## TOPOLOGY SPRING 2024 SOLUTIONS SERIE 11

We denote by I the interval [0, 1]

- (1) (a) If X = A ∪ B with A, B nonempty disjoint open sets, then taking x ∈ A, y ∈ B and a path γ from x to y we'd have that I = γ<sup>-1</sup>(A) ⊔ γ<sup>-1</sup>(B), a disjoint union of nonempty (as 0 ∈ γ<sup>-1</sup>(A), 1 ∈ γ<sup>-1</sup>(B)) open sets, which is absurd as I is connected;
  - (b) symmetry is given by the constant path (which is always continuous), and if  $\gamma$  is a path from x to y then  $\gamma \circ h$  is a path from y to x where  $h: I \longrightarrow I$  is given by  $t \mapsto 1-t$ . Finally, if  $\gamma$  is a path from x to y and  $\gamma'$  is a path from y to z then the path  $\eta = \begin{cases} \gamma \circ d & \text{if } t \leq 1/2; \\ \gamma' \circ (d-1) & \text{if } t \geq 1/2; \end{cases}$  with  $d: I \longrightarrow I, t \mapsto 2t$  is a well defined as both branches are continuous and give y for t = 1/2 path from x to z (formulae aside, we simply "glued"
  - the two paths);(c) the equivalence class of x is path-connected by definition, so it is connected by a). Again by (well-)definition of connected component, it thus must be contained in the connected component of x;
  - (d) let C be the path-connected component of x and let  $y \in C$ . We know that there is a homeomorphism  $\phi : U \longrightarrow V$  from some open neighborhood of yto some open  $V \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ . Let  $w = \phi(y)$ ; then V contains an open n-disk Dcentered at w (as those form a FSN), so  $\phi$  restricts to a homeomorphism from  $U_1 = \phi^{-1}(D)$  to D. As D is path-connected ( $\mathbf{R}^n$  is locally path-connected),  $U_1$  is. Therefore, as  $y \in U_1$  by construction,  $U_1 \subset C$  by b), hence we have proven that given  $y \in C$ , C contains an open neighborhood of y, and hence is open;
  - (e) X being contractible means precisely that  $Id_X$  is homotopic to a constant map  $x_0$  on X. But then there is  $F : X \times I \longrightarrow X$  continuous so that F(x,0) = x,  $F(x,1) = x_0 \ \forall x \in X$ ; therefore,  $\gamma_x(t) = F(x,t)$  is, for any  $x \in X$ , a path from x to  $x_0$ . Again by b), this means that x is path connected, as to get a path from x to y we can join one from x to  $x_0$  with one from  $x_0$ to y.
- (2) Let P = (0, 1).
  - (a) Observe that  $C \{P\}$  is connected (it is a comb with teeth at abscissae 1/n) and that any open disk centered at P intersects  $C \{P\}$ : if it has radius  $\epsilon$ , it contains (1/N, 1) for  $N > \epsilon^{-1}$ . Therefore, if C was the union of disjoint nonempty open sets, both would intersect  $C \{P\}$ , making it also disconnected, which is absurd;

- (b)  $\{P\}$  is closed in C as it is closed in the euclidean topology, so its preimage is closed, and nonempty as it contains 0;
- (c) V is open in C as its intersection with an open of  $\mathbf{R}^2$  given by the same conditions. By the definition of continuity, as  $\gamma(t_0) = P \in V$ , there is an open  $U \ni t_0$  such that  $\gamma(U) \subset V$ . As open intervals are a basis for the 1D euclidean topology, we can find an interval neighborhood of  $t_0$  which is contained in U;
- (d) it is as the continuous image of a connected set;
- (e) let  $\gamma((a, b)) = G$ . As  $|y 1| < \epsilon < 1/2$ , G does not intersect the real axis. So if we had  $(1/n, u) \in G$  for some  $n \ge 1$ ,  $u \le 1$  (i.e.  $G \ne \{P\}$ ), we could write  $G = (G \cap D) \sqcup (G \cap \overline{D}^c)$  as the disjoint union of two nonempty open subsets - contradicting the previous question - where D is the open disk centered at (1/n, u) of radius  $\frac{1}{2n}$ . Indeed D which does not contain P but  $\overline{D}^c$  does because of the radius length;
- (f) we just proved that if  $t_0 \in Y$  then Y contains an open neighborhood of  $t_0$ , so it is open;
- (g) being also nonempty and closed, Y must then be the whole space I as the latter is connected.
- (3) (a) Symmetry follows from the symmetry in X, Y of the definition, and reflexivity is given by the identity map. Moreover, if X and Y have the same homotopy type via f, g as in the text and Y and Z too via h, l, we get that  $f_1 = h \circ f$ :  $X \longrightarrow Z$  and  $g_1 = g \circ l : Z \longrightarrow X$  are maps such that  $g_1 \circ f_1$  is homotopic to  $Id_X$  and  $f_1 \circ g_1$  is homotopic to  $Id_Z$ , as we can perform just the homotopy that brings  $l \circ h$  to  $Id_Y$  for  $t \in [0, 1/2]$  by doubling the speed, and the one bringing  $g \circ f$  to  $Id_X$  for  $t \in [1/2, 1]$ , obtaining an homotopy from  $g_1 \circ f_1$  to  $Id_X$  (they glue because at t = 1/2 we have reached an homotopy equivalence between  $l \circ h$  and  $Id_Y$ , so that the map  $Y \longrightarrow Y$  in the triangular diagram with f and g is precisely the identity, allowing us to work with  $g \circ f$ ). The other direction is analogous;
  - (b) suppose we have two maps  $g_1, g_2$  with that property. As we saw that we can compose homotopies, we have:

