

# Mathematics for New Technologies in Finance

## Solution sheet 2

### Exercise 2.1 (Stone-Weierstrass theorem [1])

- (a) Construct a sequence of polynomials converges pointwisely but not uniformly on  $[0, 1]$ .
- (b) Construct a sequence of polynomials converges uniformly to  $x \mapsto |x|$  on  $[-1, 1]$ . (Hint: Corollary 2.3. in [1])
- (c) Prove that ReLU can be approximated uniformly by polynomials on  $[-1, 1]$ .
- (d) Use the universal approximation theory of shallow neural networks on  $[0, 1]$  to prove the Stone-Weierstrass theorem.

### Solution 2.1

- (a) Consider the function  $f_n(x) = x^n$  for  $x \in [0, 1]$ .
- (b) Consider the following map

$$p_{n+1}(x) = p_n(x) + \frac{1}{2}(x - p_n^2(x)), \quad (1)$$

which is a contraction on  $[0, 1]$  and the special case  $x = 1$  is obvious.

- (c)  $g(x) = \frac{1}{2}(x + |x|)$
- (d) Since ReLU can be approximated uniformly by polynomials on  $[0, 1]$ , composition of affine function and ReLU can be uniformly by polynomials on  $[0, 1]$ . Thus, shallow neural networks can be uniformly by polynomials on  $[0, 1]$ . Therefore, by UAT, polynomials can uniformly approximate any continuous function on  $[0, 1]$ .

### Exercise 2.2 (Networks on discrete path spaces)

- (a) Describe the space of paths  $\omega : \{1, \dots, T\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  as  $\mathbb{R}^{dT}$ .
- (b) Describe a shallow neural network, which depends on value at time  $t$  and on path information up to time  $t$ . Formulate a universal approximation theorem in this setting.

### Solution 2.2

- (a) Maps from  $\{1, \dots, T\}$  to  $\mathbb{R}^d$  expressed by  $\mathbb{R}^{dT}$ .
- (b) A neural network with input space  $\mathbb{R}^{dt}$  for fixed  $t$ , a neural network with input space at least  $\mathbb{R}^{dT}$  (might be larger if allow duplicated information in input space). UAT for path space is concerning universal approximation of continuous functional on path spaces e.g. the running max of a discrete path.

**Exercise 2.3 (Backpropagation of neural network)** Let  $\theta = (w, b, a) \in \mathbb{R}^3$  and let  $\sigma$  be the activation function. We consider the shallow neural network  $f_\theta: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  s.t.

$$f_\theta(x) = a\sigma(wx + b). \quad (2)$$

Then we solve the regression problem with 3 data point  $(x_i, y_i) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$  by minimizing the  $L^2$  loss

$$\mathcal{L}_f = \sum_{i=1,2,3} (f_\theta(x_i) - y_i)^2. \quad (3)$$

- (a) When solving the regression, do we compute  $\nabla_{x_0} \mathcal{L}_f$  or  $\nabla_{\theta} \mathcal{L}_f$ ?
- (b) Compute  $\partial_w f$  and  $\partial_b f$  by chain rule. Do you find any intermediate value computed twice in both  $\partial_w f$  and  $\partial_b f$ ?
- (c) Consider regression problem as a constrained optimization problem

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \sum_{i=1,2,3} l_i \\ l_i = & (\tilde{y}_i - y_i)^2 \\ \tilde{y}_i = & a\sigma(z_i), \quad i = 1, 2, 3. \\ z_i = & wx_i + b \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Solve it by Lagrange multiplier and relate this with backpropagation.

- (d) Generalize this idea to deep neural networks.

**Solution 2.3**

- (a)  $\nabla_{\theta} \mathcal{L}_f$
- (b) Let  $z = wx_0 + b$  then

$$\partial_w \mathcal{L}_f = \partial_z \mathcal{L}_f \cdot x_0 = (a\sigma(wx_0 + b) - y_0)\sigma'(wx_0 + b)x_0, \tag{5}$$

$$\partial_b \mathcal{L}_f = \partial_z \mathcal{L}_f \cdot 1 = (a\sigma(wx_0 + b) - y_0)\sigma'(wx_0 + b) \tag{6}$$

- (c) Consider the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = l - \lambda_l(l - (y - y_0)^2) - \lambda_y(y - a\sigma(z)) - \lambda_z(z - (wx_0 + b)) \tag{7}$$

Compute the gradient

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_l \mathcal{L} &= 1 - \lambda_l \\ \partial_y \mathcal{L} &= \lambda_l \frac{\partial(y - y_0)^2}{\partial y} - \lambda_y \\ \partial_z \mathcal{L} &= \lambda_y \frac{\partial a\sigma(z)}{\partial z} - \lambda_z \\ \partial_w \mathcal{L} &= \lambda_z \frac{\partial(wx_0 + b)}{\partial w} \\ \partial_b \mathcal{L} &= \lambda_z \frac{\partial(wx_0 + b)}{\partial b} \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\nabla \mathcal{L} = 0$  we get exactly the backpropagation formula.

- (d) See [4].

**Exercise 2.4 (Functional analysis)** Let  $K$  be a compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

- (a) Let  $\mu$  be a finite Borel measure on  $K$ . Prove that

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mu}(f) := \int_K f(x)\mu(dx) \tag{8}$$

for  $f \in C(K, \mathbb{R})$  is a bounded linear functional.

(b) Let  $\mathcal{L}, C(K, \mathbb{R})$  be a positive linear functional, i.e.  $\mathcal{L}(f) \geq 0$  for  $f \geq 0$ . Then  $\mathcal{L}$  is continuous.

