

# Mathematical Finance

## Exercise Sheet 1

*Submit by 12:00 on Wednesday, September 27 via the course homepage.*

**Exercise 1.1** (*Path regularity and measurability*) Let  $S = (S_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a real-valued stochastic process. Define the processes  $S^*$  and  $A$  by  $S_t^* := \sup_{0 \leq r \leq t} S_r$  and  $A_t := \int_0^t S_r dr$  (when it exists), respectively.

(a) Show that if  $S$  is RCLL, then  $S^*$  is RCLL and  $A$  is well defined and continuous.

Fix a filtration  $\mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$  satisfying the usual conditions.

(b) Show that if  $S$  is RCLL and adapted, then also  $S^*$  and  $A$  are adapted.

(c) Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function and define the process  $\vartheta = (\vartheta_t)_{t \geq 0}$  by  $\vartheta_t := f(S_t, S_t^*, A_t)$ .

Show that if  $S$  is adapted and continuous, then  $\vartheta$  is predictable.

### Solution 1.1

(a) Throughout this part, a single  $\omega \in \Omega$  is fixed.

We first show that  $S^*$  is RCLL. Fix some  $t_0 \in [0, \infty)$ . Since  $S^*$  is nondecreasing by definition, the left and right limits  $\lim_{t \uparrow t_0} S_t^*$  and  $\lim_{t \downarrow t_0} S_t^*$  exist, and  $\lim_{t \downarrow t_0} S_t^* \geq S_{t_0}^*$ . It remains to show that  $\lim_{t \downarrow t_0} S_t^* \leq S_{t_0}^*$ . To this end, fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  and note that by right-continuity of  $S$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|S_t - S_{t_0}| < \varepsilon$  for all  $t \in [t_0, t_0 + \delta]$ . It follows that for all  $t \in [t_0, t_0 + \delta]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_t^* &\leq S_{t_0 + \delta}^* = \sup_{0 \leq r \leq t_0 + \delta} S_r = \max \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq r \leq t_0} S_r, \sup_{t_0 \leq r \leq t_0 + \delta} S_r \right\} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq r \leq t_0} S_r, S_{t_0} + \varepsilon \right\} \leq \sup_{0 \leq r \leq t_0} S_r + \varepsilon = S_{t_0}^* + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\lim_{t \downarrow t_0} S_t^* \leq S_{t_0}^* + \varepsilon$ , and letting  $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$  gives  $\lim_{t \downarrow t_0} S_t^* \leq S_{t_0}^*$ , as required.

For  $A$ , note first that any RCLL function has at most countably many discontinuities on any compact interval, and these form a null set for Lebesgue measure. So it is enough to integrate  $S$  only over those  $r \in [0, t]$  where it is continuous, and then clearly  $A_t$  is well defined.

It remains to show that  $A$  is continuous. To this end, fix  $0 \leq s < t < \infty$ , and note that

$$|A_t - A_s| = \left| \int_s^t S_r \, dr \right| \leq \int_s^t |S_r| \, dr \leq |t - s| \sup_{s \leq r \leq t} |S_r|.$$

Since RCLL functions are locally bounded,  $\sup_{s \leq r \leq t} |S_r|$  exists and is finite. It follows that  $A$  is continuous.

(b) We first show that  $S^*$  is adapted. Fix  $t \geq 0$ . Since  $S$  is right-continuous,

$$S_t^* = \sup_{0 \leq r \leq t} S_r = \sup_{0 \leq r \leq t, r \in \mathbb{Q} \cup \{t\}} |S_r|.$$

Using that  $S$  is adapted, it follows immediately that  $S_t^*$  is  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -measurable, and thus  $S^*$  is adapted.

