

Mathematical Finance

Exercise Sheet 9

Submit by 12:00 on Wednesday, November 29 via the course homepage.

Exercise 9.1 (*Coherent risk measure*) Recall the map $\pi^s : L^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\pi^s(H) := \inf \left\{ v_0 \in \mathbb{R} : v_0 + \int_0^T \vartheta_u dS_u \geq H \text{ } P\text{-a.s. for some } \vartheta \in \Theta_{\text{adm}} \right\}.$$

Prove that $\rho := -\pi^s$ is a *coherent risk measure*. That is, for all $H, H' \in L^\infty$,

1. $\pi^s(H) \leq \pi^s(H')$ if $H \leq H'$ *P*-a.s. (*monotonicity*),
2. $\pi^s(H + c) = \pi^s(H) + c$ for all $c \in \mathbb{R}$ (*cash invariance*),
3. $\pi^s(\lambda H) = \lambda \pi^s(H)$ for all $\lambda > 0$ (*positive homogeneity*),
4. $\pi^s(H + H') \leq \pi^s(H) + \pi^s(H')$ (*subadditivity*).

Deduce that π^s is convex.

What happens in 3. for $\lambda = 0$?

Solution 9.1 Note that $\pi^s(H) \leq \|H\|_\infty$ because $\vartheta \equiv 0 \in \Theta_{\text{adm}}$. We check that π^s satisfies the four conditions.

1. Let $v_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that there exists $\vartheta \in \Theta_{\text{adm}}$ with $v_0 + \int_0^T \vartheta_u dS_u \geq H'$. Then certainly $v_0 + \int_0^T \vartheta_u dS_u \geq H$, and hence $v_0 \geq \pi^s(H)$. Taking the infimum over all such $v_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ gives $\pi^s(H') \geq \pi^s(H)$ as required.
2. Note that for $v_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\vartheta \in \Theta_{\text{adm}}$, we have

$$v_0 + \int_0^T \vartheta_u dS_u \geq H + c \iff v_0 - c + \int_0^T \vartheta_u dS_u \geq H.$$

It follows that the set

$$\left\{ v_0 \in \mathbb{R} : v_0 + \int_0^T \vartheta_u dS_u \geq H + c \text{ } P\text{-a.s. for some } \vartheta \in \Theta_{\text{adm}} \right\}$$

is equal to

$$\left\{ v_0 \in \mathbb{R} : v_0 + \int_0^T \vartheta_u dS_u \geq H \text{ } P\text{-a.s. for some } \vartheta \in \Theta_{\text{adm}} \right\} + c.$$

Taking the infimum over both sets gives $\pi^s(H + c) = \pi^s(H) + c$.

3. Fix $\lambda > 0$ and take $v_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\vartheta \in \Theta_{\text{adm}}$ with $v_0 + \int_0^T \vartheta_u dS_u \geq \lambda H$. Then we have $v_0/\lambda + \int_0^T (\vartheta_u/\lambda) dS_u \geq H$. Since $\vartheta_u/\lambda \in \Theta_{\text{adm}}$, we have shown that the set

$$\left\{ v_0 \in \mathbb{R} : v_0 + \int_0^T \vartheta_u dS_u \geq \lambda H \text{ } P\text{-a.s. for some } \vartheta \in \Theta_{\text{adm}} \right\}$$

is a subset of

$$\lambda \left\{ v_0 \in \mathbb{R} : v_0 + \int_0^T \vartheta_u dS_u \geq H \text{ } P\text{-a.s. for some } \vartheta \in \Theta_{\text{adm}} \right\}.$$

We can repeat the above argument to see that the above two sets are indeed equal. Then taking the infimum of both sets gives $\pi^s(\lambda H) = \lambda \pi^s(H)$ as required.

