Problems marked with a (\*) are a bit more complex and can be skipped at a first read. If you don't have a lot of time focus on the Problems/subquestions marked with ( $\heartsuit$ ).

## **8.1. BONUS PROBLEM.** Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a Jordan-null set (as in Definition 13.8).

- (a) Show rigorously that  $X \times X \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is also Jordan-null.
- (b) Show rigorously that  $X \times [0,1] \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is also Jordan-null.

## 8.2. True or False. $(\heartsuit)$

- 1. A bounded countable set is always Jordan-null.
- 2. A countable set is always Lebesgue-null.
- 3. Let  $D \subset [0,1]$  be a dense set (i.e.,  $\overline{D} = [0,1]$ ). Then  $\mu_{out}(D) = 1$ . ( $\mu_{out}$  was defined in Definition 13.7).
- 4. Let  $X, Y \subset [0, 1]$  Jordan measurable sets such that  $\mu(X) > 1/2$  and  $\mu(Y) > 1/2$ . Then  $X \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ .
- 5. Let  $X, Y \subset [0, 1]$  such that  $\mu_{out}(X) > 1/2$  and  $\mu_{out}(Y) > 1/2$ . Then  $X \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ .

**8.3. Fat Boundary.** Construct an open subset  $U \subset \mathbb{R}$  for which the boundary  $\partial U$  is not a null set.

**8.4.** Multiple Choice.  $(\heartsuit)$  Let  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a nonempty, open subset,  $f: U \to \mathbb{R}^m$  a function, and  $N \subset U$  a Jordan null set. In which of the following cases is the image  $f(N) \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  necessarily a null set? Attention: Only one answer is correct!

- 1. If f is uniformly continuous.
- 2. If f is uniformly continuous and  $m \ge n$ .
- 3. If f is locally Lipschitz continuous.
- 4. If f is locally Lipschitz continuous and  $m \ge n$ .

**8.5. Change of variables and Jacobians.**  $(\heartsuit)$  For each of the following domains and change of variables find the jacobian and the appropriate transformed domain. There is no need to actually compute the integrals!

1.  $A := \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x_1 > 0, x_2 < x_1, 1 < x_1^2 + x_2^2 < 4\}$  and  $x_1 = r \cos \theta, x_2 = r \sin \theta$ . Using the change of variables formula, complete the dots in the following formula

$$\int_A x_1^2 \sin(x_2) \, dx_1 dx_2 = \int_{\cdots} \dots \, dr d\theta$$

2.  $B := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 | 1 < xy < 2, x^2 < y < 2x^2\}$  and  $u := xy, v := x^2$ . Using the change of variables formula, complete the dots in the following formula

$$\int_{B} y^{2} e^{-xy} dx dy = \int_{\dots} \dots du dv$$

3.  $C := \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | 1 < z - 2y < 2, 0 < z < 1, -2 < 3x + y + z < 0\}$  and u := z, v := z - 2y, w := 3x + y + z. Using the change of variables formula, complete the dots in the following formula

$$\int_C xyz \ dxdy = \int_{\dots} \dots dudvdw.$$

**8.6. The Cantor set.** (\*) Let  $X \subset [0, 1]$  be the set of all real numbers whose decimal expansion does not contain the digit 8.<sup>1</sup> Show that:

- 1. X is a Lebesgue null set,
- 2. X is uncountable,
- 3.  $X \times X \subset [0,1]^2$  is a Lebesgue null set.
- 4. Show that X is compact (it is important the choice made in the footnote!).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The decimal expansion is not always unique. For example, 0.8 = 0.79999... Whenever x has at least one decimal expansion not containing 8, we rule that x **belongs to** X, so for example  $0.3257\overline{9} \in X$ ,  $0.3258\overline{9} \in X$ 

## Hints:

- 8.2.5  $\mu_{out}$  can be positive and large on very sparse sets...
  - 8.3 Any open subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  is an union of disjoint open intervals. Try to achieve that U has a very small "total volume", but still contains all rational numbers in [0, 1].

# 8. Solutions

**Solution of 8.1:** For  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a finite collection of dyadic intervals  $\{I_1, \ldots, I_N\}$  such that

$$X \subset I_1 \cup \ldots \cup I_N, \quad \sum_{1 \le 1 \le N} \mu_1(I_i) \le \epsilon.$$

Now we must have

$$X \times [0,1] \subset I_1 \times [0,1] \cup \ldots \cup I_N \times [0,1],$$

and so

$$\mu_{out}(X \times [0,1]) \le \sum_{1 \le i \le N} \mu_2(I_i \times [0,1]) = \sum_{1 \le 1 \le N} \mu_1(I_i) \le \epsilon.$$

