D-MATH Prof. Dr. Emmanuel Kowalski

## Exercise Sheet 2

- 1. Let  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  be a non-constant polynomial. Let p be a prime number and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$  a root of f modulo p, so that  $f(\alpha) \equiv 0 \mod p$ . The goal of this exercise is to prove one form of what is known as *Hensel's lemma*, which gives ways to "lift" roots of f modulo primes to roots modulo higher powers.
  - 1. For any integer  $k \geq 1$  and any  $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}$ , prove that

$$f(\alpha + p^k \beta) \equiv f(\alpha) + p^k \beta f'(\alpha) \mod p^{k+1}.$$

<u>Solution</u>: The identity we want to prove is additive, so it suffices to prove it for monomials; that is, polynomials of the form  $f(X) = cX^n$  for  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where n may be 0. Then

$$\begin{split} f(\alpha + p^k \beta) &\equiv c(\alpha + p^k \beta)^n \pmod{p^{k+1}}, \text{ by definition} \\ &\equiv c\alpha^n + c\sum_{j=1}^n \binom{n}{j} p^{jk} \beta^j \alpha^{n-j} \pmod{p^{k+1}}, \text{ by the binomial theorem.} \end{split}$$

If  $k \ge 1$ , then for any  $j \ge 2$ ,  $p^{jk} \equiv 0 \mod p^{k+1}$ . Thus all terms in the sum vanish except for the j = 1 term, so that

$$f(\alpha + p^k \beta) \equiv c\alpha^n + cnp^k \beta \alpha^{n-1} \pmod{p^{k+1}}$$
$$\equiv f(\alpha) + p^k \beta f'(\alpha) \pmod{p^{k+1}},$$

as desired.

2. If p does not divide  $f'(\alpha)$ , prove that there exists  $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $f(\alpha + p\beta) \equiv 0 \mod p^2$ , and that  $\beta$  is unique modulo p.

Solution: Since  $f(\alpha) \equiv 0 \mod p$ , there exists some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $f(\alpha) = pm$ . Then by part (1), for all  $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$f(\alpha + p\beta) \equiv f(\alpha) + p\beta f'(\alpha) \pmod{p^2}$$
$$\equiv p(m + \beta f'(\alpha)) \pmod{p^2}.$$

If  $m + \beta f'(\alpha) \equiv 0 \mod p$ , then  $p(m + \beta f'(\alpha)) \equiv 0 \mod p^2$ . Since  $f'(\alpha) \neq 0 \mod p$ , it has an inverse modulo p; choosing any  $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $\beta \equiv -mf'(\alpha)^{-1} \mod p$  will satisfy the desired constraint, and thus satisfy  $f(\alpha + p\beta) \equiv 0 \mod p^2$ . If  $\beta \not\equiv -mf'(\alpha)^{-1} \mod p$ , then  $m + \beta f'(\alpha) \not\equiv 0 \mod p$ . But in this case  $f(\alpha + p\beta)$  is a nonzero multiple of p modulo  $p^2$ , and in particular  $f(\alpha + p\beta) \not\equiv 0 \mod p^2$ . Thus  $\beta$  is uniquely determined modulo p. 3. If p does not divide  $f'(\alpha)$ , prove that for any  $k \ge 1$ , there exists a unique root  $\alpha_k$  of f in  $\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\alpha_k \equiv \alpha \mod p$ . Show also that  $\alpha_l \equiv \alpha_k \mod p^k$  if  $l \ge k$ . Solution: We proceed by induction, with the base case being that  $\alpha$  is the unique root  $\alpha_1$  of f modulo p satisfying  $\alpha_1 \equiv \alpha \mod p$ .

Assume that there exists a unique root  $\alpha_k$  of f in  $\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\alpha_k \equiv \alpha \mod p$ . We would like to construct the unique root  $\alpha_k \in \mathbb{Z}/p^{k+1}\mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $\tilde{\alpha_k} \in \mathbb{Z}$  be the representative of  $\alpha_k \mod p^k$  with  $0 \leq \tilde{\alpha_k} < p^k$ .

