

8-4-23

(123 1/2)

Recall Thm 2-19

Thm 2-19 If  $\varphi: F \rightarrow \tilde{F}$  an isom of fields  
 $f \in F[x]$  with  $K$  a splitting field of  $f/F$   
and  $\tilde{K}$  a splitting field of  $\varphi_f$  over  $\tilde{F}$   
Then  $[K:F] = [\tilde{K}:\tilde{F}]$  and  
 $\varphi$  extends to an isom  $\sigma: K \rightarrow \tilde{K}$   
and the number of such extensions is  
at most  $[K:F]$

The proof fixed  $\alpha \in F$  and extended  $\varphi$  to  
 $\varphi': F(\alpha) \rightarrow F(\tilde{\alpha})$  where  
 $\tilde{\alpha}$  is a root of  $\varphi_m$ , with  $m(x) = \min_{\alpha \in K} m_\alpha$

The choices of  $\tilde{\alpha}$  are the roots of  $\varphi_m$   
which is at most deg  $m$ . If  $m$  is separable  
then  $m$  has exactly deg  $m$  distinct roots  
and the same proof gives

Thm 2-19' If  $f(x)$  is separable, then  
there are exactly  $[K:F]$  extensions  
 $\sigma: K \rightarrow \tilde{K}$  s.t.  $\sigma|_F = \varphi$ .

In particular if  $\varphi: F \rightarrow \tilde{F}$  identity isom.  
 $f \in F[x]$ , and  $K$  is a splitting field  
of  $f$ ,  $f$  a separable poly. Then  $\exists$   
exactly  $[K:F]$  isom  $\sigma: K \rightarrow \tilde{K}$   
s.t.  $\sigma|_F = \text{id}_F$

Rmk In the case of finite fields we'll see that any poly of the form  $f(x) = g(x^p)$  is necessarily reducible which will show that over a finite field every irred poly is separable as well.

Hence to find irred, inseparable poly we need to look at fields of char  $p$  which are not finite fields, as was the case in the example-

$$x^p - t \in \mathbb{K}_p(t)[x]$$

Before looking at finite fields and char  $p$  we state an important theorem in char 0 which says that every finite (separable) extension  $K:F$  is a simple extension, i.e.  $\exists \alpha \in K$  s.t  $K = F(\alpha)$

Thm 2.29: let  $F$  be a char 0 field and  $K=F$  a finite extension. Then  $\exists \alpha \in K$  s.t  $K=F(\alpha)$ .

Proof Since  $[K:F]$  is finite we have that  $K=F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  for some  $\alpha_i \in K$ .

We use induction on  $n$ .

If  $n=1$  there is nothing to prove

Note

It is enough to prove the thm for  $n=2$   
 Since if  $F(a, b) = F(c)$  for some  $c \in K$  then

for  $n > 2$  by induction we have

$$F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}) = F(a) \text{ for some } a \in K$$

and

$$K = F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n) = F(a, \alpha_n) = F(c)$$

for some  $c$ .

So assume  $K = F(a, b)$ . we w.t.s  $\exists c \in K$

s.t.  $K = F(c)$ , for a lin. comb  $c = a + z b$   
 with  $z \in F$

let  $f, g$  be minimal polys of  $a$  and  $b$   
 over  $F$ . let  $M \supseteq F$  be a field extension  
 of  $F$  where  $f, g$  split into linear  
 factors

let  $a = x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r$  be roots of  $f$

$b = y_1, \dots, y_s$  be roots of  $g$ .

Then since we are in char 0,  $g$  has distinct roots  
 and hence  $b \neq y_j$  for  $j \neq 1$

If we define  $z_{ij} := \frac{x_i - a}{b - y_j} \in M$  then  $z_{ij}$  is the  
 $j \neq 1, i \neq 1$

only element of  $M$  which solves  $a + z b = x_i + t y_j$

Since  $F$  is infinite, we can choose  
a  $z \in F$  different from all  $z_{ij}$ 's  
and hence

$$a + z b \neq x_i + z y_j \quad \text{unless } i=j=1.$$

Put  $c = a + bz$  then clearly  $F(c) \subset F(a, b)$

w.t.s  $F(a, b) \subset F(c)$

Define  $h(x) := f(c - zx) \in F(c)[x]$

$$\text{Then } h(b) = f(c - zb) = f(a) = 0.$$

Since  $b$  is also a zero of  $g(x)$ ,  $(x-b) \mid g$   
 $\therefore (x-b) \mid h$  and  $(x-b) \mid g$

We will show that  $\gcd(h, g) = x-b$

This in return shows that  $x-b \in F(c)[x]$

(since  $\gcd(h, g) \in F(c)[x]$  for  $h, g \in F(c)[x]$ )  
, but then  $b \in F(c)$  hence

$$a = c + zb \in F(c) \quad \text{thus}$$

$$F(a, b) \subset F(c)$$

It remains to show  $\gcd(h, g) = x-b$ .

Since  $g$  splits over  $M$  into linear factors  
the gcd must be some product of  
linear factors of  $g$ .

But if  $y_j \neq b$  another root of  $g$

$h(y_j) = f(c - zy_j) \neq 0$  since with  
 our choice of  $z$   $c - zy_j \neq x_i$  for any  
 root  $x_i$  of  $f$ .  
 $(c = a + bz \neq x_i + zy_j)$

Thus  $x - y_j$  is not a factor of  $h$

Thus  $x - b$  is the gcd of  $h$  and  $g$

□

Rmk This thm follows more easily once  
 we have the Galois correspondence

In fact it is true that

Thm An alg extension  $L = K$  is simple  
 $\Leftrightarrow$  there are only finitely many  
 intermediate fields

Using this one can show

Thm Suppose  $L = K$  is finite and  
 separable. Then  $L = K$  is simple.