## Exercise Sheet 1

**Exercise 1.** Consider the ideal  $J = \langle x_i - x_1 x_{i-1} : i = 2, ..., n \rangle \leq K[x_1, ..., x_n]$  and let  $X = V(J) \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$  be its vanishing locus.

(a) Show that the projection

$$\pi: X \to \mathbb{A}^1, (x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto x_1$$

is a bijection. Calculate the inverse map  $\pi^{-1} : \mathbb{A}^1 \to X$  parameterizing X.

- (b) Show that J is a prime ideal. Hint: Calculate the quotient  $K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/J$ .
- (c) Conclude that J = I(X) and compute the coordinate ring A(X).

Solution.

(a) For a fixed  $x_1 \in \mathbb{A}^1$ , let  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \pi^{-1}(\{x_1\})$  be a point in the preimage. Then the vanishing of the generators of J at x implies  $x_2 - x_1 \cdot x_1 = 0$  and thus  $x_2 = x_1^2$ . Furthermore, we have  $x_3 - x_1x_2 = 0$  so  $x_3 = x_1^3$ . Inductively, one shows that  $x = (x_1, x_1^2, x_1^3, \dots, x_1^n)$  is the unique point on which all generators of J vanish. The associated inverse map to  $\pi$  is given by

$$x_1 \mapsto (x_1, x_1^2, x_1^3, \dots, x_1^n)$$
.

(b) Similar to part (a), we can inductively simplify the quotient ring:

$$K[x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n] / \langle x_2 - x_1^2, x_3 - x_1 x_2, \dots \rangle$$
  

$$\cong K[x_1, x_3, \dots, x_n] / \langle x_3 - x_1 x_1^2, \dots \rangle \dots$$
  

$$\cong K[x_1, x_n] / \langle x_n - x_1^n \rangle \cong K[x_1].$$

Here we used the general result: for R a ring and  $f \in R$  the map  $R \to R[x]/(x-f)$  is an isomorphism. Since  $K[x_1]$  is a domain, we conclude that J is a prime ideal.

(c) By the Nullstellensatz, we have  $I(X) = I(V(J)) = \sqrt{J} = J$  where the last equality follows since any prime ideal is radical. By a result from the lecture, we have  $A(X) = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/I(X) \cong K[x_1]$  by the calculation in part (b).

**Exercise 2.** Determine the radical of the ideal  $J = \langle x_1^3 - x_2^6, x_1x_2 - x_2^3 \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2]$ . *Hint*: The Nullstellensatz might be useful here.

Solution. For X = V(J) we have  $\sqrt{J} = I(X)$  by the Nullstellensatz. Thus we first calculate X. For a point  $x \in \mathbb{C}^2$  we have  $x \in X$  implies  $x_1x_2 - x_2^3$  and so  $x_2 = 0$  or

 $x_1 = x_2^2$ . For  $x_2 = 0$  the equation  $x_1^3 - x_2^6$  shows  $x_1 = 0$  leading to the solution x = (0, 0). On the other hand, for  $x_1 = x_2^2$  the equation  $x_1^3 - x_2^6$  is automatically satisfied, leading to the solution  $x \in V(x_1 - x_2^2)$ , which also contains (0, 0). Thus  $X = V(x_1 - x_2^2)$ . We conclude

$$\sqrt{J} = I(X) = I(V(\langle x_1 - x_2^2 \rangle)) = \langle x_1 - x_2^2 \rangle$$

because  $\langle x_1 - x_2^2 \rangle$  is prime, hence radical. Indeed, the ring  $K[x_1, x_2]/\langle x_1 - x_2^2 \rangle \cong K[x_2]$  is a domain, which proves that the ideal is prime.

**Exercise 3.** Let  $X \subset \mathbb{A}^n$  be an affine variety. Show that the coordinate ring A(X) is a field if and only if X is a single point.

*Solution.* We can either prove the exercise using a consequence of the Nullstellensatz, or give a hands-on argument:

Fany argument:

The ring  $A(X) = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/I(X)$  is a field if and only if I(X) is a maximal ideal. In the lecture we saw that maximal ideals correspond to points of  $\mathbb{A}^n$ , so these are the only affine varieties with A(X) a field.