By part (1), we have for all  $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}$  that

$$f(\tilde{\alpha_k} + p^k \beta) \equiv f(\tilde{\alpha_k}) + p^k \beta f'(\tilde{\alpha_k}) \mod p^{k+1}$$

Since  $\alpha_k$  is a root of  $f \mod p^k$ , we have  $p^k | f(\tilde{\alpha_k})$ ; write  $f(\tilde{\alpha_k}) = mp^k$ . Then

$$f(\tilde{\alpha_k} + p^k \beta) \equiv p^k(m + \beta f'(\tilde{\alpha_k})) \mod p^{k+1}$$

As in part (2), the right-hand side is 0 mod  $p^{k+1}$  if and only if  $m + \beta f'(\tilde{\alpha}_k) \equiv 0 \mod p$ , which holds for a unique value  $\beta \mod p$  since  $f'(\tilde{\alpha}_k) \not\equiv 0 \mod p$ . Call this value  $\beta_k$  and define

$$\alpha_{k+1} := \tilde{\alpha_k} + p^k \beta_k \mod p^{k+1}.$$

By construction,  $f(\alpha_{k+1}) \equiv 0 \mod p^{k+1}$  and  $\alpha_{k+1} \equiv \alpha_k \equiv \alpha \mod p$ . Moreover, by the uniqueness of  $\alpha_k$  we must have  $\alpha_{k+1} \equiv \alpha_k \mod p^k$ ; if not,  $\alpha_{k+1} \mod p^k$ would be a second root of  $f \mod p$ . But then the uniqueness of  $\alpha_{k+1}$  follows by the uniqueness of  $\beta_k$ .

It remains to show that for  $\ell \geq k$ ,  $\alpha_{\ell} \equiv \alpha_k \mod p^k$ . This follows inductively as well, since we have shown above that  $\alpha_k$  is unique and that  $\alpha_{k+1} \equiv \alpha_k \mod p^k$  for all k.

4. Find the unique element  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}/17^3\mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\alpha^2 = -1$  and  $\alpha \equiv 4 \mod 17$ . Solution: Define  $f(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  via  $f(X) = X^2 + 1$ . Note that  $f'(X) = 2X \not\equiv 0 \mod 17$ .

First note that 4 satisfies  $f(4) = 17 \equiv 0 \mod 17$ . We can follow the algorithm of parts (2) and (3), noting that f'(4) = 8. Thus for all  $\beta \mod 17$ ,

$$f(4+17\beta) \equiv f(4) + 17\beta f'(4) \mod 17^2$$
$$\equiv 17(1+8\beta) \mod 17^2.$$

We have  $1 + 8\beta \equiv 0 \mod 17$  if and only if  $\beta \equiv 2 \mod 17$ , so choosing  $\beta = 2$  we have  $f(\alpha_2) \equiv 0 \mod 17^2$  for  $\alpha_2 \equiv 4 + 2 * 17 = 38 \mod 17^2$ . Note that  $f(38) = 1445 = 5 * 17^2$ .

We now repeat. For all  $\beta \mod 17$ ,

$$f(38 + 17^2\beta) \equiv f(38) + 17^2\beta f'(38) \mod 17^3$$
$$\equiv 17^2(5 + f'(38)\beta) \mod 17^3.$$

We have  $5 + f'(38)\beta \equiv 0 \mod 17$  if and only if  $5 + f'(4)\beta \equiv 5 + 8\beta \equiv 0 \mod 17$ , which occurs if and only if  $\beta \equiv 10 \mod 17$ . Thus  $\alpha_3 \mod 17^3$  given by  $\alpha_3 = 38 + 10 * 17^2 = 2928$  satisfies  $\alpha_3^2 \equiv -1 \mod 17^3$ .

