

# Mathematical Foundations for Finance

## Exercise Sheet 7

**Exercise 7.1** Let  $W = (W_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a Brownian motion defined on some probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F}, P)$ , where  $\mathbb{F} := (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is a filtration satisfying the usual conditions.

(a) Show that the following stochastic processes are  $(P, \mathbb{F})$ -submartingales, but not martingales:

- (i)  $W^2$ ,
- (ii)  $e^{\alpha W}$  for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ .

*Hint: You may use the results from Exercise 6.3(b) and Exercise 6.3(a), respectively.*

(b) Show that any  $(P, \mathbb{F})$ -local martingale which is null at 0 and uniformly bounded from below is a  $(P, \mathbb{F})$ -supermartingale.

*Hint: We have done this in discrete time already.*

### Solution 7.1

(a) (i) Using the notation of Exercise 6.3(b), we notice that  $W_t^2 = W_t^2 - t + g(t)$ , where  $g(t) := t$ , for  $t \geq 0$ . By Proposition IV.2.3 in the lecture notes, we know that  $(W_t^2 - t)_{t \geq 0}$  is a  $(P, \mathbb{F})$ -martingale; hence, using that  $g$  is increasing, we can conclude that  $W^2$  is a  $(P, \mathbb{F})$ -submartingale. In order to show that  $W^2$  is not a martingale, we can use the martingale property of  $(W_t^2 - t)_{t \geq 0}$  to compute

$$E[W_t^2 | \mathcal{F}_s] = E[W_t^2 - t | \mathcal{F}_s] + t = W_s^2 - s + t > W_s^2 \text{ } P\text{-a.s.},$$

showing that  $W^2$  is not a  $(P, \mathbb{F})$ -martingale.

(ii) Adaptedness is clear since the transformation  $x \mapsto e^{\alpha x}$  is continuous, and since we know that  $W_t \stackrel{d}{=} W_t - W_0$  is  $\mathcal{N}(0, t)$ -distributed, the random variable  $e^{\alpha W_t}$  is integrable for any  $t \geq 0$ . Noting that  $x \mapsto e^{\alpha x}$  is also a convex function, we can then apply Exercise 6.3(a) to conclude that  $e^{\alpha W}$  is a  $(P, \mathbb{F})$ -submartingale. Next, Proposition IV.2.3 in the lecture notes gives us that  $(e^{\alpha W_t - \frac{1}{2}\alpha^2 t})_{t \geq 0}$  is a  $(P, \mathbb{F})$ -martingale; hence, we can

compute

$$E \left[ e^{\alpha W_t} \mid \mathcal{F}_s \right] = E \left[ e^{\alpha W_t - \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 t} \mid \mathcal{F}_s \right] e^{\frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 t} = e^{\alpha W_s} e^{\frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 (t-s)} > e^{\alpha W_s} \text{ } P\text{-a.s.},$$

showing that  $e^{\alpha W}$  is not a  $(P, \mathbb{F})$ -martingale.

- (b) Let  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a  $(P, \mathbb{F})$ -local martingale null at 0 and uniformly bounded from below by  $-a \leq 0$  and denote by  $(\tau_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  a localizing sequence. Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau_n = \infty$   $P$ -a.s., we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_{t \wedge \tau_n} = X_t \text{ } P\text{-a.s.}$$

Moreover, since  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is uniformly bounded from below by  $-a$ , we have that  $X_{t \wedge \tau_n} \geq -a$  and thus  $0 \leq |X_{t \wedge \tau_n}| \leq X_{t \wedge \tau_n} + 2a$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . By Fatou's lemma, we can then compute

$$\begin{aligned} E[|X_t|] &= E \left[ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |X_{t \wedge \tau_n}| \right] \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[|X_{t \wedge \tau_n}|] \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[X_{t \wedge \tau_n}] + 2a = 2a < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality uses the martingale property of  $X^{\tau_n}$  and the fact that it is null at 0. We have thus proved integrability. Since adaptedness is clear by the definition of a local martingale, it only remains to show the  $(P, \mathbb{F})$ -supermartingale property. Using again that  $X_{t \wedge \tau_n} \geq -a$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we can apply Fatou's lemma to obtain for  $t > s$

$$E[X_t \mid \mathcal{F}_s] = E \left[ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_{t \wedge \tau_n} \mid \mathcal{F}_s \right] \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[X_{t \wedge \tau_n} \mid \mathcal{F}_s] = X_s,$$

as desired.

