

§ 2.7 Finite fields

We first prove that if \mathbb{F} is a finite field then any polynomial of the form $f(x) = g(x^p)$ for some $g(x) \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ is necessarily reducible.

This will show that every irreducible poly is also separable in the case of finite fields.

Let \mathbb{F} be a field of char p .

Defn The map $\varphi: \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ is called the

$$a \mapsto a^p$$

Frobenius homomorphism -

Lemma 2.30 If φ is a monomorphism and

\mathbb{F} is finite then it is an automorph.

Proof

If follows easily that φ is a hom.

$$\begin{aligned} (a+b)^p &= a^p + b^p \\ (\text{since } (ab)^p &= a^p b^p) \\ \varphi(1) &= 1 \end{aligned} \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \text{Since } \varphi \text{ is a homomorphism} \\ \varphi(1) = 1 \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \varphi \text{ is a homomorphism}$$

Since $\varphi(1) = 1$ and it is a homomorphism of fields, φ is injective. If \mathbb{F} is also finite it also has to be surjective.

Corollary 2.31 Suppose \mathbb{F} is a finite field of char p
then every element of \mathbb{F} is a p-th power in \mathbb{F} .

Pf Follows from surjectivity of Frobenious hom. \square

Prop 2.32 Every irreducible polynomial over a finite field \mathbb{F} is separable.

Proof Let $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ be irr. and separable.
We've seen that

$f(x) \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ is inseparable if and only if
 $f(x) = g(x^p)$ for some $g(x) \in \mathbb{F}[x]$

where $g(x) = b_m x^m + \dots + b_0$

Since each $b_i \in \mathbb{F}$ is a p-th power
 $b_i = c_i^p$ for some $c_i \in \mathbb{F}$, by the
above corollary.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } f(x) &= g(x^p) = c_m^p x^{mp} + c_{m-1}^p x^{(m-1)p} + \dots + c_0^p \\ &= (c_m x^m)^p + \dots + c_0^p \\ &= (c_m x^m + \dots + c_0)^p \end{aligned}$$

Hence f is reducible \square

Goal: To show that for every prime power $q = p^n$ there is (up to isom) unique field with q elements, \mathbb{F}_q , and it is the splitting field of the poly $x^q - x$ over $\mathbb{F}_p = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$.

Thm 2.33 If F is a finite field, then F has characteristic $p > 0$ and the number of elts in F is p^n where $n = [F : \mathbb{F}_p]$

Proof. For each comm. ring R , recall that there is a ring hom $\varphi: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow R$

We apply this to $R = F$ a finite field. The kernel of φ is non-zero, since \mathbb{Z} is finite and \mathbb{Z} is infinite, say $\ker \varphi = m\mathbb{Z}$. Since $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ is a subring of the field F it must be a domain. Hence $m = p$ a prime.

Therefore there is an embedding

$\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow F$. Viewing F as a vector space over $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, it is finite dim'l since F is finite. Let $n = \dim_{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}} F$

pick a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of F over $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$.

Then every elt of F has a unique repn as $c_1x_1 + \dots + c_nx_n$, $c_i \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Each coeff c_i has p choices. Hence $|F| = p^n$. \square

Rmk ① Even though there are graphs
of any order, there are not
 fields of any order.
 e.g. there is no field of order $2 \cdot 3 = 6$.

② For graphs, there can be non-isom graphs
 of same order e.g. K_6 , S_3

But up to isom there is a unique
 field of order p^n . This is the content of

Thm 2.33 let p be a prime, $q = p^n$
 $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$

A field \mathbb{F} has q elements
 if and only if it is a splitting
 field of $f(x) = x^q - x \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$

Moreover since splitting fields exist and unique
 up to isom there is a unique field with
 $q = p^n$ elements.

Proof Suppose \mathbb{F} is a finite field with
 $q = p^n$ elements.

The set $\mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}$ is a group under
 multiplication of order $q-1$.

Hence if $x \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}$, then $x^{q-1} = 1$

and $x^q = x$. Since $0^q = 0$ trivially

Every elt of \mathbb{F} is a zero of $x^q - x$

and $x^q - x$ splits in \mathbb{F} . Since the zeroes of f exhaust \mathbb{F} , they certainly generate \mathbb{F} , so \mathbb{F} is a splitting field of $f = x^q - x$ over \mathbb{F}_p .

Conversely, let K be a splitting field of $f(x) = x^q - x$ over \mathbb{F}_p

$f'(x) = -1$, hence f' is relatively prime to f , and all zeroes of f in K are distinct and therefore f has exactly q zeroes in K .

let α, β be zeroes of f . Since

$$\alpha^q = \alpha, \quad \beta^q = \beta, \quad (\alpha\beta)^q = \alpha^q\beta^q = \alpha\beta$$

hence $\alpha\beta$ is also a zero of f

Similarly, $(1/\alpha)^q = 1/\alpha^q = 1/\alpha$. and $1/\alpha$ is also a root of $f(x)$.