4. Suppose $v_0, v'_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ are such that there exist $\vartheta, \vartheta' \in \Theta_{\text{adm}}$ with

$$v_0 + \int_0^T \vartheta_u dS_u \geq H \quad \text{and} \quad v'_0 + \int_0^T \vartheta'_u dS_u \geq H'.$$

Then we have

$$v_0 + v'_0 + \int_0^T (\vartheta_u + \vartheta'_u) dS_u \geq H + H'.$$

As $\vartheta + \vartheta' \in \Theta_{\text{adm}}$, it follows that $v_0 + v'_0 \geq \pi^s(H + H')$. Taking the infimum over all such v_0 and v'_0 gives $\pi^s(H) + \pi^s(H') \geq \pi^s(H + H')$ as required.

We have thus shown that $-\pi^s$ is a coherent risk measure. To see that it is convex, take $H, H' \in \Theta_{\text{adm}}$ and $t \in (0, 1)$. We have by 4. and 3. that

$$\pi^s(tH + (1-t)H') \leq \pi^s(tH) + \pi^s((1-t)H') = t\pi^s(H) + (1-t)\pi^s(H'),$$

so that π^s is convex. This completes the proof.

Finally, for $\lambda = 0$, 3. reads $\pi^s(0) = 0$, i.e.

$$\inf \left\{ v_0 \in \mathbb{R} : v_0 + \int_0^T \vartheta_u dS_u \geq H \text{ } P\text{-a.s. for some } \vartheta \in \Theta_{\text{adm}} \right\} = 0.$$

First note that $\pi^s(0) \leq 0$, as we can take $v_0 = 0$ and $\vartheta \equiv 0$. Now suppose for a contradiction that $\pi^s(0) < 0$. Then there is $v_0 < 0$ with $\int_0^T \vartheta_u dS_u \geq -v_0 > 0$ P -a.s. for some $\vartheta \in \Theta_{\text{adm}}$. This violates (NA). So if S satisfies (NA), 3. also holds for $\lambda = 0$.

Exercise 9.2 (*Minimum principle*) Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$ be a filtered probability space satisfying the usual conditions, and let $X = (X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a nonnegative RCLL supermartingale. Define the stopping time τ_0 by

$$\tau_0 := \inf\{t \geq 0 : X_t \wedge X_{t-} = 0\}.$$

Show that $X \equiv 0$ on $[[\tau_0, \infty[$ P -a.s.

This result is known as the minimum principle for nonnegative supermartingales.

Solution 9.2 Extend X to be a supermartingale on $[0, \infty]$ by setting $X_\infty := 0$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define the stopping time $\tau_n := \inf\{t \geq 0 : X_t < \frac{1}{n}\}$. Note that by right-continuity of X , we have $X_{\tau_n} \leq \frac{1}{n}$ on $\{\tau_n < \infty\}$. But also $X_{\tau_n} = 0$ on $\{\tau_n = \infty\}$, and thus $X_{\tau_n} \leq \frac{1}{n}$ on all of Ω . Now fix $r \geq 0$. As $\tau_n \leq \tau_0 \leq \tau_0 + r$, we can apply the optional stopping theorem with stopping times $\tau_n \leq \tau_0 + r$ to get

$$E[X_{\tau_0+r}] \leq E[X_{\tau_n}] \leq \frac{1}{n}.$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ gives $E[X_{\tau_0+r}] \leq 0$, and as X is nonnegative, this implies that $X_{\tau_0+r} = 0$ P -a.s. Considering the intersection of the events $\{X_{\tau_0+r} = 0\}$ over $r \in \mathbb{Q}^+$ and using right-continuity of X gives the claim.

Exercise 9.3 (σ -martingales)

- (a) Let $Y = (Y_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ be an RCLL process and $Q \approx P$ an equivalent measure with density process Z given by $Z_t := \frac{dQ}{dP}|_{\mathcal{F}_t}$. Then Y is a Q - σ -martingale if and only if ZY is a P - σ -martingale.

Hint. You may use Bayes theorem and the fact that the sum of two σ -martingales is a σ -martingale.

- (b) Show that if S admits a P -equivalent σ -martingale density and $Q \approx P$ on \mathcal{F}_T , then S also admits a Q -equivalent σ -martingale density.

