

13.11.24

155 - $\frac{1}{2}$

Remark Note $\cos z = \frac{e^{iz} + e^{-iz}}{2}$

and $\sin z = \frac{e^{iz} - e^{-iz}}{2i}$

and $\cos z + i \sin z = e^{iz}$

But this is not a decomposition of e^{iz} into real and imaginary parts

Both $\cos z$, $i \sin z$ are complex valued

When $z = x \in \mathbb{R}$ then $e^{ix} = \cos x + i \sin x$ is a decomposition into real and imaginary parts

When $z = iy \in i\mathbb{R}$ then $e^{iz} = e^{-y}$

and $e^{-y} = \cosh y + i \sinh y$ is a sum of 2 real valued functions

$$\cosh y = \frac{e^{-y} + e^y}{2}, \quad i \sinh y = \frac{e^{-y} - e^y}{2}$$

$$= \cosh y$$

$$= -\sinh y$$

Both $\cosh y$ and $\sinh y$ grow as $y \rightarrow \infty$ but their difference is

$e^{-y} = \cosh y - \sinh y$ and decays as $y \rightarrow \infty$

We now turn to more theoretical applications of residues than.

We start by giving one more description of an isolated singularity which is a pole.

Namely we have the following

Proposition (Cor 3.2) Suppose f has an isolated singularity at the point z_0 . Then z_0 is a pole of f if and only if $\lim_{\substack{z \rightarrow z_0 \\ z \neq z_0}} |f(z)| = \infty$.

Proof If $f(z)$ has a pole of order $k \geq 1$ at z_0 , then

$$f(z) = g(z) \cdot (z - z_0)^{-k} \quad \text{on } D_r^*(z_0)$$

for some $r > 0$ and $g \in \mathcal{H}(D_r(z_0))$ and $g(z_0) \neq 0$.

Then $|f(z)| = |g(z)| |z - z_0|^{-k} \rightarrow \infty$ as $z \rightarrow z_0$.

Since $|g(z)| \rightarrow |g(z_0)| \neq 0$ and $k \geq 1$.

Conversely if $|f(z)| \rightarrow \infty$ then we can

find $r > 0$ s.t. $|f(z)| \geq 1$ for $z \in D_r^*(z_0)$

(in particular $f(z) \neq 0$ for $z \in D_r^*(z_0)$)

so that $h(z) := \frac{1}{f(z)}$ is holomorphic in $D_r^*(z_0)$ and $|h(z)| \leq 1$ there.

Furthermore $\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} h(z) = \lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} \frac{1}{f(z)} = 0$

By Riemann's thm $h(z)$ extends to a holom function in $D_r(z_0)$ by

defining $h(z_0) := \lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} \frac{1}{f(z)} = 0$.

if N is the order of zero of h at z_0 ,

then $f(z) = 1/h(z)$ has a pole of order N at z_0

We've seen an isolated singularity z_0 of

f is removable if $f(z)$ is bdd near z_0 and z_0 is a pole if $|f(z)| \rightarrow \infty$ as $z \rightarrow z_0$

Defn An isolated singularity z_0 of f is called an essential singularity if it is neither removable nor a pole.

As we saw in the very beginning the function $e^{1/z}$ near $z=0$ has a more erratic behaviour.

e.g. $e^{1/x} \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow 0$ from negative reals.

whereas $e^{1/x} \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow 0$ from the true reals.

In fact any function $f: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ behaves erratically near an essential singularity. More precisely we have

Thm (Casorati-Weierstrass) Suppose

f is holomorphic in $D_r^*(z_0)$ and has an essential singularity at z_0 .

Then the image of $D_r^*(z_0)$ under f is dense in \mathbb{C} .

Remark. The Casorati-Weierstrass thm states that the image of a punctured disc $D_r^*(z_0)$, no matter how small, effectively fills up the whole complex plane.

(where z_0 is an essential singularity).

In fact a remarkable thm of Picard says

Thm (Picard) (1879)

If $f \in \mathcal{H}(D_r^*(z_0))$ and has an essential singularity at z_0 , then $\mathbb{C} \setminus f(D_r^*(z_0))$ contains at most one point.

The function $f(z) = e^{1/z}$ maps each punctured disc centered at $z=0$ to $\mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ i.e. it does not take the value 0 so the 'exceptional value' permitted by Picard's thm may in fact exist.

Proof of Casorati - Weierstrass :

w.t.s. $\forall w \in \mathbb{C}, \forall \epsilon > 0, \exists z \in D_r^+(z_0)$
s.t. $|f(z) - w| < \epsilon$.

We argue by contradiction and will show that this will force the singularity z_0 to be either removable or a pole and hence \perp contradicting the assumption that z_0 is essential

Assume on the contrary that $\exists w_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\delta > 0$ s.t. $\forall z \in D_r^+(z_0)$

$$|f(z) - w_0| \geq \delta$$

let $g(z) = \frac{1}{f(z) - w_0} \quad \forall z \in D_r^+(z_0)$

then on $D_r^+(z_0)$ $g(z)$ is bounded by $1/\delta$ hence has a removable singularity at z_0 .

by Riemann's thm on remov. singularities (Thm 3.1) hence there is an extension of g , i.e. iii

we can define g at z_0 so that g becomes holom in $D_r(z_0)$. In particular $\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} g(z)$ exists

Since $|f(z) - w_0| \geq \delta$ and $g(z) = \frac{1}{f(z) - w_0}$
clearly g is zero free in $D_r^+(z_0)$. Hence

Its reciprocal $1/g$ has an isolated singularity at z_0 . This singularity of $1/g$ is either a pole or removable depending on whether $\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} |g(z)| = 0$ or not (resp.)

This in turn gives that the singularity of $f = w_0 + \frac{1}{g}$ at z_0 can be

no worse than a pole, giving the anticipated contradiction. (Note $\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} g(z)$ exists since g has a removable singularity at z_0 .)

Meromorphic Functions

We now look at functions whose singularities are poles. Since at a pole $\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} |f(z)| = \infty$ this suggests

adding ∞ to the values of functions and hence include the poles in their domain of definition

eg $f: \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ can be extended to $\hat{f}: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$
 $z \rightarrow \frac{1}{z}$ $z \rightarrow \frac{1}{z}$

Defn ① $\hat{\mathbb{C}} := \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$

↓
"ideal" point of ∞
unsigned.

$\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ is called the extended complex plane

We can supplement the rules in \mathbb{C} by

$\infty \pm z = z \pm \infty = \infty$	for $z \in \mathbb{C}$
$\infty \cdot z = z \cdot \infty = \infty$	for $z \in \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{0\}$
$z / \infty = 0$	for $z \in \mathbb{C}$
$z / 0 = \infty$	for $z \in \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{0\}$.

the expressions $\infty \pm \infty$, ∞ / ∞ , $0 / 0$, $0 \cdot \infty$ are not assigned a meaning in $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$.

② A sequence $(z_n) \subset \mathbb{C}$ converges to ∞ if

$\lim |z_n| = \infty$ where $(|z_n|)$ is a sequence in \mathbb{R} .

Similarly we say $\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} f(z) = \infty$ if

$\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} |f(z)| = \infty$.

Remark $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ is not a field!