- **2.** Let p be an odd prime number.
  - 1. For  $a \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ , show that

$$\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) \equiv a^{(p-1)/2} \mod p.$$

(Hint: note that the right-hand side is always 0, 1 or -1, then distinguish cases according to the value of the Legendre symbol.)

<u>Solution</u>: Assume first that  $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = 0$ . Then  $a \equiv 0 \mod p$ , so  $a^{(p-1)/2} \equiv 0 \mod p$ , and equality holds.

Now assume that  $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = \pm 1$ . Consider the polynomial  $f(X) = X^{p-1} - 1$ . Note that for all  $a \neq 0 \mod p$ ,  $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \mod p$ , since  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$  is a group of order p-1. Thus every nonzero  $a \mod p$  is a root of  $f \mod p$ . The polynomial f factors as  $f(X) = (X^{(p-1)/2} - 1)(X^{(p-1)/2} + 1)$ .

If  $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = 1$ , then for some  $b \mod p$ ,  $b^2 \equiv a$ . Then

$$a^{(p-1)/2} \equiv b^{p-1} \equiv 1 \mod p,$$

so in this case  $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) \equiv a^{(p-1)/2} \mod p$ , and a is a root of  $X^{(p-1)/2} - 1 \mod p$ . There are precisely (p-1)/2 squares mod p and at most (p-1)/2 roots of  $X^{(p-1)/2} - 1 \mod p$ , so the roots of  $X^{(p-1)/2} - 1 \mod p$  must be precisely the squares mod p. Thus the roots of  $X^{(p-1)/2} + 1$  must be precisely the remaining values (that is, nonsquares) mod p, so if  $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = -1$ , we have  $a^{(p-1)/2} \equiv -1 \mod p$ , which completes the argument.

2. Let a be coprime to p. For  $1 \leq b \leq (p-1)/2$ , let  $\epsilon(b) \in \{-1,1\}$  and  $r(b) \in \{1,\ldots,(p-1)/2\}$  be defined by the conditions that  $ab \equiv \epsilon(b)r(b) \mod p$ . Show that  $\epsilon(b)$  and r(b) are uniquely defined and that the map r is injective. Deduce that

$$((p-1)/2)!a^{(p-1)/2} \equiv (-1)^{\mu}((p-1)/2)! \mod p,$$

where  $\mu$  is the number of integers b such that  $\epsilon(b) = -1$ .

Solution: Here we fix a coprime to p.

The map  $\{-1,1\} \times \{1,\ldots,(p-1)/2\} \to (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$  given by  $(\epsilon,r) \mapsto \epsilon r \mod p$ is bijective, since the values where  $\epsilon = 1$  map bijectively onto  $\{1,\ldots,(p-1)/2\}$ and the values where  $\epsilon = -1$  map bijectively onto  $\{-1,\ldots,-(p-1)/2\} \equiv \{p-1,\ldots,p-(p-1)/2\} \mod p$ ; together these are precisely all nonzero values modulo p. Thus the values  $\epsilon(b)$  and r(b) are uniquely defined.

We now show that r is injective (and thus bijective, since it is a map from  $\{1, \ldots, (p-1)/2\}$  to itself). Let  $b_1$  and  $b_2$  be two values between 1 and (p-1)/2 and assume that  $r(b_1) = r(b_2)$ ; call this value r. Then  $ab_1 \equiv \epsilon(b_1)r \mod p$ , so  $ab_1\epsilon(b_1) \equiv r \mod p$  and similarly  $ab_2\epsilon(b_2) \equiv r \mod p$ . But then

$$ab_1\epsilon(b_1) \equiv ab_2\epsilon(b_2) \mod p$$
  

$$\Rightarrow a(b_1\epsilon(b_1) - b_2\epsilon(b_2)) \equiv 0 \mod p$$
  

$$\Rightarrow b_1\epsilon(b_1) - b_2\epsilon(b_2) \equiv 0 \mod p, \text{ since } \gcd(a, p) = 1$$
  

$$\Rightarrow b_1 \equiv \epsilon(b_1)\epsilon(b_2)b_2 \mod p.$$

Note that  $\epsilon(b_1)\epsilon(b_2) = \pm 1$ . Since each  $b_i$  satisfies  $1 \leq b_i \leq (p-1)/2$ ,  $b_1 \not\equiv -b_2 \mod p$ . But then  $b_1 \equiv b_2 \mod p$ , so  $b_1 = b_2$ .