Solution 9.3

- (a) Suppose first that Y is a Q - σ -martingale. We show that ZY is a P - σ -martingale. Assume for simplicity that $Y_0 = 0$, and write $Y = \psi \bullet M$ for some Q -local martingale M and $\psi \in L(M)$. Applying the stochastic product rule to ZY , we get

$$d(ZY) = Y_- dZ + Z_- dY + d[Z, Y].$$

Note that since $Y = \psi \bullet M$, we have $dY = \psi dM$ and hence

$$Z_- dY = \psi Z_- dM = \psi d(Z_- \bullet M).$$

Also, by again using $Y = \psi \bullet M$, we can write

$$d[Z, Y] = d[Z, \psi \bullet M] = \psi d[Z, M].$$

We can thus rewrite $d(ZY)$ as

$$d(ZY) = Y_- dZ + \psi d(Z_- \bullet M) + \psi d[Z, M].$$

By applying the stochastic product rule to ZM , we have

$$d(ZM) = Z_- dM + M_- dZ + d[M, Z],$$

and hence

$$Z_- \bullet M = ZM - Z_0M_0 - M_- \bullet Z - [M, Z].$$

We thus have

$$\begin{aligned} d(ZY) &= Y_- dZ + \psi d(ZM - M_- \bullet Z - [M, Z]) + \psi d[Z, M] \\ &= Y_- dZ + \psi d(ZM - M_- \bullet Z). \end{aligned}$$

Note that as Z is the density process of Q with respect to P , it is a P -martingale. Also, Bayes' theorem implies that ZM is a P -local martingale, since M is a Q -local martingale. Note also that since M_- is locally bounded, the stochastic integral $M_- \bullet Z$ is a P -local martingale. Hence the difference $ZM - M_- \bullet Z$ is a P -local martingale, and thus $\psi \bullet (ZM - M_- \bullet Z)$ is a P - σ -martingale. As $Y_- \bullet Z$ is a P -local martingale, it is a P - σ -martingale, and thus so is ZY , as claimed.

For the converse, simply repeat the above argument, but with Y replaced by ZY and Z replaced by $\frac{1}{Z}$, noting that $\frac{1}{Z}$ is the density process of P with respect to Q , which is a Q -martingale.

- (b) We need to show that S admits a Q -equivalent σ -martingale density. Let D denote the given P -equivalent σ -martingale density. Then $D > 0$, D is a P -local martingale and DS is a P - σ -martingale. We define the process $Y := \frac{Z_0}{Z} DS$. Then $ZY = Z_0 DS$ is a P - σ -martingale, so by using part (a), we conclude that Y is a Q - σ -martingale. Also, as D is a P -local martingale, Bayes' theorem implies that $\frac{Z_0}{Z} D$ is a Q -local martingale. Finally, since $\frac{Z_0}{Z}$ is strictly positive (by the minimum principle for nonnegative supermartingales, since $Z_T > 0$) and is 1 at zero, we conclude that $\frac{Z_0}{Z} D$ is an Q -equivalent σ -martingale density for S . This completes the proof.

Exercise 9.4 (*A property of \mathcal{Z}*) Fix $Q \in \mathbb{P}_{e,\sigma}(S)$. Recall that for each $t \in [0, T]$, we let \mathcal{Z}_t denote the space of RCLL martingales Z such that $Z_s = \frac{dR}{dQ}|_{\mathcal{F}_s}$ for all $0 \leq s \leq T$ for some $R \in \mathbb{P}_{e,\sigma}(S)$ with $R = Q$ on \mathcal{F}_t .

Prove that if $Z^1, Z^2 \in \mathcal{Z}_t$ and $A \in \mathcal{F}_t$, then $Z^1 \mathbf{1}_A + Z^2 \mathbf{1}_{A^c} \in \mathcal{Z}_t$.

