

Mathematical Finance

Exercise Sheet 8

Submit by 12:00 on Wednesday, November 22 via the course homepage.

Exercise 8.1 (*Uniqueness of the numéraire portfolio*)

- (a) Recall Jensen's inequality: if X is an integrable random variable taking values in an interval $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a convex function such that $f(X)$ is also integrable, then

$$E[f(X)] \geq f(E[X]).$$

Show that if f is strictly convex and X is not almost surely constant (i.e., there exists no $c \in \mathbb{R}$ with $P[X = c] = 1$), we have the strict inequality

$$E[f(X)] > f(E[X]).$$

- (b) By using part (a) or otherwise, show that there is at most one numéraire portfolio.

Solution 8.1

- (a) By definition, f is strictly convex means that for any distinct $x_1, x_2 \in I$, we have the strict inequality

$$f(tx_1 + (1-t)x_2) < tf(x_1) + (1-t)f(x_2), \quad \forall t \in (0, 1).$$

On the event $\{X \neq E[X]\}$, we have

$$f(tX + (1-t)E[X]) < tf(X) + (1-t)f(E[X]), \quad \forall t \in (0, 1).$$

As the above always holds with weak inequality and $P[X \neq E[X]] > 0$, we can take the expectation of both sides while preserving the strict inequality to get

$$E[f(tX + (1-t)E[X])] < tE[f(X)] + (1-t)f(E[X]), \quad \forall t \in (0, 1).$$

Applying Jensen's inequality to the left-hand side gives

$$f(E[X]) < tE[f(X)] + (1-t)f(E[X]), \quad \forall t \in (0, 1).$$

Rearranging gives $f(E[X]) < E[f(X)]$, as required.

- (b) Recall that an element $X \in \mathcal{X}_{++}^1$ is a numéraire portfolio if for all elements $V \in \mathcal{X}_{++}^1$, the quotient V/X is a supermartingale. So suppose $X, Y \in \mathcal{X}_{++}^1$ are numéraire portfolios. Then both X/Y and Y/X are supermartingales with $X_0 = Y_0 = 1$, and so for all $t \in [0, T]$,

$$E[X_t/Y_t] \leq E[X_0/Y_0] = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad E[Y_t/X_t] \leq E[Y_0/X_0] = 1.$$

Note that the function $x \mapsto 1/x$ is convex on $(0, \infty)$, and thus Jensen's inequality gives

$$1 \geq E[Y_t/X_t] \geq 1/E[X_t/Y_t] \geq 1,$$

which implies that $E[X_t/Y_t] = 1$ (and $E[Y_t/X_t] = 1$) for all $t \in [0, T]$. In particular, for each $t \in [0, T]$ we have

$$E[Y_t/X_t] = 1/E[X_t/Y_t].$$

As the function $x \mapsto 1/x$ is strictly convex on $(0, \infty)$, this implies that for each $t \in [0, T]$, the random variable X_t/Y_t is almost surely constant. Since $E[X_t/Y_t] = 1$, we obtain $X_t/Y_t = 1$ almost surely for all $t \in [0, T]$, and hence X and Y are modifications of each other. As X and Y are both right-continuous, they are even indistinguishable. This completes the proof.

Exercise 8.2 (*Finding the numéraire portfolio*) Show that if Z is an E σ MD for S and $1/Z \in \mathcal{X}_{++}^1$, then $1/Z$ is the numéraire portfolio.

Solution 8.2 Take some $V \in \mathcal{X}_{++}^1$. We need to show that $V/(1/Z) = ZV$ is a supermartingale. To this end, we write $V = 1 + G(\vartheta)$ for some $\vartheta \in \Theta^1$ and apply the stochastic product rule to $ZG(\vartheta)$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} d(ZG(\vartheta)) &= Z_- dG(\vartheta) + G_-(\vartheta) dZ + d[Z, G(\vartheta)] \\ &= Z_- \vartheta dS + G_-(\vartheta) dZ + \vartheta d[Z, S]. \end{aligned}$$

Again by the stochastic product rule, we have

$$d(ZS) = Z_- dS + S_- dZ + d[Z, S],$$

and therefore $d[Z, S] = d(ZS) - Z_- dS - S_- dZ$. We thus have

$$\begin{aligned} d(ZG(\vartheta)) &= Z_- \vartheta dS + G_-(\vartheta) dZ + \vartheta d(ZS) - \vartheta Z_- dS - \vartheta S_- dZ \\ &= G_-(\vartheta) dZ + \vartheta d(ZS) - \vartheta S_- dZ \\ &= (G_-(\vartheta) - \vartheta S_-) dZ + \vartheta d(ZS). \end{aligned}$$

As Z is an E σ MD for S , ZS is a σ -martingale and thus an integral against a local martingale. Since Z is also a local martingale (as it is an E σ MD), we have that

$ZG(\vartheta)$ is an integral against a (multi-dimensional) local martingale, and thus so is $ZG(\vartheta) + Z = ZV$. As $Z > 0$ and $V = 1 + G(\vartheta) > 0$, we have $ZV > 0$, and hence the Ansel–Stricker theorem implies that ZV is a supermartingale, as required.