In order to deduce the desired equality we take the product over ab for all  $1 \le b \le (p-1)/2$ . We have

$$\prod_{b=1}^{(p-1)/2} ab = ((p-1)/2)!a^{(p-1)/2}$$

by definition of the factorial, but also

$$\prod_{b=1}^{(p-1)/2} ab \equiv \prod_{b=1}^{(p-1)/2} \epsilon(b)r(b) \equiv \prod_{b=1}^{(p-1)/2} \epsilon(b) \prod_{b=1}^{(p-1)/2} r(b) \mod p.$$

Since r is bijective, the product over r(b) is also equal to ((p-1)/2)!. The product over  $\epsilon(b)$  has precisely  $\mu$  values of (-1) and  $(p-1)/2 - \mu$  values of 1, so the expression above is congruent to  $(-1)^{\mu}((p-1)/2)!$ , as desired.

3. Deduce that  $(a/p) = (-1)^{\mu}$ . (This is known as "Gauss's Lemma".) <u>Solution:</u> Since ((p-1)/2)! is relatively prime to p, the previous question implies that

$$a^{(p-1)/2} \equiv (-1)^{\mu} \mod p.$$

By part (1),  $a^{(p-1)/2} \equiv (a/p) \mod p$ , so  $(a/p) = (-1)^{\mu}$ .

4. Show that (2/p) = 1 if  $p \equiv 1, 7 \mod 8$  and (2/p) = -1 otherwise. (Hint: use Gauss's Lemma, and consider the classes modulo 8 separately if needed to compute  $\mu$ .) <u>Solution:</u> By Gauss's Lemma,  $(2/p) = (-1)^{\mu}$ , where  $\mu$  is the number of integers  $b \in [1, \frac{p-1}{2}]$  such that  $2b \in [\frac{p+1}{2}, p-1]$ , or equivalently such that  $b \in [\frac{p+1}{4}, \frac{p-1}{2}]$ . If  $p \equiv 3 \mod 4$ , then  $\frac{p+1}{4}$  is an integer, so

$$\mu = \frac{p-1}{2} - \frac{p+1}{4} + 1 = \frac{p+1}{4},$$

which is even if  $p \equiv 7 \mod 8$  and odd if  $p \equiv 3 \mod 8$ . If  $p \equiv 1 \mod 4$ , then  $\frac{p+1}{4}$  is not an integer and  $b \in [\frac{p+1}{4}, \frac{p-1}{2}]$  if and only if  $b \in [\frac{p+3}{4}, \frac{p-1}{2}]$ . Thus

$$\mu = \frac{p-1}{2} - \frac{p+3}{4} + 1 = \frac{p-1}{4},$$

which is even if  $p \equiv 1 \mod 8$  and odd if  $p \equiv 5 \mod 8$ . Thus  $\mu$  is even if  $p \equiv 1,7 \mod 8$  and odd if  $p \equiv 3,5 \mod 8$ , so  $(2/p) = (-1)^{\mu}$  is 1 if  $p \equiv 1,7 \mod 8$  and (2/p) = -1 if  $p \equiv 3,5 \mod 8$ .

**3.** For  $n \ge 1$ , we denote by  $F_n$  the finite set of rational numbers of the form a/b where a and b are coprime and  $0 \le a \le b \le n$ .

1. Write down  $F_5$  as an ordered list of rational numbers. Do you notice anything about either successive elements x < y of this list, or triples of successive elements x < y < z?

