

## Exercise Sheet 6

**Exercise 1.** Let  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ . Prove:

- a) If  $f : \mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^m$  is a morphism and  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^m$  a hypersurface then every irreducible component of  $f^{-1}(X)$  has dimension at least  $n - 1$ .  
*Hint:* Use that locally on an affine cover of  $\mathbb{P}^m$ , the hypersurface  $X$  is cut out by a single equation.
- b) Show that any morphism  $f : \mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^m$  is constant.
- c) If  $n > m$  then every morphism  $f : \mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^m$  is constant.  
*Hint:* Consider the preimages of the hyperplanes  $V(x_i) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^m$  under  $f$ .
- d)  $\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^m$  is not isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^{n+m}$ .

*Solution.*

- a) Let  $\mathbb{P}^m = U_0 \cup \dots \cup U_m$  be the standard cover of the target of  $f$ . Then  $f^{-1}(X)$  is covered by the open sets  $f^{-1}(X \cap U_i)$  for  $i = 0, \dots, m$ . Thus it suffices to check that every irreducible component of  $f^{-1}(X \cap U_i)$  is of dimension at least  $n - 1$ .

We distinguish two cases: if  $f^{-1}(U_i) = \emptyset$ , then the statement is trivially satisfied since  $f^{-1}(X \cap U_i) = \emptyset$ . Otherwise, we know that  $f^{-1}(U_i) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$  is a non-empty open subset, and hence irreducible of dimension  $n$ . Let  $\{V_j : j \in J\}$  be an open cover of  $f^{-1}(U_i)$  by affine varieties, which are necessarily irreducible of dimension  $n$ . Consider the map

$$f|_{V_j} : V_j \rightarrow U_i \cong \mathbb{A}^m.$$

We know that  $X \cap U_i \subseteq U_i \cong \mathbb{A}^m$  is a hypersurface. Since the coordinate ring of  $\mathbb{A}^m$  is a UFD, its ideal is principal, so  $X \cap U_i = V(F)$  for  $F \in K[x_1, \dots, x_m]$ , where  $x_1, \dots, x_m$  are coordinates on  $U_i$ . Then

$$f^{-1}(X) \cap V_j = V_{V_j}((f|_{V_j})^*F)$$

is the vanishing locus of the function  $(f|_{V_j})^*F \in A(V_j)$ . By Krull's principal ideal theorem, every irreducible component of this vanishing locus has dimension at least  $\dim(V_j) - 1 = n - 1$ , finishing the proof.

- b) We have seen that the morphisms  $X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^m$  from any prevariety  $X$  to affine space  $\mathbb{A}^m$  are given by a collection of  $m$  regular functions on  $X$ . Since  $X = \mathbb{P}^n$  is complete, all regular functions are constant, and so the map  $f$  is constant as well.

- c) Assume on the contrary that  $f : \mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^m$  is a non-constant map with  $n > m$ . First, we claim that each of the hyperplanes  $V(x_i) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^m$  satisfies  $H_i := f^{-1}V(x_i) \neq \emptyset$ . Otherwise, the map  $f$  would factor through the complement  $\mathbb{P}^m \setminus V(x_i) \cong \mathbb{A}^m$ . By part b) such a map is then constant, giving a contradiction.

Thus, by part a) we have that all preimages  $H_i \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$  are non-empty and all of their components have dimension at least  $n - 1$ . For two projective varieties  $X, Y$  in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  whose dimension sums up to at least  $n$ , we have proven that their intersection is non-empty, and the proof also implied that its dimension is at least  $\dim X + \dim Y - n$ . Applying this result iteratively, we see that the intersection  $H_0 \cap \dots \cap H_k$  is non-empty of dimension at least  $n - k$ . Specializing to  $k = m$ , we have that  $H_0 \cap \dots \cap H_m$  is of dimension at least  $n - (m + 1) \geq 0$  and non-empty. But

$$H_0 \cap \dots \cap H_m = f^{-1}(V(x_0)) \cap \dots \cap f^{-1}(V(x_m)) = f^{-1}(V(x_0, \dots, x_m)) = f^{-1}(\emptyset) = \emptyset,$$

which gives a contradiction.