(c) Prove that

$$\mathcal{F} := \left\{ f \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i f(x_i) \mid \lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}, n \in \mathbb{N}, x_i \in K, i = 1, 2, \dots, n \right\} \quad (9)$$

is point separating and additive.

### Solution 2.4

(a)  $\mathcal{L}_\mu$  is linear by the linearity of the integral. We need to show that  $\mathcal{L}_\mu$  is bounded.  $f$  is bounded, as  $f$  is continuous on  $K$  and  $K$  is compact. In addition, as  $\mu(K) < \infty$ , there exists  $C \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\mu(K) = C$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_\mu(f) &= \int_K f(x) \mu(dx) \\ &\leq \int_K \sup_{x \in K} |f(x)| \mu(dx) \\ &= \int_K \|f(x)\|_\infty \mu(dx) \\ &\leq \|f(x)\|_\infty \mu(K) \\ &= \|f(x)\|_\infty C. \end{aligned}$$

We have shown that there exists  $C \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\mathcal{L}(f) \leq \|f(x)\|_\infty C, \forall f \in C(K, \mathbb{R}).$$

So  $\mathcal{L}$  is bounded.

(b) We start by giving a reminder of the Riesz-Markov-Kakutani representation theorem.

**Theorem 1** *Riesz-Markov-Kakutani representation theorem* Let  $X$  be a locally compact Hausdorff space, and  $\mathcal{L}$  a positive linear functional on  $C_c(X)$ . Then there exists a unique positive Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $X$  such that

$$\mathcal{L} = \int_X f(x) \mu(dx)$$

for every  $f \in C_c(X)$ , and which has the following properties for some  $M$  containing the Borel  $\delta$ -algebra on  $X$ :

- (1)  $\mu(K) < \infty$  for every compact set  $K \subset X$
- (2) For every  $E \in M$ , we have  $\mu(E) = \inf\{\mu(V) : E \subset V, V \text{ open}\}$
- (3) The relation  $\mu(E) = \sup\{\mu(K) : K \subset E, K \text{ compact}\}$  holds for every open set  $E$ , and for every  $E \in M$  with  $\mu(E) < \infty$
- (4) If  $E \in M$ ,  $A \subset E$ , and  $\mu(E) = 0$ , then  $A \in M$ .

As  $\mathcal{L}$  is positive linear functional, by Riesz-Markov-Kakutani representation theorem, there exists a unique measure  $\mu$  such that the functional  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $f$  is defined as  $\mathcal{L}(f) := \int_K f(x) \mu(dx)$ . Let a sequence of functions  $f_n$  in  $C(K, \mathbb{R})$  converges uniformly to a function  $f \in C(K, \mathbb{R})$ , we have for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a positive integer  $N$  such that for all  $n \geq N$  and  $x \in K$ ,  $|f_n(x) - f(x)| < \epsilon$ . Since  $K$  is compact and  $f$  is continuous,  $f$  is also bounded on  $K$ , i.e., there exists a constant  $M$  such that  $|f(x)| \leq M$  for all  $x \in K$ . Consequently, for all  $n \geq N$ ,

$|f_n(x)| \leq |f_n(x) - f(x)| + |f(x)| < \epsilon + M$ . This implies  $|f_n(x)|$  is bounded by  $\epsilon + M$  for all  $n \geq N$  and  $x \in K$ . Let  $g_n(x) = \max(|f_n(x)|, |f(x)|)$ , we can see  $g_n$  is a bounded continuous function on compact set  $K$ , hence  $g_n$  is integrable. Thus we can apply dominated convergence theorem: If  $f_n(x) \geq 0$ ,  $f_n(x)$  converges to  $f(x)$  pointwisely for all  $x \in K$ , and  $|f_n(x)| \leq g_n(x)$  for all  $n$  and  $x$ , where  $g_n(x)$  is integrable, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_K f_n(x) \mu(dx) = \int_K f(x) \mu(dx)$$

So we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{L}(f_n) = \mathcal{L}(f)$$

It proves  $\mathcal{L}$  is continuous.

- (c) Let  $p$  and  $q$  be distinct points in  $K$ . Since they are distinct, there must exist at least one coordinate where they differ, i.e.,  $p_i \neq q_i$ . Define the function  $f(x)$  as follows:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for all } x_j \neq p_j \\ 0, & \text{for } x = p \end{cases}$$

Now consider function  $F(f)$ :

$$F(f)(p) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i f(p_i) = 0$$

$$F(f)(q) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i f(q_i) = \lambda_i$$

Since  $\lambda_i$  can be non-zero, and consequently,  $F(f)(p) \neq F(f)(q)$ . The additivity from  $\mathcal{F}$  comes from

$$F(f+g) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i (f+g)(x_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i f(x_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i g(x_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i f(x_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i g(x_i) = F(f) + F(g), \forall F \in \mathcal{F}.$$

## References

- [1] SAMEER CHAVAN. Problems and notes: Uniform convergence and polynomial approximation.
- [2] Ricky TQ Chen, Yulia Rubanova, Jesse Bettencourt, and David K Duvenaud. Neural ordinary differential equations. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 31, 2018.
- [3] Hassan Ismail Fawaz, Germain Forestier, Jonathan Weber, Lhassane Idoumghar, and Pierre-Alain Muller. Deep learning for time series classification: a review. *Data mining and knowledge discovery*, 33(4):917–963, 2019.
- [4] Yann LeCun, D Touresky, G Hinton, and T Sejnowski. A theoretical framework for back-propagation. 1:21–28, 1988.