Finally,

$$\binom{q}{k}(\alpha + \beta)^q = \alpha^q + \beta^q = \alpha + \beta. \text{ This is because } \binom{q}{k} \text{ is divisible by } p \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq p-1 \text{ or}$$

$$\alpha^q = \varphi^n(\alpha) \text{ where } \varphi: K \rightarrow K, \quad \varphi = \underbrace{\alpha \mapsto \alpha^p}_{n \text{ times}}$$

and φ^n since φ is additive so is φ^n .

Hence zeroes of f in K form a field which then must be the whole field of K . Hence $|K| = q = p^n$

Our next theorem about finite fields require some abelian group theory.

Defn The exponent $e(G)$ of a finite group G is the least common multiple of the orders of the elements of G .

Rmk : ① Clearly $e(G) \leq |G|$ and $e(G) \mid |G|$.
 ② Note $e(G)$ is the least positive integer k s.t. $g^k = e \quad \forall g \in G$.

Recall the following thm from finite abelian group theory.

Thm Suppose $(G, +)$ is a finite abelian group. Then G is isomorphic to a product of cyclic groups

$$G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{d_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{d_2} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}_{d_s}$$

Furthermore the isomorphism can be chosen so that $d_j \mid d_k$ for $1 \leq j < k \leq s$

Cor Suppose G is a finite abelian group. Then $\exists g \in G$ s.t. $\text{order}(g) = e(G)$.

Proof. $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{d_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{d_2} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}_{d_s}$ with $d_j \mid d_k$
 $1 \leq j < k \leq s$.

If $g \in G$ then $g^{d_s} = e$. Hence $e(G) \leq d_s$

On the other hand G has a s/gp isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{d_s} = \langle h \rangle$ where h is a generator of this s/gp.

Hence $\text{order}(h) = d_s$.

Since $\text{order}(h) \leq e(G)$

we have $d_s \leq e(G)$

hence $d_s = e(G) = \text{ord}(h)$

Rmk. In general G need not possess an element of order $e(G)$

For example if $G = S_3$, then $e(G) = 6 = |S_3|$,
but G has no elt of order 6.

It has elts of order 1, 2, 3.

Their least common multiple is 6.

In S_6 , the elements have order
1, 2, 3, 4, 5 or 6, $e(S_6) = 60$

$|S_6| = 720$.

We now apply these to the multiplicative group of a field

Thm 2.34 Suppose that K is a field
and $K^* = K \setminus \{0\}$ its non-zero elements.
If G is a finite subgroup of K^*
then G is cyclic.

Proof: let $n = e(G)$. Then $\alpha^n = 1 \neq \alpha \in G$.
 Since $x^n - 1$ has at most n roots
 $|G| \leq n$. But $e(G) \leq |G|$. Hence
 $e(G) = |G|$. But then g has
 an elt of order $e(G) = |G|$ by the
 above cor. Hence G is cyclic

As a corollary we have

Thm 2-35 If \mathbb{F} is a finite field then
 \mathbb{F}^* is cyclic.

and

Cor 2-36 If $L = K$ is an extension of
 finite fields, then $L = K$ is simple

Proof Let α generate the multiplicative
 group L^* . Then $L = K(\alpha)$.

Example In \mathbb{F}_{11} , powers of 2 are

$$1, 2, 4, 8, 5, 10, 9, 7, 3, 6, 1$$

Hence 2 generates the multiplicative gp.

Mos powers of 4 are 1, 4, 5, 9, 3, 1
 So 4 does not generate \mathbb{F}_{11}^*

② \mathbb{F}_{25} : This can be constructed as
a splitting field of $x^2 - 2 \in \mathbb{F}_5[x]$
Check $x^2 - 2$ is irreducible since
it has no roots in \mathbb{F}_5 .

$$\mathbb{F}_{25} \cong \mathbb{F}_5[x]/(x^2 - 2)$$

Let α be a root of $x^2 - 2$. Then

$$\mathbb{F}_{25} = \{a + b\alpha \mid a, b \in \mathbb{F}_5\}$$

By trial and error one can check that
 $2 + \alpha$ has powers

$$\begin{aligned} 1, & 2 + \alpha, 1 + 4\alpha, 4\alpha, 3 + 3\alpha, 2 + 4\alpha, 2 \\ & 4 + 2\alpha, 2 + 3\alpha, 3\alpha, 1 + \alpha, 4 + 3\alpha, 4 \\ & 3 + 4\alpha, 4 + \alpha, \alpha, 2 + 2\alpha, 3 + \alpha, 3 \\ & 1 + 3\alpha, 3 + 2\alpha, 2\alpha, 4 + 4\alpha, 1 + 2\alpha, 1 \end{aligned}$$

Rmk In general there is no known procedure
for finding a generator other than
trial and error.
Fortunately, the existence of a generator
is sufficient for most purposes.

