z}_t^{(\beta_1, \beta_2)}] &= E^P[1_A \tilde{Z}_t^{(\beta_1, \beta_2)}] \\ &= E^{P|\mathcal{F}_t}[1_A \tilde{Z}_t^{(\beta_1, \beta_2)}] \\ &= E^{Q^{(\beta_1, \beta_2)}|\mathcal{F}_t}[1_A] \\ &= E^{Q^{(\beta_1, \beta_2)}}[1_A] \\ &= E^P\left[1_A \frac{dQ^{(\beta_1, \beta_2)}}{dP}\right] \\ &= E^P[1_A Z_T^{(\beta_1, \beta_2)}] \\ &= E^P\left[1_A E^P[Z_T^{(\beta_1, \beta_2)}|\mathcal{F}_t]\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Using the martingale property of $Z^{(\beta_1, \beta_2)}$, we deduce that

$$E\left[1_A \tilde{Z}_t^{(\beta_1, \beta_2)}\right] = E\left[1_A Z_t^{(\beta_1, \beta_2)}\right],$$

and we conclude that $\tilde{Z}_t^{(\beta_1, \beta_2)} = Z_t^{(\beta_1, \beta_2)}$, P -a.s., by the arbitrariness of A .

By Girsanov's theorem in the form of Theorem VI.2.3 in the lecture notes, we know that

$$W^1 - [L^{(\beta_1, \beta_2)}, W^1] \text{ and } W^2 - [L^{(\beta_1, \beta_2)}, W^2]$$

are local $Q^{(\beta_1, \beta_2)}$ -martingales. Thus, it suffices to show that for all $t \in [0, T]$, we have

$$[L^{(\beta_1, \beta_2)}, W^1]_t = \beta_1 t, \text{ } P\text{-a.s.}, \text{ and } [L^{(\beta_1, \beta_2)}, W^2]_t = \beta_2 t, \text{ } P\text{-a.s.}$$

But this follows immediately from the independence of W^1 and W^2 and the definition of $L^{(\beta_1, \beta_2)}$.

To conclude, we simply write the definition of the corresponding process \tilde{B}^2 to get

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{B}_t^2 &:= B_t^2 - (\alpha\beta_1 + \sqrt{1 - \alpha^2}\beta_2)t := \alpha(W_t^1 - \beta_1 t) + \sqrt{1 - \alpha^2}(W_t^2 - \beta_2 t) \\ &= \alpha\tilde{W}_t^1 + \sqrt{1 - \alpha^2}\tilde{W}_t^2, \text{ for } t \in [0, T], \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

which is a linear combination of local $Q^{(\beta_1, \beta_2)}$ -martingales.

- (d) First, we note that X^1 and X^2 still satisfy the same SDEs under $Q^{(\beta_1, \beta_2)}$ with the only difference that B^1 and B^2 are in general no longer Brownian motions under $Q^{(\beta_1, \beta_2)}$. Using that \tilde{B}^1 and \tilde{B}^2 are local martingales under $Q^{(\beta_1, \beta_2)}$, we get by (a) that

$$\begin{aligned} dX^i &= X^i \left((\mu_j - \mu_i + \sigma_i^2 - \alpha\sigma_i\sigma_j)dt + \sigma_j d(\tilde{B}^j + \gamma_j t) - \sigma_i d(\tilde{B}^i + \gamma_i t) \right) \\ &= X^i \left((\mu_j - \mu_i + \sigma_i^2 - \alpha\sigma_i\sigma_j + \sigma_j\gamma_j - \sigma_i\gamma_i)dt + \sigma_j d\tilde{B}^j - \sigma_i d\tilde{B}^i \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where $\gamma_1 := \beta_1$ and $\gamma_2 := \alpha\beta_1 + \sqrt{1 - \alpha^2}\beta_2$. Next, X^i is a local $Q^{(\beta_1, \beta_2)}$ -martingale if and only if the drift component in (3) vanishes, i.e.,

$$\mu_j - \mu_i + \sigma_i^2 - \alpha\sigma_i\sigma_j + \sigma_j\gamma_j - \sigma_i\gamma_i = 0. \quad (4)$$

