

Introduction to Mathematical Finance

Exercise sheet 6

Please submit your solutions online until Wednesday 10pm, 10/04/2024.

Exercise 6.1

- (a) Suppose that $(\xi_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ are independent integrable random variables with expectation 1. Define the process $X = \{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ by $X_n := \prod_{k=1}^n \xi_k$. Show that X is a martingale for its natural filtration.
- (b) Give an example of a stochastic process in discrete time which is not locally bounded.

Solution 6.1

- (a) Denote the natural filtration of X as $\mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$. Then X is adapted by definition, and integrable because the product of independent integrable random variables is integrable. Moreover, by the definition of X and the properties of conditional expectation, we have

$$E[X_n - X_{n-1} | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] = \left(\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \xi_k \right) E[\xi_n - 1 | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] = \left(\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \xi_k \right) E[\xi_n - 1] = 0.$$

Thus X is a martingale.

- (b) Start with a sequence $(\xi_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of nonnegative random variables and define the process $X = (X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ by $X_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \xi_k$. Then $X_0 = 0$, so $X^\tau = X^\tau I_{\{\tau > 0\}}$, and so it is enough to consider any stopping times $\tau \geq 1$. Then $X_\tau \geq X_1 I_{\{\tau \geq 1\}} = \xi_1$ because the ξ_k are nonnegative. So if ξ_1 is unbounded, then X^τ cannot be bounded for any stopping time $\tau \geq 1$, and so X is not locally bounded.

Exercise 6.2

Consider a sequence $(\xi_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of i.i.d. random variables with $\xi_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$. Define the process $M = (M_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ by $M_n := \sum_{k=1}^n \xi_k$. Let $\mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ be the natural filtration of M .

- (a) Show that $X_n := M_n^2 - n, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, is a martingale.
- (b) Show that $Y_n := \exp(M_n - n/2), n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, is a martingale.
- (c) For any bounded predictable process $\alpha = (\alpha_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ define $N := \alpha \cdot M$ so that $N_k = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i (M_i - M_{i-1})$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Define also $\langle N \rangle = (\langle N \rangle_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ by $\langle N \rangle_k := \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i^2$. Show that $X := N^2 - \langle N \rangle$ and $Y := \exp(N - \langle N \rangle/2)$ are martingales.

Solution 6.2

Questions (a) and (b) follow directly from (c) by taking $\alpha \equiv 1$. So we only prove (c). Clearly, N is adapted and $\langle N \rangle$ is predictable for \mathbb{F} . Moreover, both X and Y are integrable because α is bounded and the ξ_i have all exponential moments. For the martingale property, note that N is a martingale, so that

$$\begin{aligned} E[\Delta(N^2)_k | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] &= E[(\Delta N_k)^2 | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] = \\ &= \alpha_k^2 E[(\Delta M_k)^2 | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] = \alpha_k^2 E[\xi_k^2] = \alpha_k^2 = \Delta \langle N \rangle_k. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $X = N^2 - \langle N \rangle$ is a martingale.

Similarly, using that α_k is \mathcal{F}_{k-1} -measurable, ξ_k is independent of \mathcal{F}_{k-1} and $\Delta N_k = \alpha_k \Delta M_k = \alpha_k \xi_k$, we get $E[Y_k/Y_{k-1} | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] = E[\exp(\Delta N_k - \Delta \langle N \rangle_k/2) | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] = E[\exp(\alpha_k \xi_k - \alpha_k^2/2) | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] = E[\exp(\lambda \xi_k - \lambda^2/2)]|_{\lambda=\alpha_k} = 1$ because $\xi_k \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$. So Y is also a martingale.

Exercise 6.3

Using the notions from the lecture, show that the following are equivalent:

- (a) $S = S^0(1, X)$ satisfies NA.
- (b) $\mathcal{G}_{adm} \cap L_+^0 = \{0\}$.
- (c) $\mathcal{C}_{adm} \cap L_+^0 = \{0\}$.

Solution 6.3 By Exercise 4.3(c), NA for S and NA for S/S^0 are equivalent. By Proposition II.2.1, NA for S/S^0 is equivalent to $\mathcal{G} \cap L_+^0(\mathcal{F}_T) = \{0\}$, and this is also equivalent to $\mathcal{G} \cap L_+^0 = \{0\}$ because $\mathcal{G} \subseteq L^0(\mathcal{F}_T)$. Because $0 \in \mathcal{G}_{adm} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$, the condition $\mathcal{G} \cap L_+^0 = \{0\}$ implies $\mathcal{G}_{adm} \cap L_+^0 = \{0\}$, and so we get "(a) \Rightarrow (b)". Conversely, if we look at the proof of "5) \Rightarrow 1)" for Proposition II.2.1, we can see that a slight modification also proves that $\mathcal{G}_{adm} \cap L_+^0 = \{0\}$ implies NA for S/S^0 . Indeed, if ψ is a -admissible in that argument, then

$$V(\bar{\psi}) = V(\psi) - V_0(\psi)V(\psi^*) = V(\psi) - V_0(\psi) \geq V(\psi)$$

shows that $\bar{\psi}$ is also a -admissible, and the rest of the argument goes as before. So we also have "(b) \Rightarrow (a)".

Because $0 \in \mathcal{G}_{adm} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{adm}$, we clearly have "(c) \Rightarrow (b)". Conversely, if $c \in \mathcal{C}_{adm}$, then $c = g - Y$ with $g \in \mathcal{G}_{adm}$ and $Y \geq 0$. If also $c \in L_+^0$, then $c \geq 0$ and $g = c + Y \geq 0$ so that $g \in \mathcal{G}_{adm} \cap L_+^0$. By (b), we then have $0 = g = c + Y$ with $c \geq 0, Y \geq 0$, and therefore also $c = 0$. This shows that $\mathcal{C}_{adm} \cap L_+^0 = \{0\}$ and hence "(b) \Rightarrow (c)".