## Exercise Sheet 13

## Exercise 1.

a) Any morphism  $f: \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{G}$  of sheaves of modules on a scheme X determines induced  $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ -module homomorphisms

$$f_p: \mathcal{F}_p \to \mathcal{G}_p, [(U, \phi)] \mapsto [(U, f_U(\phi))],$$

on the stalks for all  $p \in X$ . Show that f is an isomorphism if and only if all  $f_p$  are isomorphisms.

b) Conclude that f is an isomorphism if and only if f is injective and surjective.

## Solution.

a) If f is an isomorphism with inverse g, then we claim that  $g_p$  is an inverse of  $f_p$ , showing that  $f_p$  is an isomorphism for all  $p \in X$ . Indeed, since  $f \circ g = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{F}}$  we have  $f_p \circ g_p = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{F}_p}$  and similarly  $g_p \circ f_p = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{G}_p}$ . Therefore,  $f_p$  is an isomorphism for all  $p \in X$ .

Conversely, assume that  $f_p$  is an isomorphism for all  $p \in X$ . To show that f is an isomorphism, we need to construct an inverse morphism  $g : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{F}$ .

For each open set  $U \subseteq X$  and each section  $s \in \mathcal{G}(U)$ , we can define  $g_U(s)$  as follows. For each  $p \in U$ ,  $s_p \in \mathcal{G}_p$ . Since  $f_p$  is an isomorphism, there exists a unique element  $t_p = [(V_p, r_p)] \in \mathcal{F}_p$  such that  $f_p(t_p) = [(V_p, f_{V_p}(r_p)] = s_p$ . This last equality means that, up to shrinking  $V_p$  to a smaller neighborhood of p, we can in fact assume that  $f_{V_p}(r_p) = s|_{V_p}$ , by the definition of equality in  $\mathcal{F}_p$ .

We claim that the collection  $\{(V_p, r_p)\}_{p \in U}$  of open subsets and sections of  $\mathcal{G}$  is compatible on overlaps and thus glues to a unique section  $t \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ . Indeed, the equality on overlaps just follows since the stalks of  $r_p|_{V_p \cap V_q}$  and  $r_q|_{V_p \cap V_q}$  at all points  $x \in V_p \cap V_q$  must coincide with  $f_x^{-1}(s_x)$ . Since the section of a sheaf is uniquely determined by all of its values at stalks, we have compatibility on overlaps and thus obtain  $t \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ .

Define  $g: \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{F}$  by sending  $s \in \mathcal{G}(U)$  to  $t \in \mathcal{F}(U)$  as constructed above. Then by construction  $g_p = f_p^{-1}$  and using again the fact that sections of  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}$  are determined by their values at stalks, one checks that g is the inverse morphism to f.

b) To conclude that f is an isomorphism if and only if f is injective and surjective, note the following:

• A morphism  $f : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{G}$  is injective if and only if  $\ker(f) = 0$ , i.e. if

$$0 \to \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{G}$$

is exact. Since exactness of sequences can be checked on stalks, f is injective if and only if for every  $p \in X$ , the induced map  $f_p : \mathcal{F}_p \to \mathcal{G}_p$  is injective.

• A morphism  $f : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{G}$  is surjective if and only if the image sheaf of f is equal to  $\mathcal{G}$ , i.e. if

$$\mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{J} \mathcal{G} \to 0$$

is exact. Again, since exactness of sequences can be checked on stalks, f is surjective if and only if for every  $p \in X$ , the induced map  $f_p : \mathcal{F}_p \to \mathcal{G}_p$  is surjective.

Given part (a), f is an isomorphism if and only if  $f_p$  is an isomorphism for all  $p \in X$ . Since  $f_p$  being an isomorphism is equivalent to it being injective and surjective, we conclude that f is an isomorphism if and only if f is injective and surjective.

**Exercise 2.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a presheaf on a scheme X, and denote by  $\theta : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}^{sh}$  its sheafification. Prove that any morphism  $f' : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{G}$  to a sheaf  $\mathcal{G}$  factors uniquely through  $\theta$ , i.e., there is a unique morphism  $f : \mathcal{F}^{sh} \to \mathcal{G}$  with  $f' = f \circ \theta$ .

