Questions marked with (*) are a bit more complex, you might want to skip them at the first read. Hints available in the next page.

4.1. BONUS PROBLEM. Consider the function $u: (x, y) \mapsto x^{\sin(y)}$, defined for $(x, y) \in (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. Compute $\partial_x u$ and $\partial_y u$.

4.2. Connected graphs. Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open and connected and let $f \in C^1(U, \mathbb{R}^m)$. Show that its graph

$$\Gamma_f := \{ (x, f(x)) : x \in U \}$$

is a connected subset of $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m$.

4.3. *p*-norms. For $p \ge 1$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ define the *p*-norm of *x* as

$$|x|_p := \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p\right)^{1/p}$$

- 1. For n = 2 and p = 1, 2, 10 sketch the sets $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |x|_p \le 1\}$.
- 2. For a given $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, compute the limit $|x|_{\infty} := \lim_{p \to \infty} |x|_p$.
- 3. Using an appropriate inequality that you have seen in class, prove that

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^{p-1} b_i\right)^2 \le \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^p\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^{p-2} b_i^2\right),$$

whenever a_i, b_i are *n*-tuples of positive numbers.

4. Fix $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and consider the function $f: [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}$ defined as

$$f(t) := |tx + (1-t)y|_p = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |tx_i + (1-t)y_i|^p\right)^{1/p}.$$
(1)

Show that f is convex. You may assume that the coordinates of x and y are all strictly positive and use the inequality of the previous point.

5. Deduce from the previous point that the triangular inequality holds, i.e.,

$$|x+y|_p \le |x|_p + |y|_p$$
 for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

- 6. What happens for $p \in (0, 1)$?
- **4.4.** *p*-means. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with positive coordinates and $p \neq 0$ define the *p*-mean as

$$\mu_p(x) := \left(\frac{x_1^p + \ldots + x_n^p}{n}\right)^{1/p}$$

1. Compute the limits $p \to \pm \infty, p \to 0$ and define accordingly

$$\mu_{-\infty}(x), \quad \mu_0(x), \quad \mu_{+\infty}(x).$$

2. For any *n*-tuple of numbers $a_i > 0$ show that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{a_i \log(a_i)}{a_1 + \ldots + a_n} \ge \log\left(\frac{a_1 \ldots + a_n}{n}\right).$$

- 3. For a fixed x, show that the function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, given by $f(t) := \mu_t(x)$, is continuous and increasing.
- 4. Prove the Arithmetic-Geometric inequality and Arithmetic-Quadratic inequality:

$$n(x_1x_2\cdots x_n)^{1/n} \le x_1 + \ldots + x_n, \qquad (x_1 + \ldots + x_n)^2 \le n(x_1^2 + \ldots + x_n^2).$$

5. (*) Is f continuously differentiable in the whole \mathbb{R} ?

4.5. All norms are equivalent in \mathbb{R}^n . Let $|\cdot|$ denote the standard Euclidean norm in \mathbb{R}^n and let $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to [0, \infty)$ be another norm (that is a function satisfying the properties of Definition 9.91).

1. Expressing x in a basis and using the "abstract" properties that f must have, show that there is a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that

$$f(x) \leq C_1|x|$$
 for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

- 2. Show that f is continuous in \mathbb{R}^n (with respect to the standard distance of \mathbb{R}^n !).
- 3. Show that there is a number $c_2 > 0$ such that

$$f(x) \ge c_2$$
 for all $|x| = 1$.

- 4. Conclude that $f(x) \ge c_2 |x|$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.
- 5. Show that if \tilde{f} is yet another norm, then there is C > 0 such that

$$C^{-1}f(x) \leq \tilde{f}(x) \leq Cf(x)$$
 for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

4.6. Hilbert Schmidt norm of the composition. Take two linear functions $\phi \colon \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\psi \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$, and denote with Φ, Ψ the matrices that represent them in the canonical basis'. Recall that the linear map $\psi \circ \phi \colon \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is represented in these basis' by the matrix $\Psi \cdot \Phi$. Show that

$$\|\Psi \cdot \Phi\| \le \|\Psi\| \|\Phi\|,$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ is the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of a matrix (see 10.1.3 in the notes).