**Exercise 7.2** Let  $W = (W_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a Brownian motion defined on some probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F}, P)$ , where  $\mathbb{F} := (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is a filtration satisfying the usual conditions. For any constants  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $a < 0 < b$ , consider the function  $\tau : \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  given by

$$\tau := \inf \{ t \geq 0 : W_t \notin [a, b] \}.$$

- (a) Show that  $\tau$  is a stopping time.

*Hint: You may use the right-continuity of the filtration  $\mathbb{F}$ .*

- (b) Prove that  $E[W_\tau] = 0$ .

*Hint: You may apply the dominated convergence theorem.*

- (c) Compute  $P[W_\tau = a]$ .

*Hint: You may use the result from (b).*

**Solution 7.2**

(a) Fix  $s > 0$ . We have

$$\{\tau < s\} = \bigcup_{r \in [0, s)} \{W_r \notin [a, b]\} = \bigcup_{r \in [0, s) \cap \mathbb{Q}} \{W_r \notin [a, b]\},$$

where in the last step we have used that  $W$  has continuous paths and the set  $[a, b]$  is closed. Since  $W$  is adapted, then  $\{W_r \in [a, b]\} \in \mathcal{F}_r \subseteq \mathcal{F}_s$  for all  $r \in [0, s)$ , and since  $[0, s) \cap \mathbb{Q}$  is countable, we thus have that

$$\{\tau < s\} \in \mathcal{F}_s.$$

This implies that for all  $t \geq 0$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\{\tau \leq t\} = \bigcap_{n=N}^{\infty} \{\tau < t + \frac{1}{n}\} \in \mathcal{F}_{t + \frac{1}{N}},$$

so that

$$\{\tau \leq t\} \in \bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_{t + \frac{1}{N}} = \mathcal{F}_{t+}.$$

Finally, since we have assumed that  $\mathbb{F}$  satisfies the usual conditions (and in particular is right-continuous), then  $\mathcal{F}_t = \mathcal{F}_{t+}$ , and hence

$$\{\tau \leq t\} = \mathcal{F}_t.$$

This completes the proof.

(b) Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We have that  $\tau \wedge n$  is a bounded stopping time, and thus by the stopping theorem (Theorem IV.2.2 in the lecture notes),

$$E[W_{\tau \wedge n}] = E[W_0] = 0.$$

By the law of the iterated logarithm (Proposition IV.1.2 in the lecture notes),  $\tau < \infty$   $P$ -a.s., and hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\tau \wedge n) = \tau \text{ } P\text{-a.s.},$$

so that  $W_{\tau \wedge n} \rightarrow W_{\tau}$   $P$ -a.s. as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $W_{\tau \wedge n} \in [a, b]$  by the definition of  $\tau$  (and since  $W_0 = 0$ ), we may apply the dominated convergence theorem to get

$$E[W_{\tau}] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[W_{\tau \wedge n}] = 0,$$

as required.

- (c) Since  $\tau < \infty$   $P$ -a.s.,  $W_\tau$  is either  $a$  or  $b$  (because  $W$  has continuous paths and starts at zero). It follows that

$$E[W_\tau] = aP[W_\tau = a] + bP[W_\tau = b],$$

and that

$$P[W_\tau = b] = 1 - P[W_\tau = a].$$

Substituting the latter equality into the former gives

$$E[W_\tau] = (a - b)P[W_\tau = a] + b.$$

Using part (b) and rearranging, we get

$$P[W_\tau = a] = \frac{b}{b - a}.$$

Note that from this we also immediately get

$$P[W_\tau = b] = \frac{-a}{b - a}.$$