Solution:

$$F_5 = \left\{ \frac{0}{1}, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{5}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{4}{5}, \frac{1}{1} \right\}.$$

This question will show that successive elements have relatively prime denominators, and that for successive elements a/b < c/d < e/f,

$$\frac{c}{d} = \frac{a+e}{b+f}$$

2. Let x = a/b be an element of  $F_n$ , with the conditions  $1 \le a \le b \le n$ , and a coprime to b. Show that there exists integers c and d such that bc - ad = 1, c and d are coprime and

$$0 \le n - b < d \le n$$

(Hint: start with any solution of bc-ad = 1, and adapt it to satisfy the inequality.) <u>Solution:</u> Since gcd(a, b) = 1, by (for example) the Euclidean algorithm, there exist integers c and d such that

$$bc - ad = 1.$$

Interpreting this equation as a linear combination of c and d, we see that gcd(c, d)|(bc-ad), and thus gcd(c, d) = 1 for any such pair c and d.

Note that if bc-ad = 1, then b(c+a)-a(d+b) = 1 and similarly b(c-a)-a(d-b) = 1. 1. Thus for any  $d' \equiv d \mod b$ , there exists some c' with bc'-ad' = 1. Choosing d' to be the representative of  $d \mod b$  with  $n-b < d \le n$  gives the desired solution.

3. If a/b < 1, show that  $c/d \in F_n$  and

$$\frac{c}{d} \ge \frac{a}{b}.$$

Let e/f be the next element after a/b in  $F_n$ . Show that  $c/d \ge e/f$ , and that if c/d > e/f, then  $c/d - e/f \ge 1/(df)$  and  $e/f - a/b \ge 1/(bf)$ .

Solution: Since c and d are coprime, we need only show that  $0 \le c \le d \le n$  in order to show that  $\frac{c}{d} \in F_n$ . Since  $0 \le n - b < d \le n$ , it remains only to show that  $0 \le c \le d$ , or equivalently that  $0 \le c/d \le 1$ .

We can rearrange the identity bc - ad + 1 to get

$$\frac{c}{d} = \frac{a}{b} + \frac{1}{db}.$$
(1)

Since  $\frac{a}{b} < 1$ ,  $\frac{a}{b} \le 1 - \frac{1}{b}$ , so

$$\frac{c}{d} = \frac{a}{b} + \frac{1}{db} \le 1 - \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{db} \le 1,$$

so  $c/d \in F_n$ . Equation (1) also implies immediately that  $c/d \ge a/b$ , and in fact that c/d > a/b.

Let e/f be the next element after a/b in  $F_n$ . Since c/d > a/b is in  $F_n$ , by definition of e/f we must have  $c/d \ge e/f$ . Assume that c/d > e/f. Then

$$\frac{c}{d} - \frac{e}{f} = \frac{cf - de}{df} > 0,$$

so cf - de > 0 and thus  $cf - de \ge 1$ , which implies that  $c/d - e/f \ge 1/(df)$ . By the same argument,  $e/f - a/b \ge 1/(bf)$ .

4. Deduce that c/d = e/f and that be - af = 1. (Hint: argue by contradiction using the two previous questions.)

<u>Solution</u>: Assume not. Then by part (3), c/d > e/f. Then part (3) implies that

$$\frac{bc-ad}{bd} = \frac{c}{d} - \frac{a}{b} = \left(\frac{c}{d} - \frac{e}{f}\right) + \left(\frac{e}{f} - \frac{a}{b}\right) \ge \frac{1}{df} + \frac{1}{bf} = \frac{b+d}{bdf}.$$

Clearing denominators from the far left and far right and applying the inequality from part (2) that d > n - b, we get that

$$bc-ad \ge \frac{b+d}{f} > \frac{b+n-b}{f} = \frac{n}{f} \ge 1,$$

where the last inequality follows since  $e/f \in F_n$  and thus  $f \leq n$ . But then bc-ad > 1, which is a contradiction; thus c/d = e/f, so by part (2) we have be - af = 1.