It remains to show that  $A$  is adapted. To this end, consider for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the process  $S^{(n)}$  defined by

$$S_t^{(n)} := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_{\{\frac{k-1}{n} < t \leq \frac{k}{n}\}} S_{\frac{k}{n}}.$$

By (a.s.) right-continuity of  $S$ , we have  $S_t^{(n)} \rightarrow S_t$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  (a.s.). Since RCLL functions are bounded on compact intervals (here  $[0, t]$ ), we can use the dominated convergence theorem to get

$$A_t = \int_0^t S_r \, dr = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t S_r^{(n)} \, dr = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{[nt]} \frac{1}{n} S_{\frac{k}{n}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{[nt]-1} \frac{1}{n} S_{\frac{k}{n}},$$

where the above limit is understood to hold almost surely. Since each sum  $\sum_{k=1}^{[nt]-1} \frac{1}{n} S_{\frac{k}{n}}$  is  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -measurable, so is the limit  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{[nt]-1} \frac{1}{n} S_{\frac{k}{n}}$ . But  $A_t$  is equal to this limit up to a null set; so by completeness of  $\mathbb{F}$ ,  $A_t$  is also  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -measurable, and hence  $A$  is adapted, as required.

(c) Since the processes  $S, S^*, A$  are adapted, so is  $\vartheta$ .

Assume first that  $S$  is continuous. By repeating the argument in part (a), we can show that  $S^*$  is also continuous. We also know from part (a) that  $A$  is continuous, and thus so is  $\vartheta$ . It now follows that  $\vartheta$  is predictable, since it is an adapted and continuous process.

**Exercise 1.2** (*Geometric Brownian motion*) Fix constants  $S_0 > 0$ ,  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\sigma > 0$  and let  $W = (W_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a Brownian motion. Define the process  $S = (S_t)_{t \geq 0}$  by

$$S_t := S_0 \exp \left( \left( \mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) t + \sigma W_t \right).$$

The process  $S = (S_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is called a *geometric Brownian motion* and is the stock price process in the *Black–Scholes model*.

Find  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} S_t$  (if it exists) for all possible parameter constellations.

*Hint: You may use the law of the iterated logarithm.*

**Solution 1.2** We can rewrite  $S_t$  as

$$\begin{aligned} S_t &= S_0 \exp \left( \left( \mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) t + \sigma \sqrt{2t \log \log t} \frac{W_t}{\sqrt{2t \log \log t}} \right) \\ &= S_0 \exp \left( \sqrt{2t \log \log t} \left( \left( \mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) \frac{t}{\sqrt{2t \log \log t}} + \sigma \frac{W_t}{\sqrt{2t \log \log t}} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{2t \log \log t} = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t}{\sqrt{2t \log \log t}} = \infty$$

and by the law of the iterated logarithm, it follows that

- when  $\mu > \frac{\sigma^2}{2}$ ,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} S_t = \infty;$$

- when  $\mu < \frac{\sigma^2}{2}$ ,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} S_t = 0;$$

- when  $\mu = \frac{\sigma^2}{2}$ ,

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} S_t = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} S_t = \infty,$$

and hence  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} S_t$  does not exist.

*Alternative solution:* We can write

$$\log \frac{S_t}{S_0} = \left( \mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) t + \sigma W_t.$$

By the law of the iterated logarithm,  $W_t$  grows more slowly than  $t$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . So for  $\mu > \frac{\sigma^2}{2}$ ,  $\log(S_t/S_0) \rightarrow \infty$ , hence  $S_t \rightarrow \infty$ , and for  $\mu < \frac{\sigma^2}{2}$ ,  $\log(S_t/S_0) \rightarrow -\infty$ , hence  $S_t \rightarrow 0$ . For  $\mu = \frac{\sigma^2}{2}$ ,  $\log(S_t/S_0) = \sigma W_t$  has  $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sigma W_t = \infty$  and  $\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sigma W_t = -\infty$ , hence  $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} S_t = \infty$  and  $\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} S_t = 0$ , so that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} S_t$  does not exist.

**Exercise 1.3** (*Reparametrisation, Lemma 0.1(2)*) Fix a finite time horizon  $T > 0$  and let  $S = (S_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$  be a semimartingale. Prove that there is a bijection between self-financing strategies  $\varphi = (\varphi^0, \vartheta)$  and pairs

$$(v_0, \vartheta) \in L^0(\mathcal{F}_0) \times \{\text{predictable } S\text{-integrable processes}\}.$$

Give explicitly the bijection map and its inverse.