$$g_1 \sim g_1 \circ Id_Y \sim g_1 \circ (f \circ g_2) \sim (g_1 \circ f) \circ g_2 \sim Id_X \circ g_2 \sim g_2;$$

(c) we proved this in a);

- (d) X has the homotopy type of  $\{x_0\}$  iff there exists a map  $g : \{x_0\} \longrightarrow X$  such that  $g \circ f \sim Id_X$  where f is the only map from X to  $\{x_0\}$ . But then any such  $g \circ f$  is exactly a constant map  $X \longrightarrow X$ , and we get precisely the definition of contractible space;
- (e) in the first case, given a map  $f: Y \longrightarrow X$  we can write  $f \sim f \circ Id_X \sim f \circ f_0$ where  $f_0: X \longrightarrow X$  is a constant map, as we proved that X is contractible, giving the required homotopy from f to a constant map  $Y \longrightarrow X$ . In the other

case we do the same thing but by composing the identity - and deforming it to a constant map - to the left of a map  $g: X \longrightarrow Y$ .

- (4) (a) Let  $i, \phi$  respectively be the inclusion and the group morphism of the text. For any  $y_0 \in Y, r : X \longrightarrow Y$  induces another group morphism  $\psi : \pi_1(X, y_0) \longrightarrow \pi_1(Y, y_0)$ , and since  $r \circ i = Id_Y$  we have that  $\psi \circ \phi$ , which is the morphism it induces on  $\pi_1(X, y_0)$  by functoriality, is also the identity, so  $\phi$  must be injective;
  - (b) we saw this in other terms on a previous sheet too: the projection of  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \{0\}$  to  $S_{n-1}$  is continuous (the preimage of a small open "circle"  $\{d(z_0, z) < \epsilon\}$  in  $S_{n-1}$  is the open cone with tip in 0 it defines) and fixes the sphere, so it's a retraction;
  - (c) a) and b) give an injective homomorphism  $\mathbf{Z} \simeq \pi_1(S_1, y_0) \hookrightarrow \pi(\mathbf{R}^2 \{0\}, y_0)$ , so the latter cannot be trivial;
  - (d) if it was, we'd get an injective homomorphism  $\mathbf{Z} \longrightarrow \{1\}$ , which is absurd.
- (5) (a) g is the composition (T ∘ (f, Id))(z) : D → S<sub>1</sub> where T : D<sup>2</sup> \ Δ → S<sub>1</sub> is the map sending two distinct points in D to the intersection of the line between them with S<sub>1</sub> (and Δ is the diagonal). T is open, as given (x<sub>0</sub>, y<sub>0</sub>) with T(x<sub>0</sub>, y<sub>0</sub>) = P and an open neighborhood U ∋ P in S<sub>1</sub>, there is ε > 0 such that the circular sector (P − ε, P + ε) (in radians, say) lies in U, and we can definitely find δ > 0 such that for x and y in a open balls of radii δ around x<sub>0</sub> and y<sub>0</sub> respectively, the line between x, y intersects the above circular sector (as we can bound the distance of its intersection with S<sub>1</sub> from that of the line between x<sub>0</sub> and y<sub>0</sub> linearly in terms of δ and the diameter of the circle simply by definition of what a "line" is). Obviously (f, Id) : D → D<sup>2</sup> is continuous as both component are, and its image is indeed contained in D<sup>2</sup> \ Δ by the no-fixed-point hypothesis, so g is well defined and continuous as the composition of continuous maps;
  - (b) above;
  - (c) having a continuous map  $D \longrightarrow D$  which is the identity on  $S_1$ , we get that the latter is a retract of the former, so by Exercise 4 we get an injective morphism  $\mathbf{Z} \simeq \pi_1(S_1) \hookrightarrow \pi_1(D) \simeq \{1\}$  (the last isomorphism as D is contractible), which is absurd, so f must have a fixed point.