- d) The projection map  $\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  is not constant. Since  $n + m > n$  this would give a contradiction if we had an isomorphism  $\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^m \cong \mathbb{P}^{n+m}$ .

**Exercise 2.** Let us say that  $n + 2$  points in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  are in general position if for any  $n + 1$  of them their representatives in  $K^{n+1}$  are linearly independent.

Now let  $a_1, \dots, a_{n+2}$  and  $b_1, \dots, b_{n+2}$  be two sets of points in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  in general position.

- a) Show that the collection  $A_1 = e_0 = (1 : 0 : \dots : 0)$ ,  $A_2 = e_1 = (0 : 1 : 0 : \dots : 0)$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $A_{n+1} = e_n = (0 : \dots : 0 : 1)$ ,  $A_{n+2} = (1 : 1 : \dots : 1)$  is in general position.
- b) Show that there is an isomorphism  $f : \mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  with  $f(a_i) = b_i$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n + 2$ .

*Solution.*

- a) The vectors  $e_0, \dots, e_n$  are the standard basis of  $K^{n+1}$  and thus linearly independent. On the other hand, given  $e_0, \dots, e_{i-1}, e_{i+1}, \dots, e_n, A_{n+2}$  we obtain this standard basis by subtracting the sum of the first  $n$  vectors in the collection from  $A_{n+2}$  (since this gives the missing vector  $e_i$ ). This corresponds to a row-operation in the matrix with rows  $e_0, \dots, e_{i-1}, e_{i+1}, \dots, e_n, A_{n+2}$  and since this preserves the rank, we again have that the vectors are linearly independent.
- b) It suffices to prove the claim for  $a_i = A_i$  as in part a). Indeed, if the isomorphism  $f : \mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  sends  $A_i$  to  $a_i$  and the isomorphism  $g : \mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  sends  $A_i$  to  $b_i$ , then  $g \circ f^{-1}$  sends  $a_i$  to  $b_i$ .

Since  $b_1, \dots, b_{n+1}$  are linearly independent, they form a basis of  $K^{n+1}$  and so for any numbers  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n+1} \in K^\times$ , the matrix  $M$  with columns given by  $\lambda_1 b_1, \dots, \lambda_{n+1} b_{n+1}$  is invertible, and thus gives an isomorphism  $\mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n, x \mapsto Mx$ . By definition, this sends  $A_i = e_{i-1}$  to  $[\lambda b_i] = [b_i] \in \mathbb{P}^n$ . On the other hand, it sends  $A_{n+2}$  to the vector

$$[\lambda_1 b_1 + \dots + \lambda_{n+1} b_{n+1}] \in \mathbb{P}^n.$$

On the other hand, there is a unique linear combination of the basis elements  $b_1, \dots, b_{n+1}$  giving  $b_{n+2}$ . In this linear combination, none of the coefficients  $\lambda_i$  of

$b_i$  can vanish, since then the  $n + 1$  vectors  $\{b_1, \dots, b_{n+2}\} \setminus \{b_i\}$  would be linearly dependent, giving a contradiction to the assumption of being in general position. Taking the  $\lambda_i$  above to be the coefficients of this unique linear combination, we indeed have  $M \cdot A_{n+2} = [b_{n+2}]$ .

**Exercise 3.** Show by example that the homogeneous coordinate ring of a projective variety is not invariant under isomorphisms i.e., that there are isomorphic projective varieties  $X, Y$  such that the graded  $K$ -algebras  $S(X)$  and  $S(Y)$  are not isomorphic.

