

We have the following lemma.

Lemma 1-2 let R be an I-D. Then

① Every prime element is irreducible

② $0 \neq p \in R$, p is a prime element
 $\Leftrightarrow (p)$ is a prime ideal

③ The gcd of $a, b \in R$ is uniquely determined up to units (if it exists).

Proof: ① let $p \in R$ be prime and $p = ab$
 w/ $a, b \in R$.

w.t.s.: either $a \in R^*$, or $b \in R^*$

$p = 1 \cdot p = ab$. Hence $p | p = ab$. But p
 is prime. Therefore $p | a$ or $p | b$
 w.l.o.g. assume $p | a$. Then $p r = a$
 for some $r \in R$. We then have

$$p = ab = p r b$$

This implies $p(1 - rb) = 0$. Since R
 is an I-D and $p \neq 0$, we have that
 $1 = rb$ and $b \in R^*$.

② (\Rightarrow) let $p \in R$ be a prime elt.

Recall: prime ideal means, $I \neq R$ and
 $\forall a, b \in R$ with $ab \in I$ we have
 either $a \in I$ or $b \in I$.

w.t.s. (p) is a prime ideal

Note $(p) \neq R$ since otherwise $1 \in (p)$

which means $1 = rp$ for some $r \in R$
and $p \in R^\times$.

Let $a, b \in R$ with $ab \in (p)$

Then $ab = rp$ for some $r \in R$

and hence $p \mid ab$. But p is prime

Hence $p \mid a$ or $p \mid b$ which implies
 $a \in (p)$ or $b \in (p)$

Let $p \in R$, $p \neq 0$.

(\Leftarrow) Let (p) be a prime ideal, $((p) \neq (0), p \neq 0)$

w.t.s.: p is a prime element

$(p) \neq R$ by defn of prime ideal

Hence $1 \notin (p)$, and $p \notin R^\times$.

Suppose now $p \mid ab$. Then $\exists r \in R$ s.t.

$pr = ab$. Hence $ab \in (p)$

But (p) is prime ideal. Hence

either $a \in (p)$ or $b \in (p)$

which then implies $p \mid a$ or $p \mid b$

(3) Let $a, b \in R \setminus \{0\}$, d, \tilde{d} are 2 gcd's of a

and b . Then by defn both $d, \tilde{d} \mid a$

$d, \tilde{d} \mid b$ and hence $d \mid \tilde{d}$, $\tilde{d} \mid d$

But then $d \cdot r = \tilde{d}$ and $\tilde{d} \cdot \tilde{r} = d$

for some $r, \tilde{r} \in R$. This means that

We then have $d \cdot r \cdot \tilde{r} = d$.

which then implies that $d(1 - r\tilde{r}) = 0 \Rightarrow r, \tilde{r} \in R^\times$

since R is an I.D. and d, \tilde{d} are associates as wanted

⑥ If R is not an I.D. then (0) is not a prime ideal. If $ab=0$ w/ $a \neq 0, b \neq 0$ then $ab \in (0)$ but $a \notin (0), b \notin (0)$.

26

Remark ① In \mathbb{Z} every prime is irreducible and every irreducible elt is prime

In any ID prime \Rightarrow irred (lemma 1.2)
But for general ID. irred $\not\Rightarrow$ prime
eg. $R = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$

$$2 \cdot 3 = (1 + \sqrt{-5})(1 - \sqrt{-5}) = 6$$

Hence $2 \mid (1 + \sqrt{-5})(1 - \sqrt{-5})$ but $2 \nmid (1 \pm \sqrt{-5})$

so 2 is not prime. (Note = if $2 \mid 1 + \sqrt{-5}$

$$\Rightarrow 1 + \sqrt{-5} = 2(a + b\sqrt{-5}) \Rightarrow a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \Rightarrow 2a = 1 \quad a \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \nexists)$$

On the other hand 2 is irreducible

Since if $2 = (a + b\sqrt{-5})(c + d\sqrt{-5})$
with $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$a^2 + b^2 \cdot 5 \mid 4$$

$$\Rightarrow a = \pm 2, b = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad a = \pm 1, b = 0$$

② Also if R is not an I.D. we don't again necessarily have prime \Rightarrow irred. either.

eg. $R = \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$, $p=2$

if $2 \mid ab$ in R then either $2 \mid a$ or $2 \mid b$

Hence 2 is prime but it is not irred.

