

Def Let X be a top. space, R a commutative unital ring,
 $\sigma \in C_m(X; R)$, $\varphi \in C^k(X; R)$ with $k \leq m$.

Then the **cap product** is

$$\sigma \frown \varphi = \varphi(\sigma|_{[e_0, \dots, e_k]}) \sigma|_{[e_k, \dots, e_m]} \in C_{m-k}(X; R)$$

Proposition 1

(1) Linear extension gives an R -bilinear map

$$C_m(X; R) \times C^k(X; R) \longrightarrow C_{m-k}(X; R)$$

(2) $\sigma \frown \varepsilon = \sigma$ for $\varepsilon \in C^0(X; R)$, $\varepsilon(\tau) = 1 \forall \tau$.

(3) $(\sigma \frown \varphi) \frown \psi = \sigma \frown (\varphi \cup \psi)$.

Pf Exercise

□

Proposition 2 $(-1)^k d(\sigma \frown \varphi) = (d\sigma) \frown \varphi - \sigma \frown d\varphi$

Pf $d(\sigma \frown \varphi) = \sum_{i=k}^m \varphi(\sigma|_{[e_0, \dots, e_k]}) (-1)^{i+k} \sigma|_{[e_k, \dots, \hat{e}_i, \dots, e_m]}$

$$(d\sigma) \frown \varphi = \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j \varphi(\sigma|_{[e_0, \dots, \hat{e}_j, \dots, e_{k+1}]}) \sigma|_{[e_{k+1}, \dots, e_m]}$$

$$+ \sum_{l=k+1}^m (-1)^l \varphi(\sigma|_{[e_0, \dots, e_k]}) \sigma|_{[e_k, \dots, \hat{e}_l, \dots, e_m]}$$

$$\sigma \frown (d\varphi) = \sum_{m=0}^{k+1} (-1)^m \varphi(\sigma|_{[e_0, \dots, \hat{e}_m, \dots, e_{k+1}]}) \sigma|_{[e_{k+1}, \dots, e_m]}$$

□

Proposition 3 (1) cycle \cap cocycle = cycle

(2) boundary \cap cocycle = boundary

(3) cycle \cap coboundary = boundary

(4) For $[c] \in H_m(X; \mathbb{R})$, $[\varphi] \in H^k(X; \mathbb{R})$,

$$[c] \cap [\varphi] := [c \cap \varphi] \in H_{m-k}(X; \mathbb{R})$$

is a well-defined \mathbb{R} -bilinear map.

(5) X path-connected, $\delta: H_0(X; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the iso $[\sigma] \mapsto 1$,

$[c] \in H_m(X; \mathbb{R})$, $[\varphi] \in H^m(X; \mathbb{R})$, then

$$\delta([c] \cap [\varphi]) = \varphi(c) = ev([\varphi])([c])$$

Proof: Exercise.

Theorem 4 (Poincaré duality)

Let M be a closed \mathbb{R} -oriented n -dim manifold. Then for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$PD: H^k(M; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H_{n-k}(M; \mathbb{R})$$

$$PD([\varphi]) = [M] \cap [\varphi]$$

is an isomorphism.

Before we dive into the consequences of PD, here are two more properties of the cap product.

Prop 5 (Naturality of cap) $f: X \rightarrow Y$ cont., $a \in C_n(X)$, $\varphi \in C^k(Y)$

$$f_c(a \cap f^c \varphi) = (f_c a) \cap \varphi$$

Proof Exercise.

Remark 6 Similarly as for the cup, one may define a **relative cap**

$$\frown : H_m(X, A \cup B; \mathbb{R}) \times H^k(X, A; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H_{m-k}(X, B; \mathbb{R})$$

using that $C_*(A+B) \hookrightarrow C_*(A \cup B)$ induces isos

$$H_*(X, A+B) \rightarrow H_*(X, A \cup B).$$

We'll prove PD, but first, let us harvest some implications.

