

## Exercise Sheet 8

**Exercise 1.** Let  $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}^3$  be the blow-up of  $\mathbb{A}^3$  at the line  $L = V(x_1, x_2) \cong \mathbb{A}^1$ . Show that its exceptional set is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ . When do the strict transforms of two lines in  $\mathbb{A}^3$  through  $L$  intersect in the blow-up? What is therefore the geometric meaning of the points in the exceptional set (analogously to the blow-up of a point, in which case the points of the exceptional set correspond to the directions through the blown-up point)?

*Solution.* The ideal of the line  $L$  is generated by  $x_1, x_2$ , so  $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}^3 = \text{Bl}_{x_1, x_2} \mathbb{A}^3$  is contained in

$$\tilde{\mathbb{A}}^3 \subseteq Y = V(x_1 y_2 - x_2 y_1) \subseteq \mathbb{A}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^1.$$

As in [Gathmann, Example 9.15] we see that  $Y$  has one open patch

$$\{((x_1, x_2, x_3), (y_1 : y_2)) \in Y : y_1 \neq 0\} \cong \{((x_1, x_1 y_2, x_3), (1 : y_2)) : (x_1, y_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{A}^3\} \cong \mathbb{A}^3$$

and similarly another patch  $\mathbb{A}^3$  for  $y_2 \neq 0$ . Thus  $Y$  is irreducible of dimension 3 and thus for dimension reasons, the containment  $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}^3 \subseteq Y$  must be an equality.

To get the exceptional divisor, i.e. the preimage of  $L = V(x_1, x_2)$  we set  $x_1, x_2$  to zero and obtain

$$E = V(x_1, x_2, x_1 y_2 - x_2 y_1) = V(x_1, x_2) = \{(0, 0)\} \times \mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \subseteq \mathbb{A}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^1.$$

Let  $L_1, L_2 \subseteq \mathbb{A}^3$  be lines through  $L$ . If their strict transforms  $\tilde{L}_1, \tilde{L}_2$  meet, then also  $L_1, L_2$  have to meet (since the map  $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^3$  sends  $\tilde{L}_i$  to  $L_i$ ). So assume that  $L_1, L_2$  go through a point in  $L$ . By translation along the third coordinate, let's assume they go through the origin  $(0, 0, 0) \in L$ , and so they are given as

$$L_i = \{s_i(a_{i,1}, a_{i,2}, a_{i,3}) : s_i \in \mathbb{A}^1\}.$$

Since none of the two lines are equal to  $L$  (because in this case their strict transform is empty), we have  $(a_{i,1}, a_{i,2}) \neq 0$ . Then for  $s_i \neq 0$  the corresponding point of  $L_i \setminus \{0\} \subseteq Y$  is given by

$$((s_i a_{i,1}, s_i a_{i,2}, s_i a_{i,3}), (a_{i,1} : a_{i,2})) \in Y \subseteq \mathbb{A}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^1.$$

Indeed, the equation  $x_1 y_2 - x_2 y_1$  exactly forces  $(y_1 : y_2) = (s_i a_{i,1} : s_i a_{i,2}) = (a_{i,1} : a_{i,2}) \in \mathbb{P}^1$ . Taking the limit  $s_i = 0$  we obtain the point  $((0, 0, 0) : (a_{i,1} : a_{i,2})) \in \tilde{L}_i$ . Thus the two strict transforms meet if and only if  $(a_{1,1} : a_{1,2}) = (a_{2,1} : a_{2,2}) \in \mathbb{P}^1$ .

As a geometric interpretation: the lines on the exceptional set parameterize the choice of a point on  $L$  together with a normal direction in  $K^3 / \{(0, 0)\} \times K \cong K^2$  up to scaling. This explains why  $E \cong \mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 = L \times \mathbb{P}^1$ .

**Exercise 2.** Show that any irreducible quadric hypersurface  $Q \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$  over a field of characteristic not equal to 2 is birational to  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ . Can you give an example of some  $Q$  which is not isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ ?

*Solution.* The basic idea to get the birational map  $Q \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  is to project from a point  $p_0 \in Q$  to some hyperplane  $H \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ .

