

## Module Fundamentals

Defn let  $R$  be a ring (not necessarily commutative)  
 a left  $R$ -module or a left module over  $R$  is  
 a set  $M$  together with 2 operations

$$+ : M \times M \rightarrow M \quad \cdot : R \times M \rightarrow M$$

$$(m, n) \mapsto m+n \quad (r, m) \mapsto rm$$

and a distinguished element  $0 \in M$  so that

(1)  $(M, +, 0)$  is an abelian group

(2)  $\forall r \in R, \forall m, n \in M : r(m+n) = rm + rn$

(3)  $\forall r, s \in R, \forall m \in M : (r+s)m = rm + sm$

(4)  $\forall r, s \in R, \forall m \in M : r(sm) = (rs)m$

(5)  $\forall m \in M : 1m = m$

Rmk (1) The maps  $+$ ,  $\cdot$  are called addition and scalar multiplication

The ring  $R$  acts on the set  $M$  via  $\cdot : R \times M \rightarrow M$

This action respects the ring structure of  $R$   
 and the group operation  $+$  in  $M$ .

(2) We have written the product  $rm$   
 with scalars  $r$  on the left

The axioms of a right  $R$ -module are similar  
 with  $(m+n)r = mr + nr$  etc.

(3) If the ring  $R$  is commutative and  $M$  is  
 a left  $R$ -module we can make  $M$  into a  
 right  $R$ -module by defining  $mr = rm \forall m \in M, \forall r \in R$

If  $R$  is not commutative, axiom (4) will not hold with this definition. So not every left  $R$ -module is also a right  $R$ -module.

We'll assume from now on that  $R$  is a comm. ring, and drop the "left" from the left  $R$ -module.

Examples (1) If  $R$  is a field  $F$  then

the axioms of an  $R$ -module are exactly the axioms of a vector space over  $F$ .

Hence modules over a field  $F$  and vector spaces over  $F$  are the same.

(2) Let  $R$  be any ring. Then  $M = R$  is an  $R$ -module where  $+$ ,  $\cdot$  are the usual ring operations in  $R$ .

(3) If  $R$  is any ring, then  $R^n = \{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \mid a_i \in R \forall i\}$  the set of all  $n$ -tuples with components in  $R$ , is an  $R$ -module with usual defn of componentwise addition and scalar multiplication  $r(a_1, \dots, a_n) = (ra_1, ra_2, \dots, ra_n)$ .

(4)  $M = M_{m \times n}(R)$  set of all  $m \times n$  matrices with entries in  $R$ . Then  $M$  is an  $R$ -module where addition is ordinary matrix addition and multiplication by  $r$ , of a matrix  $A \in M$  means multiplication of each entry of  $A$  by  $r$ .

⑤ Every abelian group  $A$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module where we write the operation of  $A$  as  $+$ .  
Make  $A$  into a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module by defining for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $a \in A$

$$na = \begin{cases} a + \dots + a \text{ (n times)} & \text{if } n > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } n = 0 \\ -a - a \dots - a \text{ (n times)} & \text{if } n < 0 \end{cases}$$

Here  $0$  is the identity of the additive group  $A$ .

Defn A submodule  $N$  of an  $R$ -module  $M$  is a subset  $N \subset M$  such that

- ①  $N \neq \emptyset$
- ②  $N$  is a subgroup of  $M$
- ③  $\forall r \in R, n \in N : rn \in N$ .

Submodules of  $M$  are subsets of  $M$  which are themselves modules under the restricted operations.

Ex ① If  $R = F$  a field, submodules are the same as vector subspaces.

② Every  $R$ -module  $M$  has 2 submodules  $M$  and  $0$  (unless it is the trivial module.)

③ Submodules of  $R$  as an  $R$ -module are exactly the ideals of  $R$ .

④  $\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i$  is a submodule of  $M$  where  $A_i$ 's are submodules of  $M$ .



Defn ① Given submodules  $M_1, \dots, M_n$  of  $M$

$M_1 + \dots + M_n = \{m_1 + \dots + m_n \mid m_i \in M_i\}$   
is a submodule of  $M$ .