*Solution.* We have seen in class (when discussing the projection from a point) that the map

$$f : X = \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow V_p(x_0x_2 - x_1^2) =: Y \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2, (s : t) \mapsto (s^2 : st : t^2)$$

is an isomorphism. The degree 1 part  $S(X)_1 = \langle s, t \rangle$  is of dimension 2. On the other hand, the degree 1 part  $S(Y)_1 = \langle x_0, x_1, x_2 \rangle$  has three generators, and they are linearly independent, since all nonzero elements of  $I(Y) = \langle x_0x_2 - x_1^2 \rangle$  have degree at least 2 and  $S(Y) = K[x_0, x_1, x_2]/I(Y)$ . Thus  $\dim S(Y)_1 = 3 > \dim S(X)_1 = 2$ , which shows  $S(X) \not\cong S(Y)$  as graded rings (and also not as  $K$ -algebras, since  $S(Y)$  cannot be generated by two elements over  $K$ ).

**Exercise 4.** A conic over a field of characteristic not equal to 2 is an irreducible curve in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  of degree 2.

- Using the coefficients of quadratic polynomials show that the set of all conics can be identified with an open subset  $U$  of  $\mathbb{P}^5$ . (One says that  $U$  is a moduli space for conics.)
- Given a point  $p \in \mathbb{P}^2$  show that the subset of  $U$  consisting of all conics passing through  $p$  is the zero locus of a linear equation in the homogeneous coordinates of  $U \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ .
- Given 5 points in  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , no three of which lie on a line, show that there is a unique conic passing through all these points.

*Solution.*

- A quadratic polynomial on  $\mathbb{P}^2$  is of the form

$$f = a_{00}x_0^2 + a_{01}x_0x_1 + a_{02}x_0x_2 + a_{11}x_1^2 + a_{12}x_1x_2 + a_{22}x_2^2 \in K[x_0, x_1, x_2].$$

Since its vanishing set  $C = V(f) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2$  does not change when scaling  $f$ , this vanishing set is uniquely determined by the vector

$$a = (a_{00} : a_{01} : a_{02} : a_{11} : a_{12} : a_{22}) \in \mathbb{P}^5$$

describing the coefficients of  $f = f_a$ . Moreover, the vanishing set  $C$  is an irreducible curve of degree 2 if and only if  $f$  is irreducible (and in this case we have  $I(C) = \langle f \rangle$ , so  $f$  up to scaling is uniquely determined by  $C$ ).

To conclude, we want to show that inside  $\mathbb{P}^5$ , the locus  $U$  of points  $a$  such that  $f_a$  is irreducible is an open set. If  $f$  had a factorization  $f = f_1 \cdot f_2$ , then necessarily  $f_1, f_2$  would have to be homogeneous polynomials, and in order for the factorization to

not be trivial, they would both have to be linear. Thus we see that the complement  $\mathbb{P}^5 \setminus U$  is the image of the morphism

$$\Phi : \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^5$$

$$\left( \underbrace{(b_0x_0 + b_1x_1 + b_2x_2)}_{f_1}, \underbrace{(c_0x_0 + c_1x_1 + c_2x_2)}_{f_2} \right) \mapsto f = f_1 \cdot f_2.$$

Here again we identify  $\mathbb{P}^2$  with the moduli space of linear polynomials (via the coefficient vectors  $(b_0 : b_1 : b_2)$  and  $(c_0 : c_1 : c_2)$  of  $f_1, f_2$ ). One checks that  $\Phi$  is a morphism, e.g. on an open cover of  $\mathbb{P}^5$ . Then since  $\mathbb{P}^2$  is projective, also  $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$  is projective, and thus complete. Hence the image of  $\Phi$  is closed, and so its complement  $U$  is open.

*Note:* Alternatively, one can show that the set of reducible quadratic polynomials is closed by using that they are described by the vanishing of a suitable discriminant function.

- b) For  $p = (y_0 : y_1 : y_2) \in \mathbb{P}^2$  the condition that  $p \in C = V(f_a)$  is just given by

$$a_{00}y_0^2 + a_{01}y_0y_1 + a_{02}y_0y_2 + a_{11}y_1^2 + a_{12}y_1y_2 + a_{22}y_2^2.$$

Since the  $y_i$  are fixed numbers, this is just a linear condition on the coordinates  $a_{ij}$  on  $\mathbb{P}^5$ .