4.7. Mean value for vector-valued functions. Let $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^m)$ for m > 1. Is it true that there is $t \in [0, 1]$ such that

$$f(1) - f(0) = Df_t(1) = \begin{bmatrix} f'_1(t) \\ \vdots \\ f'_m(t) \end{bmatrix}?$$

Prove it or provide a counterexample.

- **4.8.** A directional derivative vanish. Let $u \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\nu \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Show that
 - 1. If $\partial_1 u \equiv 0$ then "*u* does not depend on x_1 ", more rigorously: there exists a unique function $v \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ such that

$$u(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = v(x_2, \ldots, x_n)$$
 for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. (2)

2. If $\partial_{\nu} u \equiv 0$ and $\nu \cdot e_1 \neq 0$ then "*u* is a function of n-1 variables", more rigorously: there exists a unique function $w \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ such that

$$u(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = w(x_2 - \frac{x_1\nu_2}{\nu_1},\ldots,x_n - \frac{x_1\nu_n}{\nu_1})$$
 for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

3. (*) What can we conclude if we assume only that $\partial_1 u = 0$ in an open connected subset $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$?

Hints:

- 4.3.3 Use Cauchy–Schwartz and the fact that $a_i^{p-1}b_i = a_i^{p/2} \cdot a_i^{(p-2)/2}b_i$.
- 4.3.4 Show that $f''(t) \ge 0$, it is not the simplest derivative, but if you get it right the inequality in 4.2.3 will be just what you need to prove that it $f'' \ge 0$.
- 4.3.5 Write the convexity inequality between x, y and the middle point (x + y)/2. To get the general case from the one with positive coordinates observe the following. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ denote with $\hat{x} := (|x_1|, \ldots, |x_n|)$, then

$$|x+y|_p \le |\hat{x}+\hat{y}|_p, \quad |\hat{x}|_p = |x|_p, \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

4.4.2 Combine the concavity of the $\log(\cdot)$ and the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality. You need to use the following little generalisation of the concavity inequality: for any concave function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$:

$$f(\lambda_1 x_1 + \ldots + \lambda_n x_n) \ge \lambda_1 f(x_1) + \ldots + \lambda_n f(x_n)$$

for all $x_i \in \mathbb{R}, 0 \le \lambda_i \le 1$ with $\lambda_1 + \ldots + \lambda_n = 1$.

- 4.4.3 It might be more convenient to work with $\log f(t)$, be careful with the computation, once again the inequality in 4.3.2 will be just what you need to prove that $f' \ge 0$.
- 4.5.2 Recall that from the definition it follows that $|f(x) f(y)| \le f(x y)$... And that Lipschitz functions are always continuous.
- 4.5.3 Apply Weierstrass Theorem to f on $S := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| = 1\}$ and use that f, being a norm, is nondegenerate.
- 4.5.5 If f is equivalent to $|\cdot|$ and \tilde{f} is equivalent to $|\cdot|$ it follows by transitivity that f is equivalent to \tilde{f} ...
 - 4.6 First recall that $||M||^2$ is the sum of the squares of the entries of M. Notice that $(\Psi \cdot \Phi)_j^i$ (*i*th row and *j*th column) is the scalar product of Ψ^i and Φ_j which are vectors of \mathbb{R}^n . Apply Cauchy-Schwartz to each of them.
 - 4.7 Try $f(t) = (\sin(2\pi t), \cos(2\pi t))...$
- 4.8.2 Apply the mean value theorem to $u(x + t\nu)$...
- 4.8.3 Consider the domain $U := \{(x, y) : x^2 < y < x^2 + 1\}$ and the function

$$u(x,y) := \begin{cases} \max\{0, y-2\}^2 & \text{if } x \ge 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \le 0. \end{cases}$$

4. Solutions

Solution of 4.1: $\partial_x u = \sin(y) x^{\sin(y)-1}$ and $\partial_y u = \log(x) \cos(y) x^{\sin(y)}$.

Solution of 4.2: We claim that Γ_f is in fact path connected. Let $(x_0, f(x_0))$ and $(x_1, f(x_1))$ be two points in Γ_f . Since $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is open and connected it is path connected, so there is $\gamma: [0, 1] \to U$ such that $\gamma(0) = x_0, \gamma(1) = x_1$. Then the path

$$[0,1] \ni t \mapsto (\gamma(t), f(\gamma(t)))$$

connects $(x_0, f(x_0))$ with $(x_1, f(x_1))$.