5. Show that if a/b < c/d < e/f are three successive elements in  $F_n$ , then

$$\frac{c}{d} = \frac{a+e}{b+f}.$$

(Hint: use twice the previous result, and compute c and d in terms of the other quantities.)

<u>Solution</u>: By the previous part we have bc - ad = 1 and de - cf = 1. Thus

$$bc - ad = de - cf$$
  

$$\Rightarrow bc + cf = de + ad$$
  

$$\Rightarrow c(b + f) = d(a + e)$$
  

$$\Rightarrow \frac{c}{d} = \frac{a + e}{b + f}, \text{ as desired.}$$

(The set  $F_n$  is called the set of *Farey fractions* of order n; Farey himself did not have anything to do with proving the properties above.)

4. The goal of this exercise is to prove that  $\pi^2$  is irrational. For  $n \ge 0$ , let

$$f_n = \frac{X^n (1 - X)^n}{n!} \in \mathbb{Q}[X].$$

1. Show that for all  $n \ge 1$  and  $j \ge 0$ , we have  $f_n^{(j)}(0) \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $f_n^{(j)}(1) \in \mathbb{Z}$ . <u>Solution</u>: We have  $f_n(x) = \frac{r_n(x)s_n(x)}{n!}$ , where  $r_n(x) = x^n$  and  $s_n(x) = (1-x)^n$ . For each  $j \ge 0$ , by the product rule,

$$f_n^{(j)}(x) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{i=0}^j \binom{j}{i} r_n^{(i)}(x) s_n^{(j-i)}(x)$$
(2)

(This is a generalization of the product rule which can be proven by induction). Then  $r_n^{(i)}(x) = \frac{n!}{(n-i)!} x^{n-i}$  for  $i \leq n$  and 0 otherwise, and  $s_n^{(i)}(x) = (-1)^i \frac{n!}{(n-i)!} (1-x)^{n-i}$  for  $i \leq n$  and 0 otherwise.

Consider first the case when x = 0. Then  $r_n^{(i)}(x) = 0$  unless i = n, so that  $f_n^{(j)}(0) = 0$  when  $0 \le j \le n-1$  and for  $n \le j \le 2n$  we have

$$\begin{split} f_n^{(j)}(0) &= \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{i=0}^j \binom{j}{i} r_n^{(i)}(0) s_n^{(j-i)}(0) \\ &= \frac{1}{n!} \binom{j}{n} r_n^{(n)}(0) s_n^{(j-n)}(0) \\ &= \frac{1}{n!} \binom{j}{n} \frac{n!}{0!} (-1)^{(j-n)} \frac{n!}{(2n-j)!} (1-0)^{j-2n} \\ &= \binom{j}{n} (-1)^{(j-n)} \frac{n!}{(2n-j)!}. \end{split}$$

Noting that  $2n - j \leq n$  since  $j \geq n$ , this expression is an integer. Finally, for  $n \geq 2j + 1$ , every term in (2) is 0, so  $f_n^{(j)}(x) = 0$  for these values.

A similar computation for x = 1 shows that  $f_n^{(j)}(1) = 0$  when  $0 \le j \le n-1$  or when  $j \ge 2n+1$ , and that for  $n \le j \le 2n$ ,

$$f_n^{(j)}(1) = \binom{j}{n} (-1)^{(j-n)} \frac{n!}{(2n-j)!} \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

2. Suppose that  $\pi^2 = a/b$  where a and b are coprime positive integers. For  $n \ge 1$ , define  $g_n \colon [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$  by

$$g_n(x) = b^n \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j \pi^{2(n-j)} f_n^{(2j)}(x).$$

Show that  $g_n(0) \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $g_n(1) \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Solution: We can write

$$g_n(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j b^n \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{n-j} f_n^{(2j)}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j b^j a^{n-j} f_n^{(2j)}(x).$$