We can say more about finite fields, some of which are in the exercises.

Namely we have that

Thm 2.37 Every finite field F is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_p[x]/(f(x))$ for some prime p and some irreducible monic poly $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$.

Pf Exercise : let α be a generator of \mathbb{F}^* and consider the evaluation hom $\mathbb{E}_\alpha : \mathbb{F}_p[x] \rightarrow F$, $g(x) \mapsto g(\alpha)$.

Thm 2.38 Every irreducible poly $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$ of degree n divides $x^p - x$ and is separable

Pf : Exercise

Thm 2.39 A subfield of \mathbb{F}_{p^n} has order p^d where $d | n$ and \exists one such subfield for each $d | n$.

Pf Exercise

§ 3. Basic definitions of Galois theory

Idea of Galois theory:

$$\text{let } f(x) = x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots + a_0 \in K[x]$$

We are interested in the eqn $f(x)=0$.

How can we distinguish polynomials that can be solved by a "formula" involving the coeffs of f and field operations as well as taking n th roots. (radical expressions).

We can consider the splitting field L of f . We've already seen that $L = K$ has a vector space structure.

Galois's main idea was to look at symmetries of the roots of the poly $f(x)$

He associated to the extension $L:K$ a group of permutations, now called the Galois group of L/K , $\text{Gal}(L:K) := G$ and showed that the Galois group G reflects the finer structure of $L:K$. We'll see that under extra hypothesis there is a one-to-one correspondence

between ① Subgroups of the Galois group
 $G = \text{Gal}(L:K)$

② Subfields M of L such that
 $K \subseteq M \subseteq L$

This correspondence reverses inclusions

We start with the definition of K -automorphism of L

Defn let K be a subfield of L .

An automorphism $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(L) := \{\sigma: L \rightarrow L \mid \sigma \text{ isom.}\}$

is called a K -automorphism of L if

$\sigma(K) = K$ $\forall k \in K$, i.e. $\sigma|_K = \text{id}_K$.

let $\boxed{\text{Aut}_K L = \{\sigma: L \rightarrow L \mid \sigma \text{ isom., } \sigma|_K = \text{id}_K\}}$.

$\sigma \in \text{Aut}_K L$ is an autom. of the extension.

A simple but pivotal result to the whole Galois theory is

Thm 3.1 If $L:K$ is a field extension

Then the set of all K -autom. of L form a group under composition of maps.

Proof If $\sigma, \tau \in \text{Aut}_K(L)$ then clearly

$\tau \circ \sigma \in \text{Aut}(L)$ and if $k \in K$

$$(\tau \circ \sigma)(k) = \tau(\sigma(k)) = \tau(k) = k. \text{ Hence}$$

$$\tau \circ \sigma \in \text{Aut}_K(L)$$

$1d: L \rightarrow L$ is clearly in $\text{Aut}_K(L)$

Finally $\sigma^{-1} \in \text{Aut}(L)$ and

$$k = (\sigma^{-1} \circ \sigma)(k) = \sigma^{-1}(k), \text{ Hence } \sigma^{-1} \in \text{Aut}_K(L)$$

2

Defn The group of all K -automorphisms of L
 is called the Galois group of
the extension $L:K$.

It will be denoted by $\text{Gal}(L:K)$ or $\Gamma(L:K)$
 (instead of $\text{Aut}_K(L)$ or $\text{Aut}(L/K)$)

Let $f \in K[x]$. If L is a splitting field of f
 then the Galois group $\text{Gal}(L:K)$ is
 called the Galois group of f .

Rmk. Note that every $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$ is an invertible K -linear map of L .

Example • ① $L = \mathbb{C}$.

let $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R})$

let $j := \sigma(i)$ then

$$j^2 = (\sigma(i))^2 = \sigma(i^2) = \sigma(-1) = -1$$

σ is
a hom

$\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R})$
and $-1 \in \mathbb{R}$

Therefore $j^2 = -1$ and hence $j = i$ or $-i$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{For any } r, s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \sigma(r+si) &= \sigma(r) + \sigma(s)\sigma(i) \\ &= r + s\sigma(i) \end{aligned}$$

Hence there are 2 possibilities for σ

Either $\sigma(i) = i$ then $\sigma(r+si) = r+si$
and hence $\sigma = \text{identity map}$

or $\sigma(i) = -i$ then $\sigma(r+si) = r-si$
i.e. σ is the complex conjugation map

And then $\sigma^2 = \text{Id}$

so $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}) = \{\text{Id}, \text{complex conj}\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$