Now, we express the local martingale components in terms of \tilde{W}^1 and \tilde{W}^2 (see Equation (2)),

$$\begin{cases} \sigma_1 d\tilde{B}^1 - \sigma_2 d\tilde{B}^2 = (\sigma_1 - \sigma_2\alpha)d\tilde{W}^1 - \sigma_2\sqrt{1 - \alpha^2}d\tilde{W}^2, \\ \sigma_2 d\tilde{B}^2 - \sigma_1 d\tilde{B}^1 = \sigma_2\sqrt{1 - \alpha^2}d\tilde{W}^2 - (\sigma_1 - \sigma_2\alpha)d\tilde{W}^1. \end{cases}$$

Since \widetilde{W}^1 and \widetilde{W}^2 are independent Brownian motions under $Q^{(\beta_1, \beta_2)}$, we may argue analogously to (b) that X^1 and X^2 are true $Q^{(\beta_1, \beta_2)}$ -martingales provided that (4) holds.

Finally, either X^1 or X^2 can be a martingale but not both simultaneously. In fact, because $X^2 = 1/X^1$ and $\mathbb{R}_+ \ni x \mapsto 1/x$ is a strictly convex function, Jensen's inequality gives $\tilde{P}(E^{\tilde{P}}[X_t^2 | \mathcal{F}_s] > 1/E^{\tilde{P}}[X_t^1 | \mathcal{F}_s]) > 0$, for $s, t \in [0, T]$ with $s \leq t$, for any probability \tilde{P} such that X^1 is a true \tilde{P} -martingale.

Exercise 11.3 Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F}, P)$ be a filtered probability space with $\mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ satisfying the usual conditions. Let $M = (M_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ be a local martingale and $W = (W_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ a Brownian motion.

- (a) Let $H = (H_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ be in $L^2(M)$. Compute $E \left[\int_0^T H_s dM_s \right]$ and $\text{Var} \left[\int_0^T H_s dM_s \right]$. How do the expressions look like when $M := W$?
- (b) By finding a counterexample, show that the random variable $\int_0^T H_s dW_s$ is not normally distributed for any arbitrary continuous process $H \in L^2(W)$.
Hint: You may use the example at page 106 of the lecture notes.

Solution 11.3

- (a) Since $H \in L^2(M)$, we know that $(H \cdot M) \in \mathcal{M}_0^2$, i.e. $(H \cdot M)$ is a square-integrable RCLL (P, \mathbb{F}) -martingale null at 0 with $\sup_{t \geq 0} E[(H \cdot M)_t^2] < \infty$. In particular, both $E[(H \cdot M)_T]$ and $\text{Var}[(H \cdot M)_T]$ are finite for all $T \geq 0$. We must therefore have that

$$E \left[\int_0^T H_s dM_s \right] = E \left[E \left[\int_0^T H_s dM_s \mid \mathcal{F}_0 \right] \right] = E[(H \cdot M)_0] = 0.$$

For the variance, we compute

$$\text{Var} \left[\int_0^T H_s dM_s \right] = E \left[\left(\int_0^T H_s dM_s \right)^2 \right] = E \left[\int_0^T H_s^2 d[M]_s \right],$$

where the first equality uses that $E[(H \cdot M)_T] = 0$ and the second the isometry property of the stochastic integral with respect to a local martingale.

In the particular case of a Brownian motion, i.e. when $M = W$, no further simplification is needed for $E[(H \cdot W)_T]$. As for $\text{Var}[(H \cdot W)_T]$, we have that

$$E \left[\int_0^T H_s^2 d[W]_s \right] = E \left[\int_0^T H_s^2 ds \right] = \int_0^T E[H_s^2] ds,$$

where the last equality uses Fubini's theorem.

(b) From the example at page 106 of the lecture notes, we have that

$$\int_0^T W_s dW_s = \frac{1}{2}W_T^2 - \frac{1}{2}T.$$

Since W_T^2 only takes positive values, it is clear that $\frac{1}{2}W_T^2 - \frac{1}{2}T$ is bounded from below for every $T \geq 0$, so it cannot be normally distributed. More specifically,

$$\frac{1}{2}W_T^2 - \frac{1}{2}T \stackrel{d}{=} \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{T}W_1)^2 - \frac{1}{2}T,$$

and since $W_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$, we have that $W_1^2 \sim \chi_1^2$, so the distribution of $\int_0^T W_s dW_s$ is just a simple affine transformation of a chi-squared distribution with one degree of freedom.