Solution 9.4 For notational convenience, we set $Z := Z^1 \mathbf{1}_A + Z^2 \mathbf{1}_{A^c}$. We first show that Z is a martingale. To start, note that since $Z_s^1 = Z_s^2 = 1$ for all $s \in [0, t]$, then $Z_s = 1$ for $s \in [0, t]$. Since Z^1 and Z^2 are adapted and $A \in \mathcal{F}_t$, it follows that Z is adapted. As Z^1 and Z^2 are RCLL and integrable, then so is Z . It remains to show that Z satisfies the martingale property, i.e. that for all $0 \leq s \leq u$, we have

$E[Z_u | \mathcal{F}_s] = Z_s$. To this end, first note that for $t \leq s \leq u \leq T$, we have $A \in \mathcal{F}_s$ and thus

$$\begin{aligned} E[Z_u | \mathcal{F}_s] &= E[Z_u^1 \mathbf{1}_A + Z_u^2 \mathbf{1}_{A^c} | \mathcal{F}_s] = E[Z_u^1 | \mathcal{F}_s] \mathbf{1}_A + E[Z_u^2 | \mathcal{F}_s] \mathbf{1}_{A^c} = Z_s^1 \mathbf{1}_A + Z_s^2 \mathbf{1}_{A^c} \\ &= Z_s. \end{aligned}$$

Next, for $0 \leq s \leq t \leq u$, we use the tower law together with the above to get

$$E[Z_u | \mathcal{F}_s] = E[E[Z_u | \mathcal{F}_t] | \mathcal{F}_s] = E[Z_t | \mathcal{F}_s] = E[Z_t^1 \mathbf{1}_A + Z_t^2 \mathbf{1}_{A^c} | \mathcal{F}_s] = 1 = Z_s.$$

Lastly, the case $0 \leq s \leq u \leq t$ is trivial, since then $E[Z_u | \mathcal{F}_s] = 1 = Z_s$. We have thus shown that Z is an RCLL martingale.

Note also that $Z > 0$ and that $Z \equiv 1$ on $[0, t]$. By Exercise 9.3(a), it suffices to show that ZS is a Q - σ -martingale since we can then conclude that the probability measure R satisfying $\frac{dR}{dQ} = Z_T$ is an equivalent σ -martingale measure for S . To this end, first note that since $Z^1 S$ and $Z^2 S$ are Q - σ -martingales, there exist local martingales M^1, M^2 and positive integrands ψ^1, ψ^2 such that

$$Z^1 S - Z_0^1 S_0 = \psi^1 \bullet M^1 \quad \text{and} \quad Z^2 S - Z_0^2 S_0 = \psi^2 \bullet M^2.$$

Using that $Z = Z^1 \mathbf{1}_A + Z^2 \mathbf{1}_{A^c}$ together with $Z_0^1 = Z_0^2 = Z_0 = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} ZS - Z_0 S_0 &= Z^1 S \mathbf{1}_A + Z^2 S \mathbf{1}_{A^c} - S_0 \\ &= (Z^1 S - Z_0^1 S_0) \mathbf{1}_A + (Z^2 S - Z_0^2 S_0) \mathbf{1}_{A^c} \\ &= (\psi^1 \bullet M^1) \mathbf{1}_A + (\psi^2 \bullet M^2) \mathbf{1}_{A^c}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, as $A \in \mathcal{F}_t$, the processes ϕ^1, ϕ^2 defined by

$$\phi^1 := \psi^1 \mathbf{1}_{[0, t]} + \psi^1 \mathbf{1}_{A \times (t, \infty)} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi^2 := \psi^2 \mathbf{1}_{A^c \times (t, \infty)}$$

are predictable. By checking the values at times $s \leq t$ and $s > t$, we can see that

$$\phi^1 \bullet M^1 + \phi^2 \bullet M^2 = (\psi^1 \bullet M^1) \mathbf{1}_A + (\psi^2 \bullet M^2) \mathbf{1}_{A^c} = ZS - Z_0 S_0.$$

Using that $\phi^1 \bullet M^1$ and $\phi^2 \bullet M^2$ are Q - σ -martingales and the fact that the sum of two σ -martingales is a σ -martingale, we conclude that also ZS is a Q - σ -martingale. This completes the proof.