Solution of 4.3:

1.

2. $|x|_{\infty} = \max\{|x_1|, \ldots, |x_n|\}$, let's prove it. Assume that $|x_1| \ge |x_j|$ for all j's, then

$$|x_1| \le |x|_p = |x_1| \left(1 + \frac{|x_n|^p}{|x_1|^p} + \dots + \frac{|x_n|^p}{|x_1|^p} \right)^{1/p} \le |x_1| (1 + 1 + \dots + 1)^{1/p} = |x_1| n^{1/p},$$

and $|x_1|n^{1/p} \to |x_1|$ as $p \to \infty$.

3. This is Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in disguise:

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^{p-1} b_i\right)^2 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \underbrace{a_i^{\frac{p}{2}-1} b_i}_{=:x_i} \underbrace{a_i^{\frac{p}{2}}}_{=:y_i}\right)^2 \le \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i^2\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2\right) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^p\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^{p-2} b_i^2\right),$$

notice that we did not use $p \ge 1$, any $p \in \mathbb{R}$ would have worked.

4. We compute

$$f'(t) = \frac{1}{p}f(t)^{1-p}\frac{d}{dt}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}|tx_i + (1-t)y_i|^p\right) = f(t)^{1-p}\sum_{i=1}^{n}|tx_i + (1-t)y_i|^{p-1}(x_i - y_i),$$

and then

$$f''(t) = (1-p)f(t)^{-p}f'(t)\sum_{i=1}^{n} |tx_i + (1-t)y_i|^{p-1}(x_i - y_i) + (p-1)f(t)^{1-p}\sum_{i=1}^{n} |tx_i + (1-t)y_i|^{p-2}(x_i - y_i)^2,$$

so $f'' \ge 0$ if and only if

$$\frac{f'(t)}{f(t)^{1-p}}\sum_{i=1}^{n}|tx_i+(1-t)y_i|^{p-1}(x_i-y_i) \le f(t)^p\sum_{i=1}^{n}|tx_i+(1-t)y_i|^{p-2}(x_i-y_i)^2$$

which setting $a_i := |tx_i + (1-t)y_i|, b_i := x_i - y_i$, and substituting f, f' becomes

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^{p-1} b_i\right)^2 \le \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^p\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^{p-2} b_i^2\right),$$

assignment: March 11, 2023 due: March 18, 2023

which we proved at the previous point. Notice that we proved that

$$f''(t) = (p-1) \times \{\text{something } \ge 0\}$$

so we proved that if p < 1 then f is concave.

5. If the coordinates of x, y are non-negative we use the convexity inequality on f and get

$$\left|\frac{x+y}{2}\right|_p = f(1/2) \le \frac{f(0)+f(1)}{2} = \frac{|x|_p + |y|_p}{2},$$

simplifying the factors 2 we get the triangle inequality.

In the general case we use the trick in the hint and find

$$|x+y|_p \le |\hat{x}+\hat{y}|_p \le |\hat{x}|_p + |\hat{y}|_p = |x|_p + |y|_p.$$

6. Since f is concave for p < 1, one has the converse inequality:

$$|x+y|_p \ge |x|_p + |y|_p.$$

Solution of 4.4:

1. This is similar to 4.3.2: $\mu_{+\infty}(x) = \max\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$, let's prove it. Assume that $x_1 \ge x_j$ for all j's, then

$$x_1 \ge \mu_p(x) = x_1 \Big(1 + \frac{x_n^p}{x_1^p} + \ldots + \frac{x_n^p}{x_1^p} \Big)^{1/p} n^{-1/p} \ge x_1 n^{-1/p},$$

and $n^{-1/p} \to 1$ as $p \to \infty$.

Noticing that $1/\mu_p(x) = \mu_{-p}(1/x)$ we find

$$\mu_{-\infty}(x) = \lim_{p \to -\infty} \mu_p(x) = \lim_{q \to +\infty} (\mu_{-q}(1/x))^{-1} = \left(\max_i 1/x_i\right)^{-1} = \left(\frac{1}{\min_i x_i}\right)^{-1} = \min_i x_i.$$

Finally $\mu_0(x) = (x_1 \cdots x_n)^{1/n}$. Recall

$$y^t = e^{t \log y} = 1 + t \log(y) + O(t^2)$$
 as $t \to 0$, with $y > 0$ fixed.