By part (1),  $f_n^{(2j)}(0) \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $f_n^{(2j)}(1) \in \mathbb{Z}$  for all  $j \ge 0$ , so when x = 0 or 1, every term in the sum for  $g_n$  is an integer, and thus  $g_n(0) \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $g_n(1) \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

3. Show that

$$g_n(0) + g_n(1) = \pi \int_0^1 a^n \sin(\pi x) f_n(x) dx.$$

(Hint: compute a primitive of  $x \mapsto a^n \sin(\pi x) f_n(x)$  in terms of  $g_n$ .) Solution: Define  $F(x) = g'_n(x) \sin(\pi x) - g_n(x)\pi \cos(\pi x)$ . Then

$$F'(x) = g_n''(x)\sin(\pi x) + g_n'(x)\pi\cos(\pi x) - g_n'(x)\pi\cos(\pi x) + g_n(x)\pi^2\sin(\pi x)$$
  
=  $\sin(\pi x)b^n \left(\sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \pi^{2(n-k)} f_n^{(2(k+1))}(x) + \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j \pi^{2(n-j+1)} f_n^{(2j)}(x)\right)$   
=  $b^n \sin(\pi x) \left(\pi^{2(n+1)} f_n(x) + \sum_{j=1}^n \left((-1)^{j+1} \pi^{2(n-j+1)} f_n^{(2j)}(x) + (-1)^j \pi^{2(n-j+1)} f_n^{(2j)}(x)\right)\right)$ 

where in the last line we have isolated the j = 0 term from the second term, transformed the first sum via the substitution j = k + 1, and discarded derivatives of f higher than the 2*n*th derivative, at which point all derivatives of f are 0. The terms in the sum are all 0, so we get

$$F'(x) = b^n \sin(\pi x) \pi^{2(n+1)} f_n(x) = \pi^2 a^n \sin(\pi x) f_n(x).$$

Thus  $\frac{1}{\pi}F(x)$  is the antiderivative of  $\pi a^n \sin(\pi x) f_n(x)$ , so that

$$\pi \int_0^1 a^n \sin(\pi x) f_n(x) dx = \frac{1}{\pi} (F(1) - F(0))$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{\pi} (g'_n(1) \sin(\pi) - g_n(1)\pi \cos(\pi) - g'_n(0) \sin(0) + g_n(0)\pi \cos(0))$   
=  $g_n(0) + g_n(1),$ 

as desired.

4. Show that

$$0 < g_n(0) + g_n(1) < \frac{\pi a^r}{n!}$$

for all  $n \ge 1$ , and conclude.

Solution: For all 0 < x < 1, we have  $f_n(x) = \frac{x^n(1-x)^n}{n!} \leq \frac{1}{n!}$  and that  $f_n(x)$  is nonnegative. The function  $\sin(\pi x)$  also satisfies  $0 \leq \sin(\pi x) \leq 1$  in the range  $x \in [0, 1]$ , so

$$0 \le \pi \int_0^1 a^n \sin(\pi x) f_n(x) dx \le \pi a^n \int_0^1 \frac{1}{n!} dx = \frac{\pi a^n}{n!}.$$

Note also that  $\sin(\pi x) = 0$  if and only if x = 0 or x = 1 in this range, and the same is true for  $f_n(x)$ ; thus the integral is nonzero. Also,  $\sin(\pi x) < 1$  for nearly the entire interval, so similarly the upper bound must be a strict upper bound. Combining this with part (3) completes the proof that  $0 < g_n(0) + g_n(1) < \frac{\pi a^n}{n!}$  for all  $n \ge 1$ . Since  $g_n(0) + g_n(1) \in \mathbb{Z}$  by part (2), this implies in turn that  $\frac{\pi a^n}{n!} > 1$  for all  $n \ge 1$ . But for any fixed a, this quantity approaches 0 as  $n \to \infty$ , so we have reached a contradiction.

Due date: 14.10.2024