Since $2 = 2 \cdot 4$ but neither 2 nor 4 is a unit in R .

③ In general rings, gcds do not need to exist!

Let $R = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$. let $a=6, b=2+2\sqrt{-5}$

Both 2, and $1+\sqrt{-5}$ divide a and b

Hence if $\gcd(a, b)$ existed, it had to be a multiple of 2 and $1+\sqrt{-5}$

Exercise: Show that if $\gcd(a, b)$ exists, say $c+d\sqrt{-5} = \gcd(a, b)$.

Then $N(c+d\sqrt{-5}) := c^2+d^2 \cdot 5$ has to be exactly 12, but in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$ there are no elts of norm 12.

Hence \gcd of a and b does not exist in R .

One can show for the above defined $N: \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$
 $a+b\sqrt{-5} \rightarrow a^2+b^2 \cdot 5$

- ① N is multiplicative
- ② if $r|s$ in R , then $N(r)|N(s)$ in \mathbb{Z}
- ③ r is a unit in R
 $\Leftrightarrow N(r) = 1 \Leftrightarrow r = \pm 1$.

④ If (0) were prime then lemma 1-2, ① is clearly not correct. Since (0) is not irreducible. eg $0=5 \cdot 0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, and neither 5 nor 0 are units in \mathbb{Z} .

Also (0) is a prime ideal which is not max'l. And in a PID we'll see (r) is prime $\Leftrightarrow (r)$ maximal for $r \neq 0$.

Prop The defining properties of gcd d of $a, b \in R$, in I.D are

- (i) $d|a$ and $d|b$ and
 (ii) If $\tilde{d}|a$, $\tilde{d}|b$ then $\tilde{d}|d$.

Note that: $b|a$ in a ring R

$$\Leftrightarrow a \in (b) \Leftrightarrow (a) \subseteq (b)$$

In particular if $d|a$ and $d|b$ then
 (d) contains both a and b
 and (d) contains the ideal (a, b)
 Hence (i) and (ii) can be written in terms of the language of ideals as

Let $I = (a, b)$ ideal generated by a and b
 then $d = \text{gcd}$ of a and b if

(i) $(a, b) \subseteq (d)$

(ii) If (\tilde{d}) is any principal ideal containing (a, b) then $(d) \subseteq (\tilde{d})$

Hence gcd of a, b (if it exists) is a generator for the smallest principal ideal containing a and b . is

Lemma 1.3 Let R be a PID, $a, b \in R \setminus \{0\}$.

If a and b have a greatest common divisor d then $(a, b) = (d)$

Proof. R is a PID, so (a, b) is principal ideal. So $(a, b) = (c)$ for some $c \in R$.

$$(a, b) = (c) \implies a, b \in (c) \text{ and hence } c|a \text{ and } c|b$$

Hence c is a common divisor of a and b . Since d is gcd of a and b $c|d$ and $(d) \subseteq (c)$

On the other hand, $d|a$ and $d|b$ hence $a, b \in (d)$ and hence $(c) = (a, b) \subseteq (d)$

In fact we have

Thm 1.4 R be a PID. TFAE

① $d = \gcd(a, b)$

② $(d) = (a, b)$ as ideals

③ $\exists x, y \in R$ s.t. $d = ax + by$ and $\forall s, t \in R, d|as + bt$

④ $\exists x, y \in R$ s.t. $d = ax + by$ and $d|a$ and $d|b$

Pf. In fact ②, ③, ④ are equivalent in I.D.

We'll show ② \implies ③ \implies ④ \implies ② in an I.D

① \implies ② Lemma 1.3 we'll prove ④ \implies ①