Thus taking a log we find

$$\log \mu_p(x) = \frac{1}{p} \log \frac{x_1^p + \ldots + x_n^p}{n} = \frac{1}{p} \log \left(\frac{1 + \log(x_1)p + \ldots + 1 + \log(x_n)p + O(p^2)}{n} \right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{p} \log \left(1 + \frac{p}{n} \{ \log(x_1) + \ldots + \log(x_n) \} + O(p^2) \right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{p} \log \left(1 + \frac{p}{n} \log(x_1 \cdots x_n) + O(p^2) \right) = \frac{1}{n} \log(x_1 \cdots x_n) + O(p).$$

2. Using the convexity inequality of the hint with the convex function $x \mapsto x \log(x)$ and

$$x_i := a_i, \quad \lambda_i := \frac{1}{n}, \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, n,$$

we find that

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}a_i\log(a_i) \ge \frac{a_1+\ldots+a_n}{n}\log\left(\frac{a_1+\ldots+a_n}{n}\right),$$

which is what we wanted up to reshuffling the terms.

3. f(t) is continuous at all $t \neq 0$, since it is given by an analytic formula. At t = 0 we showed that the (bilateral) limit $\lim_{t\to 0} f(t) = \lim_{t\to 0} \mu_t(x) = \mu_0(x) = f(0)$ exists finite, so by Analysis I, f(t) is continuous on the whole \mathbb{R} . Computing the logarithmic derivative

$$(\log f(t))' = \frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{t} \{ \log(x_1^t + \dots + x_n^t) - \log(n) \}$$

= $-\frac{1}{t^2} \log\left(\frac{x_1^t + \dots + x_n^t}{n}\right) + \frac{1}{t} \frac{\frac{d}{dt}(x_1^t + \dots + x_n^t)}{(x_1^t + \dots + x_n^t)}$
= $-\frac{1}{t^2} \log\left(\frac{x_1^t + \dots + x_n^t}{n}\right) + \frac{1}{t^2} \frac{\log(x_1^t)x_1^t + \dots + \log(x_n^t)x_n^t}{(x_1^t + \dots + x_n^t)},$

which is nonnegative by the inequality of the previous point with $a_i = x_i^t$. This computation is rigorous at least for $t \neq 0$, and shows that f(t) is increasing in $(-\infty, 0) \cup (0, +\infty)$, thus (being continuous) it is increasing on the whole \mathbb{R} .

- 4. The AM-GM inequality is equivalent to $f(0) \leq f(1)$ while the AM QM inequality is equivalent to $f(1) \leq f(2)$. Since we proved that f is increasing both are true.
- 5. In order to understand if f is of class C^1 we have to understand whether f' extends continuously at t = 0. Since $(\log f)' = f'/f$ and f(0) > 0 it is the same to show that $(\log f)'$ extends continuously at t = 0 so we pick the previous formula and expand

$$\begin{aligned} (\log f(t))' &= \frac{1}{t^2} \bigg\{ \frac{\log(x_1^t) x_1^t + \ldots + \log(x_n^t) x_n^t}{(x_1^t + \ldots + x_n^t)} - \log\left(\frac{x_1^t + \ldots + x_n^t}{n}\right) \bigg\} \\ &= \frac{1}{t^2} \bigg\{ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \log(x_i^t) + \log(x_i^t)^2 + O(t^3)}{\sum_{i=1}^n 1 + \log(x_i^t) + \log(x_i^t)^2 + O(t^3)} - \log\left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{n} \log(x_i^t) + \frac{1}{n} \log(x_i^t)^2 + O(t^3)\right) \bigg\} \end{aligned}$$

where we used that for fixed z > 0 it holds, as $t \to 0$,

$$\log(z^{t})y^{t} = t\log(z) + t^{2}\log(z)^{2} + O(t^{3}), \quad z^{t} = 1 + t\log(z) + t^{2}\log(z)^{2} + O(t^{3}).$$