**Solution 1.3** Recall that a self-financing strategy is a pair  $\varphi = (\varphi^0, \vartheta)$ , where  $\varphi^0$  is an adapted real-valued process and  $\vartheta$  is a predictable,  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued and  $S$ -integrable process such that

$$V_t(\varphi) = V_0(\varphi) + \int_0^t \vartheta_r \, dS_r, \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

Now consider the map  $f$  defined on the family of self-financing strategies that sends each  $\varphi = (\varphi^0, \vartheta)$  to  $(v_0, \vartheta)$ , where

$$v_0 = V_0(\varphi) = \varphi_0^0 + \vartheta_0^{\text{tr}} S_0.$$

It is clear that  $v_0 \in L^0(\mathcal{F}_0)$ , and thus  $f$  is a well-defined map on the set of self-financing strategies into the space  $L^0(\mathcal{F}_0) \times \{\text{predictable } S\text{-integrable processes}\}$ . We show that  $f$  is a bijection.

Now consider the map  $g$  (which we show below to be the inverse of  $f$ ) defined on the space  $L^0(\mathcal{F}_0) \times \{\text{predictable } S\text{-integrable processes}\}$  that sends each  $(v_0, \vartheta)$  to  $(\varphi^0, \vartheta)$ , where the process  $\varphi^0$  is defined by

$$\varphi^0 = v_0 + \int \vartheta \, dS - \vartheta^{\text{tr}} S.$$

It is immediate that  $\varphi^0$  is a real-valued adapted process. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} V_t(\varphi^0, \vartheta) - V_0(\varphi^0, \vartheta) &= \varphi_t^0 + \vartheta_t^{\text{tr}} S_t - \varphi_0^0 - \vartheta_0^{\text{tr}} S_0 \\ &= v_0 + \int_0^t \vartheta_r \, dS_r - \vartheta_t^{\text{tr}} S_t + \vartheta_t^{\text{tr}} S_t - v_0 + \vartheta_0^{\text{tr}} S_0 - \vartheta_0^{\text{tr}} S_0 \\ &= \int_0^t \vartheta_r \, dS_r. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $g$  is a well-defined map into the set of self-financing strategies.

To see that  $f$  is a bijection onto the set  $L^0(\mathcal{F}_0) \times \{\text{predictable } S\text{-integrable processes}\}$ , it suffices to show that  $f$  and  $g$  are inverses of each other. To this end, take a self-financing strategy  $\varphi = (\varphi^0, \vartheta)$ , and compute

$$\begin{aligned} g \circ f(\varphi) &= g(\varphi_0^0 + \vartheta_0^{\text{tr}} S_0, \vartheta) = (\varphi_0^0 + \vartheta_0^{\text{tr}} S_0 + \int \vartheta \, dS - \vartheta^{\text{tr}} S, \vartheta) \\ &= (\varphi_0^0, \vartheta) = \varphi, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we used that  $\varphi$  is self-financing so that

$$\int \vartheta \, dS = V(\varphi) - V_0(\varphi) = \vartheta^{\text{tr}} S - \vartheta_0^{\text{tr}} S_0.$$

We have thus shown that  $g \circ f$  is the identity map.

Similarly, take  $(v_0, \vartheta) \in L^0(\mathcal{F}_0) \times \{\text{predictable } S\text{-integrable processes}\}$  and compute

$$\begin{aligned} f \circ g(v_0, \vartheta) &= f\left(v_0 + \int \varphi \, dS - \vartheta^{\text{tr}} S, \vartheta\right) = (v_0 - \vartheta_0^{\text{tr}} S_0 + \vartheta_0^{\text{tr}} S_0, \vartheta) \\ &= (v_0, \vartheta). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $f \circ g$  is also the identity map, and this completes the proof.