To make our life easier, we can use a projective automorphism of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  to move some point of  $Q$  to  $p_0 = (1 : 0 : \dots : 0)$ , so without loss of generality we can assume that the above  $p_0$  is contained in  $Q$ . Then we project to the hyperplane  $H = V(x_0)$ . The resulting morphism

$$f : Q \setminus \{p_0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}, (x_0 : x_1 : \dots, x_n) \mapsto (x_1 : \dots, x_n)$$

is defined away from  $p_0$ . To write down the inverse, let

$$F = a_0x_0^2 + a_1x_0 + a_2 \in K[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n]_2$$

be the quadratic equation cutting out  $Q = V(F)$ . Here

$$a_0 \in K, a_1 \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]_1 \text{ and } a_2 \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]_2$$

are the coefficients, seeing  $F$  as a polynomial in  $x_0$  over  $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . The containment  $p_0 \in Q$  forces  $F(1, 0, \dots, 0) = 0$  which implies  $a_0 = 0$ . Then we distinguish two cases:

**Case 1:**  $a_1 = 0$

Then the equation of  $F$  does not depend on  $x_0$  at all. By induction on  $n$  we know that  $V(a_2) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  is birational to  $\mathbb{P}^{n-2}$ , say by some rational map  $g : \mathbb{P}^{n-2} \dashrightarrow V(a_2)$ . Then one can check that

$$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^{n-2} \dashrightarrow Q, ((s : t), (y_1 : y_2 : \dots : y_n)) \mapsto (s_0 : ty_1 : \dots : ty_n)$$

is a birational map. Since  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^{n-2}$  is birational to  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ , this finishes the proof.

**Case 2:**  $a_1 \neq 0$

Then on the locus  $U = \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \setminus V(a_1) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  the inverse of the projection  $f$  is given by

$$U \rightarrow Q, (x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto \left( -\frac{a_2(x)}{a_1(x)} : x_1 : \dots : x_n \right) = (-a_2(x) : x_1a_1(x) : \dots : x_na_1(x)).$$

All the components of this map are homogeneous polynomials of degree 2, which don't vanish simultaneously (since  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \neq 0$  and  $a_1(x) \neq 0$  on its domain). Hence by [Gathmann, Lemma 7.4] this indeed defines a morphism, and by a short calculation it is the inverse of  $f$ . Hence  $f$  is birational, as claimed.

For an example of  $Q$  which is not isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  take  $Q = V(x_0x_3 - x_1x_2) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^3$ . Then we have seen in [Gathmann, Example 7.11] that  $Q \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ , and on Sheet 6, Exercise 1, we proved that  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \not\cong \mathbb{P}^2$ .

**Exercise 3.** Let  $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$  be an affine variety, and let  $Y_1, Y_2 \subseteq X$  be irreducible, closed subsets, none contained in the other. Moreover, let  $\tilde{X}$  be the blow-up of  $X$  at the ideal  $I(Y_1) + I(Y_2)$ . Show that the strict transforms of  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  in  $\tilde{X}$  are disjoint.

*Solution.* Let  $I(Y_1) = \langle f_1, \dots, f_r \rangle$  and  $I(Y_2) = \langle f_{r+1}, \dots, f_{r+s} \rangle$ , then of course

$$I(Y_1) + I(Y_2) = \langle f_1, \dots, f_{r+s} \rangle \trianglelefteq K[x_1, \dots, x_n].$$

Thus we can calculate  $\tilde{X} = \text{Bl}_{f_1, \dots, f_{r+s}} X$  as the blow-up at the union of these generator sets. Then we find

$$\tilde{X} \subseteq Z = \{((x_1, \dots, x_n), (y_1, \dots, y_{r+s})) \in \mathbb{A}^n \times \mathbb{P}^{r+s-1} : y_i f_j(x) = y_j f_i(x) \forall i, j\}.$$

**Claim:**  $\tilde{Y}_1 \subseteq V(y_1, \dots, y_r) \subseteq Z$  and  $\tilde{Y}_2 \subseteq V(y_{r+1}, \dots, y_{r+s}) \subseteq Z$ .

Assuming the claim, we have

$$\tilde{Y}_1 \cap \tilde{Y}_2 \subseteq V(y_1, \dots, y_{r+s}) = \emptyset,$$

since not all coordinates of the point  $y \in \mathbb{P}^{r+s-1}$  can vanish simultaneously. Thus  $\tilde{Y}_1$  and  $\tilde{Y}_2$  are indeed disjoint.

**Proof of claim:** We prove the statement for  $\tilde{Y}_1$ , with  $\tilde{Y}_2$  working similarly. By the assumption that  $Y_1$  is not contained in  $Y_2$ , we have that  $U = Y_1 \setminus Y_2 \subseteq Y_1$  is open, and thus dense since  $Y_1$  is irreducible. Let  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , then we claim that  $y_i$  vanishes at any point  $x \in U$  (and thus also on the closure  $\tilde{Y}_1$  of  $U$  in  $\tilde{Y}_1$ , finishing the proof of the claim). Since  $x \in U$  is disjoint from  $Y_2 = V(f_{r+1}, \dots, f_{r+s})$  we find an index  $j \in \{r+1, \dots, r+s\}$  such that  $f_j(x) \neq 0$ . But then the equation

$$y_i \underbrace{f_j(x)}_{\neq 0} = y_j \underbrace{f_i(x)}_{=0 \text{ as } x \in Y_1}$$

implies  $y_i = 0$  as claimed.

