

Proof of Galois correspondence

Thm 4.2

$$\textcircled{1} L:K \text{ normal sep} \Leftrightarrow |\text{Gal}(L:K)| = [L:K]$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \phi(\sigma)(K) = K$$

Cor 3.9.

Let  $M \in \mathcal{F}$ 

$\textcircled{2}$  We know that  $L:M$  is sep and normal  
Hence a Galois extension.

Hence  $|\text{Gal}(L:M)| = [L:M]$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{by Cor 3.9} \quad M &= \text{Fix}(\text{Gal}(L:M)) \\ &= \phi\sigma(M). \end{aligned}$$

Now let  $H \in \mathcal{G}$ , a subgroup of  $\text{Gal}(L:K) = G$

We know that  $H \subset \sigma\phi(H)$  (Lemma 3.4)  
and

$$\phi\sigma\phi(H) = \phi(H). \quad (\text{Lemma 3.4})$$

We know By Thm 3.8 that

$$\left( \begin{array}{l} H \text{ a finite s/gp of autom}(L) \\ \text{then } [L:L_0] = |H| \end{array} \right. \quad L_0 = \text{Fix } H$$

$$\text{Hence } |H| = [L : \phi(H)]$$

$$\text{Therefore } |H| = [L : \phi\sigma\phi(H)] = |\sigma\phi(H)|$$

by Thm  
3.8 again  
applied to  $\sigma\phi(H)$

Since  $H$  and  $\sigma\phi(H)$  are finite groups

and  $H \subset \sigma\phi(H)$  and they have the same size we have that

$$H = \sigma\phi(H)$$

Hence  $\sigma, \phi$  are mutual inverses.

(3) We've seen that when  $L=K$  is normal sep. Then  $L=M$  is also normal sep, Hence Galois

$$\text{Hence } [L=M] = |\text{Gal}(L=M)| = |\sigma(M)|$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} [M=K] &= [L=K] / [L=M] = |\text{Gal}(L=K)| / |\text{Gal}(L=M)| \\ &= |G| / |\sigma(M)| \end{aligned}$$

Before proving (4) and (5) we give a lemma.

Lemma 5.2 Suppose that  $L=K$  is an extension,  $K \subseteq M \subseteq L$ ,  $\tau: L \rightarrow L$  a  $K$ -automorphism of  $L$ .

$$\text{Then } \sigma(\tau(M)) = \tau(\sigma(M))\tau^{-1}$$

ie Galois group of  $\tau(M)$  is conjugate of Galois group of  $M$  with  $\tau$ .

Proof let  $\tilde{M} := \tau(M)$

Take  $\sigma \in \mathcal{G}(M)$ ,  $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{M}$

Then  $\tilde{x} = \tau(x)$  for some  $x \in M$

$$(\tau \sigma \tau^{-1})(\tilde{x}) = \tau \sigma(x) = \tau(x) = \tilde{x}$$

↓  
 $\sigma \in \mathcal{G}(M) = \text{Gal}(L=K)$   
 and  $x \in M$ .

Hence  $\tau \sigma \tau^{-1}$  fixes  $\tilde{M}$  so

$$\tau \sigma \tau^{-1} \in \mathcal{G}(\tilde{M}) \quad \text{and} \quad \tau \mathcal{G}(M) \tau^{-1} \subseteq \mathcal{G}(\tilde{M})$$

Similarly replacing  $M$  by  $\tau(M) = \tilde{M}$   
 and  $\tau$  by  $\tau^{-1}$  we get

$$\tau^{-1} \mathcal{G}(\tilde{M}) \tau \subseteq \mathcal{G}(M) \Rightarrow \mathcal{G}(\tilde{M}) \subseteq \tau \mathcal{G}(M) \tau^{-1}$$

Hence  $\tau \mathcal{G}(M) \tau^{-1} = \mathcal{G}(\tau(M))$

□

Now we can prove parts (4) and (5) of the  
 fund. thm of Galois theory.

Proof of (4) ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose  $M=K$  is normal  
 $\tau \in \text{Gal}(L=K) = G$

Then  $\tau|_M$  is a  $K$ -mon  $M \rightarrow L$

Since  $M=K$  is normal by Thm 4-8.

$\tau|_M$  is actually a  $K$ -autom of  $M$

Hence  $\tau(M) = M$ . On the other hand

by lemma 5-2 
$$\sigma(\tau(M)) = \tau \sigma(M) \tau^{-1}$$

Since  $\tau(M) = M$ , this gives

$$\sigma(M) = \tau \sigma(M) \tau^{-1} \text{ i.e. } \sigma(M) \text{ is normal in } G.$$

Hence  $\sigma(M) \triangleleft G$  as wanted

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose conversely  $\sigma(M) \triangleleft G$

Let  $\sigma$  be any  $K$ -monom  $M \rightarrow L$ .  
w.t.s  $\sigma$  is a  $K$  autom of  $M$  and use Thm 4-8.

Since  $L=K$  is normal, By thm 4-4  
 $\sigma = M \rightarrow L$  can be extended to a  
 $K$ -autom  $\tau$  of  $L$  such that  $\tau|_M = \sigma$ .

Since  $\sigma(M) \triangleleft G$ ,  $\tau \sigma(M) \tau^{-1} = \sigma(M)$

On the other hand by lemma 5-2

$$\tau \sigma(M) \tau^{-1} = \sigma(\tau(M))$$

By part (2) since  $\sigma$  gives a 1-1 correspondence  
 $\sigma(\tau(M)) = \sigma(M) \Rightarrow \tau(M) = M$

Then  $\sigma(M) = \tau|_M(M) = M$ . Hence  $\sigma$  is a  $K$  autom of  $M$

Proof of ⑤. Let  $\tilde{G} = \text{Gal}(M=K)$  where  $M=K$  is normal.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Define a map } \Theta: G &\longrightarrow \tilde{G} \\ \text{Gal}(L=K) &\longrightarrow \text{Gal}(M=K) \\ \sigma &\longmapsto \sigma|_M =: \tau \end{aligned}$$

Since  $M=K$  is normal, the  $K$ -monomorphism  $\tau = \sigma|_M: M \rightarrow L$  is actually a  $K$ -automorphism of  $M$ .

Hence indeed  $\sigma|_M \in \text{Gal}(M=K)$ .

Thm 4.4 says: if  $L=K$  finite normal extension

$K \subseteq M \subseteq L$ ,  $\tau$  a  $K$ -monom  $M \rightarrow L$   
then  $\exists$  a  $K$ -autom  $\sigma: L \rightarrow L$  s.t.  $\sigma|_M = \tau$

Hence  $\Theta$  is surjective.

Using Isomorphism Theorem for groups, we get  $G/\ker \Theta \cong \tilde{G}$ . To prove part ⑤, we need to

$$\text{look at } \ker \Theta = \{ \sigma \in \text{Gal}(L=K) \mid \Theta(\sigma) = \text{id}|_M \}$$

$$= \{ \sigma \in \text{Gal}(L=K) \mid \sigma|_M = \text{id}|_M \}$$

$$= \{ \sigma \in \text{Gal}(L=K) \mid \sigma \text{ fixes } M \text{ pointwise} \}$$

$$= \text{Gal}(L=M) = \sigma(M). \text{ Hence } \text{Gal}(L=K)/\text{Gal}(L=M) \cong \text{Gal}(M=K) \quad \square$$