Solution. Let  $f'_p: \mathcal{F}_p \to \mathcal{G}_p$  be the map of stalks induced by f'. Let  $U \subseteq X$  be an open set and  $(s_p)_{p \in U}$  a section of  $\mathcal{F}^{sh}$ . By definition, each  $p_0 \in U$  has an open neighborhood  $U_{p_0} \subseteq U$  and  $S_{p_0} \in \mathcal{F}(U_{p_0})$  such that  $s_p = (S_{p_0})_p \in \mathcal{F}_p$  for all  $p \in U_{p_0}$ . We define a morphism  $f: \mathcal{F}^{sh}(U) \to \mathcal{G}(U)$  by setting  $f((s_p)_{p \in U})$  to be the unique

We define a morphism  $f : \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{sh}}(U) \to \mathcal{G}(U)$  by setting  $f((s_p)_{p \in U})$  to be the unique section in  $\mathcal{G}(U)$  that restricts to  $f'_{U_{p_0}}(S_{p_0})$  on each  $U_{p_0}$ . To see that this is well-defined, note that on overlaps  $U_{p_0} \cap U_{q_0}$ , the stalks of  $f'_{U_{p_0}}(S_{p_0})$  and  $f'_{U_{q_0}}(S_{q_0})$  at any point  $p \in U_{p_0} \cap U_{q_0}$ agree (and are equal to  $f'_p(s_p)$ ). Since  $\mathcal{G}$  is a sheaf, this proves that the two sections agree, and that indeed we get a well-defined section  $f((s_p)_{p \in U})$ .

Now, we need to verify that  $f \circ \theta = f'$ . For any  $U \subseteq X$  and  $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ , by the definition of  $\theta$ ,  $\theta_U(s)$  is represented by the family  $\{s\}$  on the open cover  $\{U\}$ . Thus,  $f(\theta_U(s))$  should be the unique section in  $\mathcal{G}(U)$  that restricts to  $f'_U(s)$  on U, which is precisely  $f'_U(s)$ . Therefore,  $f(\theta_U(s)) = f'_U(s)$ , showing that  $f \circ \theta = f'$ .

To prove the uniqueness of f, assume there are two morphisms  $f_1, f_2 : \mathcal{F}^{sh} \to \mathcal{G}$  such that  $f' = f_1 \circ \theta = f_2 \circ \theta$ . Since  $\theta_p : \mathcal{F}'_p \to \mathcal{F}_p$  is an isomorphism, this equality forces  $(f_1)_p = (f_2)_p$  for all  $p \in X$ . However, we already know that a morphism of sheaves is uniquely determined by its action on the stalks, so  $f_1 = f_2$  as desired.

**Exercise 3.** Let X be a topological space and consider the presheaves

 $\mathcal{F}(U) = \{ \varphi : U \to \mathbb{R} : \varphi \text{ continuous and bounded} \} \text{ for } U \subseteq X \text{ open} ,$  $\mathcal{C}_X(U) = \{ \varphi : U \to \mathbb{R} : \varphi \text{ continuous} \} \text{ for } U \subseteq X \text{ open} .$ 

- a) Give an example of a space X where  $\mathcal{F}$  is not a sheaf.
- b) Convince yourself that  $\mathcal{C}_X$  is a sheaf.
- c) Show that the sheafification of  $\mathcal{F}$  is given by the sheaf  $\mathcal{C}_X$ .
- d) Let  $p: X \to \{\text{pt}\} =: Y$  be the constant map to a point. What is the sheaf  $p_* \mathcal{C}_X$ ?

*Note:* Here we use sheafification for sheaves on an arbitrary topological space. The definition of sheafification here is just exactly the same as for schemes.

Solution.