Exercise 8.3 (*Yor’s formula*) Recall that for a semimartingale X , the *stochastic exponential* of X , denoted by $\mathcal{E}(X)$, is the unique solution Z to the SDE

$$dZ = Z_- dX, \quad Z_0 = 1.$$

Prove that for two semimartingales X and Y , the following equality holds

$$\mathcal{E}(X)\mathcal{E}(Y) = \mathcal{E}(X + Y + [X, Y]).$$

Solution 8.3 By definition of the stochastic exponential, it suffices to show that $\mathcal{E}(X)\mathcal{E}(Y)$ satisfies the SDE

$$dZ = Z_- d(X + Y + [X, Y]), \quad Z_0 = 1.$$

As a first step, we clearly have $\mathcal{E}(X)_0\mathcal{E}(Y)_0 = 1$. Next, we apply the stochastic product rule to get

$$d(\mathcal{E}(X)\mathcal{E}(Y)) = \mathcal{E}(X)_- d\mathcal{E}(Y) + \mathcal{E}(Y)_- d\mathcal{E}(X) + d[\mathcal{E}(X), \mathcal{E}(Y)].$$

By definition of the stochastic exponential, we have that $d\mathcal{E}(X) = \mathcal{E}(X)_- dX$ and $d\mathcal{E}(Y) = \mathcal{E}(Y)_- dY$. Substituting these values into the above equality gives

$$\begin{aligned} d(\mathcal{E}(X)\mathcal{E}(Y)) &= \mathcal{E}(X)_-\mathcal{E}(Y)_- dY + \mathcal{E}(Y)_-\mathcal{E}(X)_- dX + \mathcal{E}(X)_-\mathcal{E}(Y)_- d[X, Y] \\ &= \mathcal{E}(X)_-\mathcal{E}(Y)_- d(X + Y + [X, Y]). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

Exercise 8.4 (*Digital option*) In the Black–Scholes model, consider the *digital option* with undiscounted payoff $\widetilde{H} = \mathbf{1}_{\{\widetilde{S}_T > \widetilde{K}\}}$, where $\widetilde{K} > 0$ is fixed. Calculate the arbitrage-free price process and the replicating strategy of the digital option, and thus conclude that it is attainable.

Solution 8.4 Let Q denote the EMM for S , and W^Q the corresponding Q -Brownian motion so that $S_t = S_0 \exp(\sigma W_t^Q - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 t)$ for all $t \in [0, T]$. Note this also implies that $S_T = S_t \exp(\sigma(W_T^Q - W_t^Q) - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(T - t))$. To compute the arbitrage-free price of the digital option at time t , we start by applying the risk-neutral valuation formula

to get

$$\begin{aligned}
V_t &= E_Q[H \mid \mathcal{F}_t] = e^{-rT} E_Q[\mathbf{1}_{\{S_T > K\}} \mid \mathcal{F}_t] = e^{-rT} Q[S_T > K \mid \mathcal{F}_t] \\
&= e^{-rT} Q \left[S_t \exp \left(\sigma(W_T^Q - W_t^Q) - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(T-t) \right) > K \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right] \\
&= e^{-rT} Q \left[x \exp \left(\sigma(W_T^Q - W_t^Q) - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(T-t) \right) > K \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right] \Big|_{x=S_t} \\
&= e^{-rT} Q \left[\sigma(W_T^Q - W_t^Q) > \log \frac{K}{x} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(T-t) \right] \Big|_{x=S_t}.
\end{aligned}$$

As W^Q is a Q -Brownian motion, we can write

$$\sigma(W_T^Q - W_t^Q) = \sigma\sqrt{T-t}Z,$$

where $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ under Q . We thus have

$$\begin{aligned}
V_t &= e^{-rT} Q \left[Z > \frac{\log \frac{K}{x} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(T-t)}{\sigma\sqrt{T-t}} \right] \Big|_{x=S_t} \\
&= e^{-rT} \Phi \left(\frac{\log \frac{x}{K} - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(T-t)}{\sigma\sqrt{T-t}} \right) \Big|_{x=S_t},
\end{aligned}$$

where Φ denotes the cumulative distribution function of the standard normal distribution. Let us write

$$v(t, x) := e^{-rT} \Phi \left(\frac{\log \frac{x}{K} - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(T-t)}{\sigma\sqrt{T-t}} \right),$$

so that $v(t, S_t) = V_t$. Applying Itô's formula to $v(t, S_t)$ allows us to write V_t as the sum of an integral against t and a stochastic integral against S_t . As V is a Q -martingale, it must be the case that the integral against t is zero. Moreover, we can see that the integrand of the integral against S_t is

$$\vartheta_t := \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(t, S_t) = \frac{e^{-rT}}{\sigma\sqrt{T-t}} \phi \left(\frac{\log \frac{S_t}{K} - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(T-t)}{\sigma\sqrt{T-t}} \right) \frac{1}{S_t},$$

where ϕ denotes the probability density function of the standard normal distribution. As $V \geq 0$, then

$$V = V_0 + \int \vartheta dS \geq 0,$$

and so the digital option is attainable, with the replicating strategy given by (V_0, ϑ) . In undiscounted units, we can write

$$\tilde{V}_t = e^{rt}V_t = e^{rt}v(t, S_t) = e^{rt}v(t, e^{-rt}\tilde{S}_t) =: \tilde{v}(t, \tilde{S}_t),$$

where $\tilde{v}(t, x) := e^{rt}v(t, e^{-rt}x)$. The replicating is now $(V_0, \tilde{\vartheta})$, where

$$\tilde{\vartheta} = \frac{\partial \tilde{v}}{\partial x}(t, \tilde{S}_t) = \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(t, S_t) = \vartheta.$$

This completes the proof.