If the map  $\Pi: M_1 \times \dots \times M_n \rightarrow M_1 + \dots + M_n$   
 $(m_1, \dots, m_n) \mapsto (m_1 + \dots + m_n)$   
is bijective, then the sum is called the  
direct sum or internal direct sum of modules  
 $M_1, \dots, M_n$  and is denoted by  
 $M_1 \oplus \dots \oplus M_n = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n M_i$

② Let  $M_1, \dots, M_n$  be a collection of  $R$  modules  
The collection of  $n$ -tuples  $(m_1, \dots, m_n)$ ,  $m_i \in M_i$   
with addition and action of  $R$  defined component-  
wise is called the direct product  
or external direct sum of  $M_1, \dots, M_n$   
is denoted by  $M_1 \oplus \dots \oplus M_n$  or sometimes  
also by  $M_1 \otimes \dots \otimes M_n$

③ If  $M_i, i \in I$  is a collection of modules, (not nec.  
finite  $I$ ) The direct product of the modules  
 $M_i$  is defined to be their direct product as  
abelian grps with componentwise addition, and action  
of  $R$  also defined componentwise

The direct sum of modules  $M_i, \sum M_i$  is defined  
as the restricted direct product of abelian  
groups

i.e. the direct sum of the  $M_i$ 's is the subset of the direct product  $\prod M_i$

which contains all elements  $\prod m_i$  s.t. only

finitely many of the components are non-zero.

The action of  $R$  on the direct product or direct sum is given by  $r \cdot \prod m_i = \prod r m_i$

Recall  $\prod_{i \in I} A_i$  for a collection of sets is the set of all functions  $f: I \rightarrow \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$  s.t.  $f(i) \in A_i \quad \forall i \in I$

Rmk

① The direct product and direct sum of an infinite number of modules are different in general. eg.  $R = \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $I = \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 2}$   $M_i = \text{cyclic gp of order } i = \mathbb{Z}/i\mathbb{Z}$

Then the direct sum of the  $M_i$ 's is not isom to their direct product

The next proposition indicates when a module is isomorphic to the finite direct product of some of its submodules, and says that the internal direct sum of submodules  $M_1, \dots, M_n$  is isomorphic to their external direct sum

As in the case of internal and external direct sum of groups one has,

Prop 9-1 let  $M_1, \dots, M_n$  be submodules of  $R$ -module  $M$ . Then FAE

① The map  $\pi: M_1 \times \dots \times M_n \rightarrow M_1 + \dots + M_n$  defined by

$$\pi(m_1, \dots, m_n) = m_1 + \dots + m_n$$

is bijective (It is in fact an isom of  $R$ -modules)

②  $M_j \cap (M_1 + \dots + M_{j-1} + M_{j+1} + \dots + M_n) = 0$   
 $\forall j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$

③ Every  $x \in M_1 + \dots + M_n$  can be written uniquely in the form  $x = m_1 + \dots + m_n$  with  $m_i \in M_i$

Defn ① A map between 2  $R$ -modules  $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$  is called  $R$ -linear or a  $(R\text{-modul})$ -homomorphism if it satisfies

- ①  $\forall m, m' \in M, \varphi(m + m') = \varphi(m) + \varphi(m')$
- ②  $\forall m \in M, \forall r \in R, \varphi(rm) = r\varphi(m)$ .

The set of all homomorphism  $M \rightarrow N$  is denoted by  $\text{Hom}_R(M, N) = \{\varphi: M \rightarrow N \mid \varphi \text{ hom}\}$



A homomorphism  $M \rightarrow M$  is called an endomorphism, and we write  $\text{End}_R(M) := \text{Hom}_R(M, M)$

③ An  $R$ -module hom is an isomorphism if it is bijective.

$M, N$  are called isomorphic if there is some  $R$ -mod hom  $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$

④ An isomorphism  $M \rightarrow M$  is called an automorphism of  $M$ .

⑤ If  $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$  is a  $R$ -module hom, then kernel of  $\varphi := \ker \varphi := \{m \in M \mid \varphi(m) = 0_N\}$

and

$\text{Im}(\varphi) = \{n \in N \mid n = \varphi(m) \text{ for some } m \in M\}$  is the image of  $\varphi$ .