- a) For  $X = \mathbb{R}$  with the usual topology consider the cover  $U_i = \{(i, i+1)\}_{i \in \mathbb{R}}$  of Xand the sections  $f_i = (x \mapsto x) \in \mathcal{F}(U_i)$ . Clearly all these functions are continuous and bounded on the intervals (i, i+1) and they agree on overlaps. However, the unique function  $X \to \mathbb{R}$  agreeing with  $f_i$  on  $U_i$  is the function  $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}, x \mapsto x$ , which however is not bounded and thus not an element of  $\mathcal{F}(X)$ . This is a violation of the sheaf axiom.
- b) This follows since continuity is a local property.
- c) There is a natural (inclusion) map  $\rho : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{C}_X$  sending the bounded continuous function  $\varphi$  to the continuous function  $\varphi$ . Our first claim is that this map induces an isomorphism on stalks. Indeed, for  $p \in X$  the inverse map  $\mathcal{C}_{X,p} \to \mathcal{F}_p$  takes a gerbe  $[(U,\varphi)]$  of a continuous function  $\varphi$  on a neighborhood U of p. After restricting to a smaller open set  $U' \subseteq U$  (e.g. by taking  $U' = \varphi^{-1}((\varphi(p) - 1, \varphi(p) + 1))$  the inverse image of a small interval around the value of  $\varphi$  at p) we can assume that  $\varphi$ is bounded on U', and thus that  $[(U', \varphi|_{U'})] \in \mathcal{F}_p$ . The map

$$\mathcal{C}_{X,p} \to \mathcal{F}_p, [(U,\varphi)] \mapsto [(U',\varphi|_{U'})]$$

is well-defined and an inverse to  $\rho_p$ .

Let  $\overline{\rho}: \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{sh}} \to \mathcal{C}_X$  be the unique map such that  $\rho$  factors through the sheafification  $\theta: \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{sh}}$  as  $\rho = \overline{\rho} \circ \theta$ . Then since both  $\theta$  and  $\rho$  induce isomorphisms on the stalk level, also  $\overline{\rho}_p$  is an isomorphism for all  $p \in X$ . Using Exercise 1 a) this proves the desired isomorphism  $\overline{\rho}: \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{sh}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{C}_X$ .

d) A sheaf on a single-point space is always determined by its global sections (since there are only the two open sets  $\emptyset, Y$  and a sheaf always has value  $\{0\}$  on  $\emptyset$ ). Plugging in the definition we see

$$(p_*\mathcal{C}_X)(Y) = \mathcal{C}_X(p^{-1}(Y)) = \mathcal{C}_X(X) = \{f : X \to \mathbb{R} : f \text{ continuous}\}.$$

**Exercise 4.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$  and  $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Prove that  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d)^{\vee} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-d)$ . *Note:* For inspiration you can re-read the proof from [Gathmann, Example 13.23]. In your proof, you might want to use the presheaf  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d)^{\vee, \text{pre}}$  given by  $U \mapsto \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_U}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d)|_U, \mathcal{O}_U)$ .

Solution. We have seen in class that for  $U \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$  open there exists a map

$$m_V: (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-d))(V) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(V)} (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d))(V) \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(V)$$

of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(V)$ -modules, compatible with restriction maps to smaller open subsets. Let  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d)^{\vee, \text{pre}}$ be the presheaf given by  $U \mapsto \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_U}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d)|_U, \mathcal{O}_U)$ .

We define a morphism of presheaves

$$\rho: \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-d) \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d)^{\vee, \operatorname{pre}}$$

Given  $U \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$  and  $\psi \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-d)(U)$ , the associated image under  $\rho$  is given by

$$\rho(\psi): \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d)|_U \to \mathcal{O}_U, \varphi \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d)(V) \mapsto m_V(\psi|_V, \varphi) \in \mathcal{O}_U(V)$$

where  $V \subseteq U$  is an open subset. The fact that the  $m_V$  are compatible under restriction shows that both  $\rho(\psi)$  and then the map  $\rho$  itself give morphisms of presheaves of modules.

Let  $\theta : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d)^{\vee, \text{pre}} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d)^{\vee}$  be the morphism to the sheafification. Then we claim that  $\theta \circ \rho$  is the desired isomorphism. To prove this we just observe that on the standard open cover  $U_i$  of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  the sheaves  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(\pm d)$  are isomorphic to  $\mathcal{O}_{U_i}$ . Tracing through the isomorphisms and checking what  $\theta \circ \rho$  does on the stalks, we find that it is just given by the map

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n,p} \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n,p}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n,p},\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n,p}), f \mapsto (g \mapsto fg)$$

This is an isomorphism, so by Exercise 1 a) we have that  $\theta \circ \rho$  is an isomorphism. Here we used that the stalk of the dual sheaf is the dual module of the stalk.