Since  $\epsilon$  was arbitrary,  $\mu_{out}(X \times [0, 1]) = 0$ . Since without loss of generality we may have assumed that  $X \subset [0, 1]$  then

$$\mu_{out}(X \times X) \le \mu_{out}(X \times [0, 1]) = 0.$$

### Solution of 8.2:

- 1. False,  $\mathbb{Q}$  is a counterexample.
- 2. True, number it's elements  $\{a_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$  and cover it with  $\{a_k + \epsilon 2^{-k}[-1,1]\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ .
- 3. True, pick any finite dyadic partition of [0, 1]. Then each interval must contain at least one element of D by density. Hence if we want to cover D we must keep all these intervals, whose length sums to 1.
- 4. True. By contradiction, assume that  $X \cap Y = \emptyset$ . By Theorem 13.18, we must have  $\mu(X \cup Y) = \mu(X) + \mu(Y) > 1$ . On the other hand, since  $X \cup Y \subseteq [0, 1]$ , it holds  $\mu(X \cup Y) \leq \mu([0, 1]) = 1$ , which gives the desired contradiction.
- 5. False, take  $X = \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1]$  and  $Y := (\sqrt{2} + \mathbb{Q}) \cap [0, 1]$ .

**Solution of 8.3:** Let  $0 < \epsilon < 1/2$  and  $(q_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a enumeration of  $[0, 1] \cap \mathbb{Q}$ . We define  $I_n := (q_n - \epsilon 2^{-n}, q_n + \epsilon 2^{-n})$  and

$$U:=\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}I_n.$$

By construction, we then have  $[0,1] \cap \mathbb{Q} \subset U$  and the "total volume"<sup>2</sup> is small, in the sense that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{vol}(I_n) = 2\epsilon \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} = 2\epsilon.$$
(1)

We now show that this set U satisfies the requirements in the problem statement. Being a union of open intervals, U is certainly open in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Next, we notice that due to  $[0,1] \cap \mathbb{Q} \subset U$ ,

 $<sup>^2 \</sup>rm We$  always write this in quotation marks because the point of this exercise is that this set U is not Jordan-measurable.

the entire interval [0,1] is contained in the closure  $\overline{U}$  of U. Using the boundary of U, this can be written as

$$\partial U \cup U = (\overline{U} \setminus U) \cup U = \overline{U} \supset [0, 1].$$

In view of (1), it is therefore natural to conjecture that for a covering of  $\partial U$  by open boxes  $(Q_l)_l$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  (that is, by intervals), it is necessary that

$$\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{vol}(Q_l) \ge 1 - 2\epsilon \tag{2}$$

must hold. To prove this lower bound, we first notice that for such a covering of the boundary

$$[0,1]\subset \partial U\cup U\subset \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}I_n\cup \bigcup_{l\in\mathbb{N}}Q_l$$

is an open covering of the compact interval [0, 1]. Therefore, finitely many of these sets suffice to cover it; thus, there exist  $N, L \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$[0,1] \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{N} I_n \cup \bigcup_{l=1}^{L} Q_l.$$

Both the  $I_n$  and the  $Q_l$  are open intervals, whose volume is computed as the difference of the right and left endpoints. Elementary considerations<sup>3</sup> show that therefore for the volumes, it holds that

$$1 \le \sum_{n=1}^{N} \operatorname{vol}(I_n) + \sum_{l=1}^{L} \operatorname{vol}(Q_l).$$

From this, using (1), we now obtain

$$\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{vol}(Q_l) \ge \sum_{l=1}^{L} \operatorname{vol}(Q_l) \ge 1 - \sum_{n=1}^{N} \operatorname{vol}(I_n) \ge 1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{vol}(I_n) = 1 - 2\epsilon,$$

exactly as in (2). Since  $\epsilon$  was chosen such that  $1 - 2\epsilon > 0$ , this shows that  $\partial U$  cannot be a null set.

Solution of 8.4: The only correct one is number 4 which is Lemma 13.6.

Solution of 8.5:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>If an interval [a, b] is covered by finitely many intervals  $J_1, \ldots, J_r$ , ordered increasingly by their left endpoints, then the right endpoint of  $J_k$  is at most  $a + \sum_{j=1}^k \operatorname{vol}(J_j)$ . Therefore, for the right endpoint b to also lie in one of the intervals  $J_j$ , it must hold that  $\sum_{j=1}^r \operatorname{vol}(J_j) \ge b - a$ .

1. If  $x_1 = r \cos \theta$ ,  $x_2 = r \sin \theta$  then we have

$$(x_1, x_2) \in A \iff (r, \theta) \in \{-\pi < \theta < \pi, \sin \theta < \cos \theta, 1 < r < 2\}$$

solving the inequality

$$-\pi < \theta < \pi, \sin \theta < \cos \theta \iff -\pi < \theta < \pi/4.$$

So, recalling that the jacobian is r, we find

$$\int_{A} x_{1}^{2} \sin(x_{2}) dx_{1} dx_{2} = \int_{1}^{2} \Big\{ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi/4} \cos^{2}\theta \sin(r\sin\theta) d\theta \Big\} r^{3} dr.$$

