

# Wahrscheinlichkeit und Statistik

## Serie 1

Version 2 (11. März 2024: Tippfehler in der Lösung von Aufgabe 1.1(1)(b): “ $-3 \leq x \leq 1$ ” wurde durch “ $-3 \leq x < 1$ ” ausgebessert. Kleiner Tippfehler in Aufgabe 1.1(2) ausgebessert. Zusätzliche Erklärungen in der Lösung von Aufgabe 1.2(1). Die Notation wurde an die Vorlesung angepasst, wo Wahrscheinlichkeitsmasse typischerweise mit “ $P(\cdot)$ ” anstatt mit “ $\mathbb{P}[\cdot]$ ” bezeichnet werden. Minimale Verbesserungen der Formulierungen und Formatierung.), Version 1 (1. März)

Bitte stellt Fragen in den Übungen und/oder im Forum.

Bitte stell sicher, dass du die Webseite <https://kahoot.it/> in der Übung am **05. März** öffnen kannst.

Freiwillige Abgabe bis **07. März 8:00**. Nachher kann selbstständig mit der Lösung verglichen werden.

### Aufgabe 1.1 (lim inf and lim sup of sets)

Let  $(A_n)$  be a sequence of events on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ . Recall (from measure theory) the definitions

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n := \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \bigcap_{m \geq n} A_m,$$
$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n := \bigcap_{n \geq 1} \bigcup_{m \geq n} A_m.$$

**Intuition:**  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n$  consists of the elements  $\omega \in \Omega$  that appear in *almost all*<sup>1</sup> sets  $A_n$ .

**Intuition:**  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n$  consists of the elements  $\omega \in \Omega$  that appear in infinitely many sets  $A_n$ .

(1) If  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}$ , give the set  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n$  in the following three cases (please justify your answers):

(a)  $A_n = [-1/n, 3 + 1/n]$

(b)  $A_n = [-2 - (-1)^n, 2 + (-1)^{n+1}]$

(c)  $A_n = p_n \mathbb{N}$ , where  $(p_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is the sequence of prime numbers and  $p_n \mathbb{N}$  denotes the set of all multiples of  $p_n$ .

(2) Show that

$$P\left(\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n\right) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(A_n) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(A_n) \leq P\left(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n\right).$$

**Aufgabe 1.2** A collection of sets  $\mathcal{A}$  is said to be a generating  $\pi$ -system of a  $\sigma$ -field  $\mathcal{F}$  if  $\sigma(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{F}$  and if  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $\pi$ -system<sup>2</sup>.

(1) Show that  $\mathcal{A} = \{[0, a] : a \in [0, 1]\}$  is a  $\pi$ -system generating  $\mathcal{B}([0, 1])$ .

(2) Prove that  $\mathcal{A}' = \{(-\infty, a_1] \times \cdots \times (-\infty, a_d] : a_1, \dots, a_d \in \mathbb{R}\} \cup \{\mathbb{R}^d\}$  is a  $\pi$ -system generating the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

### Aufgabe 1.3 (Questions and operations on $\sigma$ -fields)

(1) Is the set of all open sets of  $\mathbb{R}$  a  $\sigma$ -field?

(2) For every  $n \geq 0$ , define on  $\mathbb{N}$  the  $\sigma$ -field  $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(\{\{0\}, \{1\}, \dots, \{n\}\})$ . Show that the sequence of  $\sigma$ -fields  $(\mathcal{F}_n, n \geq 0)$  is non-decreasing but that  $\bigcup_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{F}_n$  is not a  $\sigma$ -field.

*Hint:* argue by contradiction and use the subset of even integers.

(3) We throw two coins. To model the outcome, we use the probability space  $\Omega = \{00, 01, 10, 11\}$  equipped with the  $\sigma$ -field  $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ . Let  $P$  be the probability measure on  $\Omega$  corresponding to the case where the two coins are fair and are thrown independently. Let  $Q$  be the probability measure on  $\Omega$  corresponding to the case where the second coin is rigged and always gives the same result as the first one. Show that the set  $\{A \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega) : P(A) = Q(A)\}$  is not a  $\sigma$ -field (this gives in particular an example of a Dynkin system which is not a  $\sigma$ -field).

<sup>1</sup> *Almost all* means “all except finitely many exceptions”. An equivalent definition of the  $\liminf$  is  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n = \{\omega \in \Omega \mid \exists n \geq 1 : \forall m \geq n : \omega \in A_m\}$ .

<sup>2</sup> In [Notizen 2 \(Seite 6\)](#) we have defined that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $\pi$ -system, iff it is closed under finite intersections (meaning that for every  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$  we have  $A \cap B \in \mathcal{A}$ ).

- (4) Let  $(E \times F, \mathcal{A})$  be a measured space and  $\pi : E \times F \rightarrow E$  the canonical projection defined by  $\pi(x, y) = x$ . Is the set  $\mathcal{A}_E := \{\pi(A), A \in \mathcal{A}\}$  always a  $\sigma$ -field?
- (5) Let  $(E, \mathcal{A})$  be a measurable space. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a collection of subsets of  $E$ , and fix  $B \in \sigma(\mathcal{C})$ . Alexandra says: there always exists a countable collection  $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{C}$  such that  $B \in \sigma(\mathcal{D})$ . Is she correct?

**Aufgabe 1.4** Let  $(E, \mathcal{E}, \mu)$  be a measured space with  $\mu$  finite. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a collection of subsets such that:

- (a)  $E \in \mathcal{A}$       (b) if  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $A^c \in \mathcal{A}$       (c) if  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $A \cup B \in \mathcal{A}$       (d)  $\sigma(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{E}$ .

The goal of this exercise is to show that for every  $E \in \mathcal{E}$ , for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\mu(E \Delta A) \leq \epsilon$ .<sup>3</sup> To this end, set  $\mathcal{S} = \{E \in \mathcal{E} : \forall \epsilon > 0, \exists A \in \mathcal{A} : \mu(E \Delta A) \leq \epsilon\}$ .

- (1) Show that  $\mathcal{S}$  is stable by finite unions.
- (2) Show that  $\mathcal{S}$  is a  $\sigma$ -field (for stability by countable unions, justify that one may assume that the events are pairwise disjoint).

Wenn du Feedback zur Serie hast, schreibe bitte in das [Forum](#) (oder eine Mail an [Jakob Heiss](#)).

<sup>3</sup>In [Notizen 2 \(Seite 24\)](#), we have visualized the *symmetric difference*  $X \Delta Y = (X \cup Y) \setminus (X \cap Y) = (X \setminus Y) \cup (Y \setminus X)$ . Note that intuitively  $\mu(E \Delta A) \leq \epsilon$  means that  $A$  is a good approximation of  $E$ .