Let us take the following for granted.

Theorem 7 M^n compact (potentially with ∂) \Rightarrow
 $H_*(M; \mathbb{R})$ is a finitely generated \mathbb{R} -module.

Proof idea Use that $M \simeq$ some finite Δ -complex
 (Hatcher A.8, A.9 p. 527) \square

Corollary 8 M^n closed, \mathbb{K} -orientable for a field \mathbb{K}

$$H_k(M; \mathbb{K}) \cong H^k(M; \mathbb{K}) \cong H_{n-k}(M; \mathbb{K}) \cong H^{n-k}(M; \mathbb{K})$$

Proof Since $H_*(M)$ f.g. by Thm 7:

$$\begin{aligned} \dim H_k(M; \mathbb{K}) &\stackrel{\text{UCT hom}}{=} \# \mathbb{Z}\text{-summands of } H_k(M) + \\ &\stackrel{\text{UCT cohom}}{=} \# \mathbb{Z}_p\text{-summands of } H_k(M) \text{ and } H_{k-1}(M) \\ &= \dim H^k(M; \mathbb{K}) \end{aligned}$$

This proves the first iso. The second is PD. \square

Corollary 9 M^n closed, n odd $\Rightarrow \chi(M) = 0$.

$$\text{Proof } \chi(M) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \dim H_k(M; \mathbb{F}_2) \quad n = 2m+1$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k \dim H_k(M; \mathbb{F}_2) + (-1)^{2m+1-k} \dim H_{2m+1-k}(M; \mathbb{F}_2) = 0 \quad \square$$

Proposition 10 M^m connected, closed, oriented st $H_0(M)$ is free.

Then $\cup : H^k(M) \times H^{m-k}(M) \rightarrow H^m(M) \cong H_0(M) \cong \mathbb{Z}$
PD $\delta : [\sigma] \mapsto 1$
 is non-singular, ie

$$H^k(M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H^{m-k}(M), \mathbb{Z})$$

$$[\varphi] \mapsto ([\psi] \mapsto \delta(\text{PD}([\psi] \cup [\varphi])))$$

is an iso.

Proof $H_0(M)$ free by assumption $\Rightarrow \text{Ext}(H_{k-1}(M), \mathbb{Z})$ is trivial

\Rightarrow ev is iso. So we have isos

$$H^k(M) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}} \text{Hom}(H_k(M), \mathbb{Z})$$

$$\xrightarrow{\text{PD}^*} \text{Hom}(H^{m-k}(M), \mathbb{Z})$$

Just need to check that their composition equals the desired homomorphism $[\varphi] \mapsto ([\psi] \mapsto \delta(\text{PD}([\varphi] \cup [\psi])))$.

Let $[\varphi] \in H^k(M)$, $[\psi] \in H^{m-k}(M)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{PD}^*(\text{ev}([\varphi]))([\psi]) &= \text{ev}([\varphi])(\text{PD}([\psi])) \\ &= \text{ev}([\varphi])([M] \frown [\psi]) \\ &= \delta(([M] \frown [\psi]) \frown [\varphi]) \\ &= \delta([M] \frown ([\psi] \cup [\varphi])) \\ &= \delta(\text{PD}([\psi] \cup [\varphi])) \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Remark 11 (1) M^m closed, orientable, $H_0(M)$ free

$$\Rightarrow H^k(M) \cong H_k(M) \cong H^{n-k}(M) \cong H_{n-k}(M).$$

(2) A bilinear form $b: \mathbb{Z}^m \times \mathbb{Z}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is non-singular

$\Leftrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^m \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}^m, \mathbb{Z})$, $x \mapsto (y \mapsto b(x, y))$
is an iso

$\Leftrightarrow \forall$ primitive $x \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ (i.e. x not divisible by integers ≥ 2 ,
or equivalently: x can be extended to a basis)

$$\exists y \in \mathbb{Z}^m \text{ s.t. } b(x, y) = 1.$$