**Exercise 4.** Let  $J \trianglelefteq \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  be an ideal, and assume that the corresponding affine variety  $X = V(J) \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$  contains the origin. Consider the blow-up  $\tilde{X} \subseteq \tilde{\mathbb{A}}^n \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  at  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , and denote the homogeneous coordinates of  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  by  $y_1, \dots, y_n$ .

- a) We know already that  $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}^n$  can be covered by affine spaces, with one coordinate patch being

$$\begin{aligned} i : U = \mathbb{A}^n &\rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{A}}^n \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1}, \\ (x_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) &\mapsto ((x_1, x_1 y_2, \dots, x_1 y_n), (1 : y_2 : \dots : y_n)). \end{aligned}$$

Prove that on this coordinate patch the blow-up  $\tilde{X}$  is given as the zero locus of the polynomials

$$\frac{f(x_1, x_1 y_2, \dots, x_1 y_n)}{x_1^{\min \deg f}}$$

for all non-zero  $f \in J$ , where  $\min \deg f$  denotes the smallest degree of a monomial in  $f$ .

*Hint:* You can use without proof the following variant of [Gathmann, Exercise 2.23]:

For  $I, J \trianglelefteq K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  one has  $\overline{V(I) \setminus V(J)} = V(I : J^\infty)$  where

$$(I : J^\infty) = \{f \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n] : \exists m \in \mathbb{N}, g \in J^m \text{ with } fg \in I\}.$$

- b) Show that the exceptional set of the blow-up  $\tilde{X}$  is

$$V_p(f^{\text{in}}(y) : f \in J) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \cong \{0\} \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1},$$

where  $f^{\text{in}}$  is the initial term of  $f$ , i.e. the sum of all monomials in  $f$  of smallest degree. Consequently, the tangent cone of  $X$  at the origin is

$$C_0 X = V_a(f^{\text{in}} : f \in J) \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n.$$

*Solution.*

- a) By definition, the blow-up  $\tilde{X}$  is obtained by taking the closure of  $X \setminus \{0\}$  inside  $\mathbb{A}^n \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ , which is automatically contained in  $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}^n$  as seen in the lecture. Taking this closure and intersecting with the open patch  $U = \mathbb{A}^n \subseteq \tilde{\mathbb{A}}^n$  mentioned above, is equivalent (by basic topology) to first intersecting with  $U$  and then taking the closure.

By the map  $i : U = \mathbb{A}^n \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{A}}^n$ , we have

$$i^{-1}V(f(x_1, \dots, x_n)) = V(f(x_1, x_1y_2, \dots, x_1y_n)),$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} i^{-1}(X \setminus \{0\}) &= i^{-1}(V(f : 0 \neq f \in J) \setminus V(x_1, \dots, x_n)) \\ &= V(f(x_1, x_1y_2, \dots, x_1y_n) : 0 \neq f \in J) \setminus \underbrace{V(x_1, x_1y_2, \dots, x_1y_n)}_{=V(x_1)}. \end{aligned}$$

To take the Zariski closure  $\overline{i^{-1}(X \setminus \{0\})}$ , we just apply the hint given above, and we see that this closure is cut out by the ideal

$$(\langle f(x_1, x_1y_2, \dots, x_1y_n) : 0 \neq f \in J \rangle : \langle x_1 \rangle^\infty) = \left\langle \frac{f(x_1, x_1y_2, \dots, x_1y_n)}{x_1^{\min \deg f}} : 0 \neq f \in J \right\rangle.$$

- b) We check the equality on the open subset  $U$  above. To get the exceptional set, we impose the additional condition  $x_1 = 0$ . Given  $0 \neq f \in J$  with minimal degree  $d$  and total degree  $e$  we write its homogeneous decomposition as  $f = f^{\text{in}} + f_{d+1} + \dots + f_e$ . Then we have

$$f(x_1, x_1y_2, \dots, x_1y_n) = x_1^d f^{\text{in}}(1, y_2, \dots, y_n) + x_1^{d+1} f_{d+1}(1, y_2, \dots, y_n) + \dots + x_1^e f_e(1, y_2, \dots, y_n).$$

Dividing by  $x_1^{\min \deg f} = x_1^d$  and setting  $x_1 = 0$ , all the terms except the first vanish, and we have

$$\frac{f(x_1, x_1y_2, \dots, x_1y_n)}{x_1^{\min \deg f}} \Big|_{x_1=0} = f^{\text{in}}(1, y_2, \dots, y_n).$$

This is exactly the initial term of  $f$  in the affine coordinates  $U_0 \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ , which proves the first statement.

The second is then just an application of this result to the definition of the tangent cone at the origin.