

Mathematics for New Technologies in Finance

Solution sheet 7

Exercise 7.1 (Stochastic Descent) Recall the calculation of Implied volatility using Bayes formula from Exercise sheet 6. Now we want to calculate the *implied volatility* $\sigma(K, T)$ from $C(K, T)$ using neural network. Proceed in the following steps:

- Define a neural network f^θ which takes as input the option price $C(K, T)$, the current price S_0 , the strike price K , and the maturity T . The output will be the implied volatility $\sigma(K, T)$.
- Define a loss function L which calculates the difference between the actual price $C(K, T)$ and $f^\theta(C(K, T), S_0, K, T)$ inserted in the Black-Scholes formula.
- Run a gradient descent.

Solution 7.1 See notebook at end of the week.

Exercise 7.2 (Breedon-Litzenberger formula)

- (a) Is there always a positive implied volatility σ_{imp} related to the option price? If yes, prove it. Otherwise, on which price interval there is always a positive implied volatility σ_{imp} related to the option price?
- (b) Prove the Breedon-Litzenberger formula:

$$\partial_K^2 C(T, K) dK = \text{law}(S_T)(dK). \quad (1)$$

- (c) Discretize the Breedon-Litzenberger formula and link it with Butterfly spreads.

Solution 7.2

- (a) Since

$$\partial_\sigma C(T, K) = N'(d_1) \sqrt{T} > 0 \quad (2)$$

we only need to analyze the boundary:

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} C(T, K) = (S_0 - K)_+ \quad (3)$$

and

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} C(T, K) = S_0 \quad (4)$$

- (b)

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_K^2 C(T, K) &= \partial_K^2 \int (S - K)_+ f(S, T) dS \\ &= \partial_K \int_K^\infty -f(S, T) dS = f(K, T) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

- (c) Let $K_1 < K_2 < K_3$ Then

$$C(T, K_1) + C(T, K_3) - 2C(T, K_2) \quad (6)$$

is exactly Butterfly spread.

Exercise 7.3 (Dupire formula) Assume the following local volatility model:

$$dS_t = \sigma(t, S_t)S_t dW_t. \quad (7)$$

(a) If $\sigma(t, S_t) = \sigma S_t^\beta$, for which value of β , the market has leverage effect (the volatility increases when the stock price goes down), which is empirically observed.

(b) Let V_t be the fair price of an European payoff $h(S_T)$. Prove the backward Kolmogorov equation:

$$\partial_t V_t + \frac{1}{2} \sigma(S, t)^2 S^2 \partial_{SS}^2 V_t = 0 \quad (8)$$

(c) Let f_T^S be the probability density function of S_T , prove the forward Kolmogorov equation (Fokker-Planck equation):

$$\partial_T f(S, T) = \frac{1}{2} \partial_S^2 \left(\sigma(S, T)^2 S^2 f(S, T) \right) \quad (9)$$

(d) Prove by Fokker-Planck equation the Dupire formula:

$$\sigma^2(K, T) = \frac{\partial_T C(T, K)}{\frac{1}{2} K^2 \partial_K^2 C(T, K)} \quad (10)$$

where $C(T, K)$ is the European call option price of maturity T and strike K .

Solution 7.3

(a) $\beta < 0$

(b) By Ito formula we have

$$dV(t, S_t) = \partial_t V(t, S_t) dt + \partial_S V(t, S_t) dS_t + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{SS}^2 V(t, S_t) \sigma(t, S_t)^2 S_t^2 dt \quad (11)$$

Since $V_t(S_t)$ is a martingale, terms in front of dt must be 0 which completes the proof.

(c) Since the local volatility model is Markov, we can directly apply the Fokker-Planck equation to it and obtain the result.

(d)

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_T C(T, K) &= \partial_T \int (S - K)_+ f(S, T) dS \\ &= \int (S - K)_+ \partial_T f(S, T) dS \\ &= \int (S - K)_+ \frac{1}{2} \partial_S^2 \left(\sigma(S, T)^2 S^2 f(S, T) \right) dS \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sigma(K, T)^2 K^2 f(K, T) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sigma(K, T)^2 K^2 \partial_K^2 C(T, K). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

References

- [1] Pierre Henry-Labordère. Calibration of local stochastic volatility models to market smiles: A monte-carlo approach. *Risk Magazine*, September, 2009.