Now we short the notation to $y_i := \log(x_i)$ and compute everything

$$\begin{aligned} (\log f(t))' &= \frac{1}{t^2} \bigg\{ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n ty_i + t^2y_i^2 + O(t^3)}{\sum_{i=1}^n 1 + ty_i + t^2y_i^2 + O(t^3)} - \log \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{t}{n}y_i + \frac{t^2}{n}y_i^2 + O(t^3)\right) \bigg\} \\ &= \frac{1}{t^2} \bigg\{ \Big(\sum_{i=1}^n ty_i + t^2y_i^2 + O(t^3) \Big) \Big(\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n ty_i + O(t^2) \Big) \\ &- \sum_{i=1}^n (\frac{t}{n}y_i + \frac{t^2}{n}y_i^2) + \frac{1}{2} \Big(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{t}{n}y_i \Big)^2 + O(t^3) \bigg\} \\ &= \frac{1}{t^2} \bigg\{ \sum_{i=1}^n (\frac{t}{n}y_i + \frac{t^2}{n}y_i^2) - \Big(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{t}{n}y_i \Big)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n (\frac{t}{n}y_i + \frac{t^2}{n}y_i^2) + \frac{1}{2} \Big(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{t}{n}y_i \Big)^2 + O(t^3) \bigg\} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \Big(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \Big)^2 + O(t), \end{aligned}$$

where we used the expansions

$$\frac{1}{n+t} = \frac{1}{n} - \frac{t}{n^2} + O(t^2), \quad \log(1+t) = t - \frac{t^2}{2} + O(t^3).$$

Thus substituting back the y_i 's we proved

$$-2f'(t) = f(t) \{ (\log f(0))^2 + O(t) \} \text{ as } t \to 0,$$

which proves that f is continuously differentiable also at t = 0.

Solution of 4.5: See Theorem 9.107 in the notes.

Solution of 4.6: For $i \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$ we denote with Ψ^i the vector $(\Psi^i_\ell)_{1 \le \ell \le n}$ in \mathbb{R}^n . For $j \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ we denote with Φ_j the vector $(\Phi^\ell_j)_{1 \le \ell \le n}$ in \mathbb{R}^n . Notice that by definition of matrix multiplication

$$(\Psi \cdot \Phi)_j^i = \Psi^i \cdot \Phi_j.$$

Now, by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in \mathbb{R}^n we have

$$\|\Psi \cdot \Phi\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^d |\Psi^i \cdot \Phi_j|^2 \le \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^d |\Psi^i|^2 |\Phi_j|^2 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^m |\Psi^i|^2\right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^d |\Phi_j|^2\right) = \|\Psi\|^2 \|\Phi\|^2.$$

Solution of 4.7: It is false. If $f(t) = (\sin(2\pi t), \cos(2\pi t))$ then $f(1) - f(0) = 0 \in \mathbb{R}^m$, but $|f'(t)| = 2\pi |(\cos(2\pi t), -\sin(2\pi t))| = 2\pi \neq 0$.

Solution of 4.8:

1. Set $v(x_2, \ldots, x_n) := u(0, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ for all $(x_2, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. By definition of directional derivative, for each fixed $(x_2, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, we have that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Big(u(t,x_2,\ldots,x_n)-v(x_2,\ldots,x_n)\Big)=\partial_1 u(t,x_2,\ldots,x_n)=0,$$

thus $t \mapsto u(t, x_2, \ldots, x_n) - v(x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ is constant. Since it vanishes at t = 0 it is constantly zero, proving what we wanted.

- 2. Following the same reasoning: consider the difference $u(x) u(x + t\nu)$, freeze the x and prove that t derivative with respect to t is zero. We find that $u(x) = u(x + t\nu)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Now, choosing $-t := x_1/\nu_1$, we make the first coordinate vanish and find the sought formula.
- 3. Consider the function u and the domain U of the hint. We compute the partial derivatives:

$$\partial_1 u = 0, \quad \partial_2 u = 2 \max\{0, y - 2\},$$

which are manifestly continuous, so — by the sufficient condition seen in class — we have $u \in C^1(U)$. On the other hand u cannot be written as a function of y only since $2.5^2 = u(2, 4.5) \neq u(-2, 4.5) = 0$.

The representation formula (2) holds if U has a special structure, namely it is "convex in the x_1 -direction" that is

$$x, y \in U, x - y \in \mathbb{R}e_1, t \in [0, 1] \Rightarrow tx + (1 - t)y \in U.$$

Geometrically this means that if we slice U with the plane $\{x_1 = c\}$ then we can connect any point in U with this plane following a path aligned with e_1 .