Argument "by hand":

If X is a point  $X = \{a\}$ , then any polynomial function f on X is uniquely determined by  $f(a) \in K$ , and conversely those (constant) functions are polynomial. Thus A(X) = Kis a field.

Conversely, assume X is not a point. For  $X = \emptyset$  we have  $A(\emptyset) = \{0\}$  the zero ring, which is not a field. On the other hand, if X has at least two distinct points  $a_1, a_2$ , then there is a linear function f with  $f(a_1) = 0, f(a_2) = 1$ . Clearly  $f \neq 0$  in A(X), but f cannot have a multiplicative inverse g since  $(f \cdot g)(a_1) = 0$  for any possible  $g \in A(X)$ .

**Exercise 4.** Let  $X \subset \mathbb{A}^3$  be the union of the three coordinate axes.

- (a) Compute generators for the ideal I(X).
- (b) Show that I(X) cannot be generated by fewer than three elements.

Solution.

(a) We have  $I(X) = \langle x_1x_2, x_1x_3, x_2x_3 \rangle =: J$ . Indeed, if  $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in V(J)$  and  $x_1 = 0$  then  $x_2x_3 = 0$ , so  $x_2 = 0$  or  $x_3 = 0$ . If  $x_1 \neq 0$  then the equations  $x_1x_2 = x_1x_3 = 0$  imply  $x_2 = x_3 = 0$ . Going through the cases, we see that V(J) is precisely the union X of the coordinate axes. Thus by the Nullstellensatz  $I(X) = I(V(J)) = \sqrt{J}$ . Hence it suffices to show that J is radical, which we do by proving that  $R = K[x_1, x_2, x_3]/J$  is reduced.

For doing this, note that any element  $f = f(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  in the quotient ring R has a (unique) representative by a polynomial that has no cross-terms (i.e. no monomial is divisible by two or more of the variables). Thus we can write any element of R as

$$f = c + x_1 f_1(x_1) + x_2 f_2(x_2) + x_3 f(x_3) \in \mathbb{R}$$

with  $f_1, f_2, f_3 \in K[x]$ . Assume that  $f^m = 0$  for some m, then expanding this out, we see that the unique representative of  $f^m$  has constant term  $c^m$ . The condition  $f^m = 0 \in R$  then implies c = 0. Looking at the other terms, we see

$$f^{m} = x_{1} \cdot (x_{1}^{m-1}f_{1}(x_{1})^{m}) + x_{2} \cdot (x_{2}^{m-1}f_{2}(x_{2})^{m}) + x_{3} \cdot (x_{3}^{m-1}f_{3}(x_{1})^{m}) + J \in \mathbb{R}.$$

This implies  $x_i^{m-1} f_i(x_i) = 0$  for i = 1, 2, 3 and thus  $f_i = 0$ . Thus 0 is the only nilpotent element in R, making it a reduced ring.

(b) Assume  $I(X) = \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle$  was generated by two polynomials  $f_i$ . Since the generators of I(X) are of pure degree 2, the two elements  $f_1, f_2$  satisfy that any monomial contained in them has degree at least 2 as well. Let

$$V = K[x_1, x_2, x_3]_2 = \operatorname{Span}_K \{x_1^2, x_1 x_2, x_1 x_3, x_2^2, x_2 x_3, x_3^2\}$$

be the span of monomials of degree exactly 2, forming a K-vector subspace of  $K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ . We have  $V \cap I(X) = \text{Span}_K\{x_1x_2, x_1x_3, x_2x_3\}$  has dimension 3. On the other hand, the degree 2 part of an element  $g_1f_1 + g_2f_2 \in \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle$  is obtained as the sum of the constant parts of the  $g_i$  multiplied by the homogeneous degree 2 parts of  $f_i$ . Thus  $V \cap \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle$  is spanned by these homogeneous degree 2 parts of  $f_1, f_2$ , and thus has dimension at most two. This is a contradiction to the equation above.