It is easy to prove

Prop 9.2 For a hom of  $R$ -modules  $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$  we have ①  $\ker \varphi$  is a submodule of  $M$

②  $\text{Im} \varphi$  is a submodule of  $N$

③  $\varphi$  is injective  $\Leftrightarrow \ker \varphi = \{0\}$

④  $\varphi$  is surjective  $\Leftrightarrow \text{Im} \varphi = N$ .

### Prop 9.3

232

For an  $R$ -module  $M$ , and a submodule  $N$

The additive abelian quotient  $M/N$

can be made into an  $R$ -module

by defining  $r(x+N) = rx+N$

$\forall r \in R, x+N \in M/N.$

Then the natural projection  $\pi: M \rightarrow M/N$

is an  $R$ -mod. hom with kernel  $N$ .  $x \rightarrow x+N$

As in the case of group isom thms we have

### Thm 9.4 Isom Theorems

(1) Let  $M, N$  be  $R$ -modules, and  $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$  an  $R$ -module homomorphism. Then  $\varphi$  induces an isomorphism  $M/\ker \varphi \cong \varphi(M)$   
 $m + \ker \varphi \mapsto \varphi(m)$

(2) Let  $A, B$  be submodules of the  $R$ -module  $M$  then  $(A+B)/B \cong A/(A \cap B)$

(3) Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module,  $A$  and  $B$  submodules of  $M$  with  $A \subseteq B$ . Then  $(M/A)/(B/A) \cong M/B$ .



In linear algebra, the concept of linear combination, spanning sets, basis make sense also when the coefficients come from a ring not just a field

Defn In an  $R$ -module  $M$ , an  $R$ -linear combination of elements  $a_1, \dots, a_k \in M$  is an element of the form

$$m = r_1 a_1 + \dots + r_k a_k, \text{ with } r_i \in R, i=1, \dots, k$$

If every element  $m \in M$  is a linear combination of  $a_1, \dots, a_k$  we say

$\{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$  is a spanning or generating set of  $M$  or  $a_i$ 's generate  $M$ .

Defn An  $R$ -module  $M$  is called finitely generated when it has a finite spanning set.

Defn A spanning set  $A$  of an  $R$ -module  $M$  is a subset  $\{a_i\}_{i \in I}$  of  $M$  such that

every  $m \in M$  is a finite  $R$ -linear combination of the  $a_i$ 's

$$m = \sum_{i \in I} r_i a_i \quad \text{where } r_i \in R \quad \forall i$$

$\Rightarrow RA = M = \{ \sum_{i=1}^k r_i a_i \mid r_1, \dots, r_k \in R, a_1, \dots, a_k \in A, k \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \}$   
Rmk The definition of spanning set require that each lin combination is finite

Defn In an  $R$ -module  $M$ , a subset  $\{a_i\}_{i \in I}$  is called linearly independent if the only relation  $\sum r_i a_i = 0$  is the one where  $r_i = 0 \quad \forall i$ .

$$\text{i.e. } \sum r_i a_i = 0 \Rightarrow r_i = 0 \quad \forall i$$

A subset of  $M$  is called a basis if it is a linearly independent spanning set.

A module that has a basis is called a free module.

If the basis of a free module is finite then  $M$  is called finite-free module

(if the basis has  $n$  elements,  $M$  is said to be free of rank  $n$ .)

Rmk An  $R$ -module  $M$  is free on the subset  $A$  of  $M$  if for every non-zero element  $m \in M$ , there is a unique non-zero elts  $r_1, \dots, r_k \in R$  and unique  $a_1, \dots, a_k$  in  $A$  s.t.  
 $m = r_1 a_1 + \dots + r_k a_k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .

Rmk in a module every subset of a  
 lin. indep. subset is lin. independent

and every set containing a lin. dependent set is linearly dependent just as the case in vector spaces.

BUT in a vector space linear independence of a subset can also be reformulated as no member of the subset is a lin. combination of the other members in the subset

in a module this condition (is valid for a lin. indep. subset but) is not equivalent to lin. independence

eg.  $M = \mathbb{Z}$  as a  $\mathbb{Z}$  module

we cannot write the elements  $2, 3 \in \mathbb{Z}$  as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -multiple of the other but the set  $\{2, 3\}$  is lin. dependent

$$2a + 3b = 0 \quad \text{with } a=3, b=-2.$$