2. Notice that  $B \subset (0, \infty)^2$  so (x, y) are always positive and so are (u, v). We write the inverse mappings

$$x = \sqrt{v}, \quad y = \frac{u}{\sqrt{v}}.$$

So we compute the determinant of

$$\det \frac{\partial(x,y)}{\partial(u,v)} = \det \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2\sqrt{v}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{v}} & * \end{bmatrix}$$

and so we find

$$dx\,dy = \frac{du\,dv}{2v}.$$

The set B changes into

$$(x,y) \in B \iff (u,v) \in \{1 < u < 2, v\sqrt{v} < u < 2v\sqrt{v}\}, \\ \iff (u,v) \in \{1 < u < 2, u^{2/3} < v < 2^{-2/3}u^{2/3}\},$$

and the integrand  $y^2 e^{-xy}$  changes into

$$\frac{u^2}{v}e^u$$

so summing up we find

$$\int_{B} y^{2} e^{-xy} dx dy = \int_{1}^{2} u^{2} \Big\{ \int_{u^{2/3}}^{2^{-2/3} u^{2/3}} v^{-2} e^{v} dv \Big\} du.$$

3. We compute the determinant

$$\det \frac{\partial(u, v, w)}{\partial(x, y, z)} = \det \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1\\ 0 & -2 & 1\\ 3 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = 6,$$

so  $dx dy dz = \frac{1}{6} du dv dw$ . And the set becomes

 $(x, y, z) \in C \iff 0 < u < 1, \quad 1 < v < 2, \quad -2 < w < 0.$ 

To change variables in the function we need to compute the inverse mapping:

$$x = \frac{1}{3}w + \frac{1}{6}v - \frac{1}{2}u, \quad y = \frac{1}{2}u - \frac{1}{2}v, \quad z = u.$$

So we find

$$xyz = -\frac{1}{6}uvw + \frac{1}{6}u^2w + \frac{1}{3}u^2v - \frac{1}{12}uv^2 - \frac{1}{4}u^3.$$

So putting everything together

$$\int_C xyz \, dx \, dy \, dz = v \frac{1}{72} \int_0^1 \int_1^2 \int_{-2}^0 (-2uvw + 2u^2w + 4u^2v - uv^2 - 3u^3) du \, dv \, dw.$$

#### Solution of 8.6:

1. Let  $A_n$  be the set that does not contain 8 up to the *n*-th decimal place. For example,

$$A_1 = [0, 0.8] \cup [0.9, 1].$$

The intervals that decompose  $A_1$  have total volume 0.9. The second set has form

$$A_2 = [0, 0.08] \cup [0.09, 0.18] \cup \cdots \cup [0.69, 0.78] \cup [0.79, 0.8] \cup [0.9, 0.98] \cup [0.99, 1].$$

The intervals that decompose  $A_2$  have total volume  $9 \cdot 0.09 = 0.81$  (there are a total of 11 intervals: 7 have length 0.09; and 4 intervals are shorter, but one finds 2 pairs of 2 intervals each, which together also have length 0.09). We try to find a pattern and consider  $A_3$ . Treating the 4 shorter intervals in  $A_2$  as if we had 2 intervals of length 0.09, we see that each interval in  $A_2$  is divided into 9 intervals of length 0.009 (again by combining shorter intervals). Thus we have  $vol(A_3) = 0.009 \cdot 81 = 0.9^3$ .

Analogously, we find that  $A_n$  consists of finitely many intervals whose total volume

$$\operatorname{vol}(A_n) = 0.9\operatorname{vol}(A_{n-1})$$

which implies that  $\operatorname{vol}(A_n) = 0.9^n$ .

To show that X is a Lebesgue null set, let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Choose  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  large enough such that  $0.9^n < \epsilon$ . Since  $A_n$  consists of finitely many intervals covering X and the sum of the lengths of these intervals is  $0.9^n < \epsilon$ , the claim follows. As a complement for those who prefer explicit formulas: Let  $(I_n^k)_{k=1}^{s_n}$  be the intervals defining  $A_n$ . Then we have

$$X \subset A_n = \bigcup_{k=1}^{s_n} I_n^k$$
 and  $\sum_{k=1}^{s_n} \operatorname{vol}(I_n) = 0.9^n < \epsilon.$ 

This shows that in fact X is also Jordan-null set.

2. If X were countable, we would write all numbers in a countably infinite list. As in the Cantor diagonal argument, we take a number defined by the diagonal and change each digit to a digit that is still not 8. This number is still in X, but does not coincide with any number in our list. Contradiction! So X is uncountable.

- 3. We can cover  $X \times X$  by the boxes in  $A_n \times [0, 1]$ . We have  $\operatorname{vol}(A_n \times [0, 1]) = \operatorname{vol}(A_n) = 0.9^n$  and the argument is analogous to part (a).
- 4. Each of the  $\{A_i\}$  of step 1 is compact, being the union of finitely many closed disjoint intervals in [0, 1]. Our set by definition is

$$X := \bigcup_{i \ge 1} A_i,$$

which is closed (being an intersection of closed sets) and bounded (since  $A_1$  is bounded). Hence X is compact.