

Last time: Proof of

26 April

61

Lemma 3 M^n without boundary, $A \subseteq M$ compact, R commutative unital ring.

(i) $H_i(M, M \setminus A; R) = 0$ for $i > n$.

$\alpha \in H_n(M, M \setminus A; R)$ is zero \Leftrightarrow

image of α in $H_n(M, M \setminus \{x\}; R)$ is zero for all $x \in A$.

(ii) μ_x locally consistent choice of orientation for $x \in A$

\Rightarrow exists unique $\mu_A \in H_n(M, M \setminus A; R)$ mapping to μ_x for all $x \in A$

Today:

Prop 2 M^n closed (\Leftrightarrow compact, no boundary) connected.

(i) $H_n(M; \mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow H_n(M, M \setminus \{x\}; \mathbb{F}_2)$ iso for all $x \in M$.

(ii) M orientable $\Rightarrow H_n(M; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_n(M, M \setminus \{x\}; \mathbb{Z})$ iso $\forall x \in M$.

M non-orientable $\Rightarrow H_n(M; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$.

(iii) $H_i(M; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ for $i > n$.

Note that (iii) follows from Lemma 3 (i) with $A = M$. For (i) & (ii), we'll also use Lemma 3, but need some more tools.

For M^n without boundary, let

Hatcher p. 235

$\tilde{M} := \{ \mu_x \mid x \in M \text{ and } \mu_x \in H_n(M, M \setminus \{x\}) \text{ a local orientation} \}$

Note $p: \tilde{M} \rightarrow M, \mu_x \mapsto x$ is a 2:1 surjection. For $B \subseteq \text{chart} \subseteq M$

an open ball and a generator $\mu_B \in H_n(M, M \setminus B)$, let

$U_{(\mu_B)} := \{ \mu_x \in \tilde{M} \mid x \in B, \mu_x \text{ image of } \mu_B \text{ under} \\ H_n(M, M \setminus B) \rightarrow H_n(M, M \setminus \{x\}) \}$

Exercise The $U_{(\mu_B)}$ form the base of a topology on \tilde{M} , st p is a 2:1 covering.

Def $p: \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ is called the orientation covering of M .

Each $\mu_x \in \tilde{M}$ has a canonical orientation $\tilde{\mu}_x \in H_n(\tilde{M}, \tilde{M} \setminus \mu_x)$ corresponding to μ_x under the isos

$$H_n(\tilde{M}, \tilde{M} \setminus \mu_x) \xleftarrow{\text{excision}} H_n(U_{(\mu_B)}, U_{(\mu_B)} \setminus \mu_x) \longrightarrow H_n(B, B \setminus x) \xrightarrow{\text{excision}} H_n(M, M \setminus x)$$

These are locally consistent, so \tilde{M} has a canonical orientation.

Prop 4 If M is connected, then: \tilde{M} non-connected $\Leftrightarrow M$ orientable

Proof M has orientation $\mu_x \Rightarrow \tilde{M} = \underbrace{\{\mu_x \mid x \in M\}}_{\text{open}} \sqcup \underbrace{\{-\mu_x \mid x \in M\}}_{\text{open}}$

If \tilde{M} has two components N_1, N_2 , then they inherit an orientation from \tilde{M} . Check that $p|_{N_i}: N_i \rightarrow M$ are coverings. Then, they must be one-sheeted coverings, i.e. homeomorphisms. \square

Example $\tilde{S}^2 \cong S^2 \sqcup S^2$, $\widetilde{\mathbb{R}P^2} \cong S^2$, $\widetilde{\text{Klein Bottle}} \cong S^1 \times S^1$

Note that $S^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}P^3$ is an orientable double covering, but not the orientation covering, which is $\mathbb{R}P^3 \sqcup \mathbb{R}P^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}P^3$ (since $\mathbb{R}P^3$ is orientable).

Def A **section** of p is a cont. map $s: M \rightarrow \tilde{M}$ with $ps = \text{id}_M$.

Note that a section of a covering map has a component of \tilde{M} as image

Prop 5 μ_x is an orientation $\Leftrightarrow x \mapsto \mu_x$ is a section of p

Pf Exercise \square

Def R commutative unital ring, M^n without boundary.

Local R -orientation: μ_x is a generator of $H_n(M, M \setminus x; R)$

R -orientation: locally consistent choice of local R -orientations.

M R -orientable: \Leftrightarrow There exists an R -orientation

Example Every M is \mathbb{F}_2 -orientable, since there is precisely one local \mathbb{F}_2 -orientation at every point.