- c) Intuitively, for each of the points  $p_1, \dots, p_5$ , the condition that  $p_i \in V(f_a)$  gives a linear subspace of  $U$  of codimension 1. Intersecting these five subspaces, we expect to obtain a linear space of codimension 5, i.e. a single point.

To make this precise: using Exercise 2, we see that the condition of no three points lying on a line exactly means that no three representatives of the points are linearly dependent. Thus the points  $p_i$  are in general position and we can find an isomorphism  $f : \mathbb{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$  sending  $p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4$  to  $A_1 = (1 : 0 : 0)$ ,  $A_2 = (0 : 1 : 0)$ ,  $A_3 = (0 : 0 : 1)$  and  $A_4 = (1 : 1 : 1)$ . Any such isomorphism sends a conic  $C$  through the  $p_i$  to a conic  $f(C)$  through  $A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4$  and  $p = f(p_5) = (y_0 : y_1 : y_2)$ , and this correspondence is a bijection.

So it suffices to solve the problem for the points  $A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4, p$ . Looking at the equation above, the conditions  $A_1, A_2, A_3 \in V(f_a)$  mean that  $a_{00} = a_{11} = a_{22} = 0$ . Moreover, the condition  $A_4 \in V(f_a)$  implies that  $a_{01} + a_{02} + a_{12} = 0$ . Solving this last equation for  $a_{12} = -a_{01} - a_{02}$  the set of quadratic equations whose vanishing set locus contains  $A_1, \dots, A_4$  is given by  $f$  of the form

$$f = a_{01}(x_0x_1 - x_1x_2) + a_{02}(x_0x_2 - x_1x_2)$$

We claim that the additional condition  $f(p) = f(y_0, y_1, y_2) = 0$  gives one more linear condition on the coefficients  $a_{01}, a_{02}$  and thus we obtain a unique solution for  $f$  up to scaling, so that there is a unique quadratic equation  $f$  satisfied by the five points. If  $f(p) = 0$  was *not* an additional condition, then  $f(p)$  would have to vanish as a polynomial in  $a_{01}, a_{02}$  and thus we would have

$$0 = y_0y_1 - y_1y_2 = (y_0 - y_2)y_1 \text{ and } 0 = y_0y_2 - y_1y_2 = (y_0 - y_1)y_2.$$

Going through the cases, these two equations can only be satisfied if  $p = (y_0 : y_1 : y_2) \in \{A_1, \dots, A_4\}$ , which gives a contradiction.

We have thus proved that there is a unique quadratic equation  $f$  (up to scaling) satisfied by the five points  $A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4, p$ . If  $f$  was not irreducible, it would decompose as the product of two linear polynomials  $f = f_1 f_2$ . But then the five points are contained in the union  $L_1 \cup L_2 = V(f_1) \cup V(f_2)$  of lines, so one of the two lines must contain at least three points. This gives a contradiction to the assumption.

*Fancier argument:* Returning to our original proof idea, let  $L_1, \dots, L_5 \subseteq \mathbb{P}^5$  be the five linear hyperplanes describing quadratic equations going through the points  $p_1, \dots, p_5$ . Then for  $D = L_1 \cap \dots \cap L_5$  we know that  $D$  is a linear subspace of  $\mathbb{P}^5$  and the conics we look for are exactly the points in  $D \cap U$ . We claim that  $D$  must consist of a single point, and this point must lie in  $U$ .

First, the same argument as above shows that any quadratic equation  $f$  satisfied by the five points cannot decompose into two linear factors, by the assumption that no three points  $p_i$  lie on a line. This shows that  $D$  does not intersect the space of reducible quadratic equations, and thus is contained in  $U$ . Using a bit more work than above, one can show however that the complement  $Y = \mathbb{P}^5 \setminus U$  is actually a projective hypersurface, i.e. of codimension 1. If  $D$  had dimension at least 1, there would have to be an intersection point in  $D \cap Y$  since  $D, Y$  must intersect in  $\mathbb{P}^5$  by dimension reasons (as seen in a previous exercise). This shows that  $D$  is of dimension 0, and thus a single point (since it is also a linear space). The inclusion  $D \subseteq U$  that we already proved above then finishes the argument.