Def Let $M_{\mathbb{R}} := \{ \alpha_x \mid x \in M, \alpha_x \in H_n(M, M \setminus \{x\}; \mathbb{R}) \}$, with similar topology as \tilde{M} .

Note $p_{\mathbb{R}}: M_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow M$ is an $|\mathbb{R}|$ -sheeted covering.

Prop 6 Let $M_{\tau} = \{ \alpha_x \mid \alpha_x \text{ is the image of } \mu_x \otimes \tau \text{ under the iso } H_n(M, M \setminus x) \otimes \mathbb{R} \rightarrow H_n(M, M \setminus x; \mathbb{R}) \text{ for } \mu_x \text{ a generator of } H_n(M, M \setminus x) \}$

Then: $M_{\tau} \subseteq M_{\mathbb{R}}$ is open ; $M_{\tau} = M_{-\tau}$;
 $M_{\tau} \cap M_S = \emptyset$ for $\tau \neq \pm S$;
 $M_{\tau} \cong M$ if $\tau = -\tau$, and $M_{\tau} \cong \tilde{M}$ if $\tau \neq -\tau$.

Pf: Exercise □

Prop 7 μ_x is an \mathbb{R} -orientation \Leftrightarrow
 $x \mapsto \mu_x$ is a section of $p_{\mathbb{R}}$ with each μ_x a generator of $H_n(M, M \setminus x; \mathbb{R})$

Pf Exercise, similar to Prop 5. □

Prop 8 If $0 = 2$ in $\mathbb{R} \Rightarrow$ all M^m are \mathbb{R} -orientable
If $0 \neq 2$ in $\mathbb{R} \Rightarrow M^m$ is \mathbb{R} -orientable iff it is \mathbb{Z} -orientable

Proof $0 = 2 \Rightarrow M_1 \cong M \Rightarrow p_{\mathbb{R}}$ has a section to $M_1 \Rightarrow M$ is \mathbb{R} -orientable
Assume $0 \neq 2$. Generators of $H_n(M, M \setminus x; \mathbb{R})$ are of the form $\mu_x \otimes u$ for μ_x a gen. of $H_n(M, M \setminus x)$ and $u \in \mathbb{R}$ a unit. Then $u \neq -u \Rightarrow M_u \cong \tilde{M} \Rightarrow p_{\mathbb{R}}$ has a section to M_u iff $\tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ has a section. □

Proof of Prop 2 (i) and (ii) Pointwise sum and pointwise \mathbb{R} -multiplication turn $\Gamma(M, M_{\mathbb{R}})$ into an \mathbb{R} -module.

$$H_n(M; \mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow \Gamma(M, M_{\mathbb{R}}),$$

$$\alpha \mapsto (x \mapsto \text{image of } \alpha \text{ in } H_n(M, M \setminus x; \mathbb{R}))$$

is a homomorphism. By Lemma 3, applied to $A = M$, it is an isomorphism! Indeed, Lemma 3 (i) yields injectivity. And Lemma 3 (ii) yields surjectivity (here, we need a slightly more general version of Lemma 3 (ii): namely, for every locally consistent choice $\alpha_x \in H_n(M, M \setminus x; \mathbb{R})$, $\exists! \mu_A \in H_n(M, M \setminus A; \mathbb{R})$ that maps to α_x for all x . The proof is the same — we never use that α_x generates).

$$M \text{ } \mathbb{R}\text{-orientable} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \tilde{M} = M \sqcup M & \text{if } O \neq 2 \\ M_r = M \text{ for all } r \in \mathbb{R} & \text{if } O = 2 \end{cases} \Rightarrow M_{\mathbb{R}} \cong \bigsqcup_{r \in \mathbb{R}} M$$

$$\Rightarrow \Gamma(M, M_{\mathbb{R}}) \cong \mathbb{R} \text{ (using connectedness of } M) \Rightarrow H_n(M; \mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{R}.$$

So $H_n(M; \mathbb{F}_2) \cong \mathbb{F}_2$ for all M (since all M are \mathbb{F}_2 -orientable), and $H_n(M) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ for all orientable M .

M non-orientable $\Rightarrow \tilde{M}$ is connected \Rightarrow

$$M_{\mathbb{Z}} \cong \underbrace{M_0}_{\cong M} \sqcup \underbrace{M_1}_{\cong \tilde{M}} \sqcup \underbrace{M_2}_{\cong \tilde{M}} \dots$$

So the only section of $p_{\mathbb{Z}}$ goes to $M_0 \Rightarrow \Gamma(M, M_{\mathbb{Z}}) \cong 0$
 $\Rightarrow H_n(M) \cong 0.$ □