

Exercise Sheet 3

1. The goal of this exercise is to prove the irreducibility of cyclotomic polynomials in $\mathbb{Q}[X]$ (or in $\mathbb{Z}[X]$, which amounts to the same thing). For $q \geq 1$, we denote

$$\Phi_q = \prod_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq q-1 \\ (a,q)=1}} (X - e^{2i\pi a/q})$$

the q -th cyclotomic polynomial. We denote $\omega = e^{2i\pi/q}$ and let K be the cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}(e^{2i\pi/q}) = \mathbb{Q}(\omega)$.

Let $f \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ be the monic minimal polynomial of ω ; it has coefficients in \mathbb{Z} and divides Φ_q and also $X^q - 1$. Let $g \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ be the polynomial such that $X^q - 1 = fg$.

1. Show that

$$\prod_{a=1}^{q-1} (1 - \omega^a) = q.$$

Solution: Consider the polynomial $X^q - 1$, whose roots are 1 and w^a for $a = 1, \dots, q-1$. Dividing $X^q - 1$ by $X - 1$ we get the polynomial

$$\frac{X^q - 1}{X - 1} = X^{q-1} + X^{q-2} + \dots + X + 1, \tag{1}$$

but by factoring $X^q - 1$ as a product linear factors over \mathbb{C} we get

$$\frac{X^q - 1}{X - 1} = \prod_{a=1}^{q-1} (X - w^a). \tag{2}$$

When $X = 1$, the right-hand side of (1) is q , whereas when $X = 1$ the right-hand side of (2) is precisely $\prod_{a=1}^{q-1} (1 - w^a)$, so we conclude the desired equality.

2. Let p be a prime number which does not divide q , and let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal in \mathbb{Z}_K dividing $p\mathbb{Z}_K$. Show that the elements $(1, \omega, \dots, \omega^{q-1})$ are distinct modulo \mathfrak{p} .

Solution: Assume by contradiction that for some $0 \leq b < c \leq q-1$, $w^b \equiv w^c$ modulo \mathfrak{p} . Then $1 \equiv w^{c-b}$ mod \mathfrak{p} , so that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - w^{c-b} &\in \mathfrak{p} \\ \Rightarrow \prod_{a=1}^{q-1} (1 - w^a) &\in \mathfrak{p} \\ &\Rightarrow q \in \mathfrak{p}. \end{aligned}$$

But then we have $p, q \in \mathfrak{p}$ with p and q relatively prime, so this implies that $1 \in \mathfrak{p}$, which contradicts the assumption that \mathfrak{p} is a prime ideal. Thus $(1, w, \dots, w^{q-1})$ are distinct modulo \mathfrak{p} .

3. Show that ω^p is also a root of f . (Hint: argue by contradiction that otherwise $g(\omega^p) = 0$ and use reduction modulo \mathfrak{p} and the previous question; recall that if $x \in \mathbb{Z}_K/\mathfrak{p}$ is a root of the reduction of a polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[X]$, then x^p is also a root of the same polynomial.)

Solution: Consider the reductions \bar{f} and \bar{g} of f and g , respectively, modulo \mathfrak{p} . By the previous question, \bar{f} and \bar{g} must have distinct roots.

Assume that $f(\omega^p) \neq 0$. Since ω^p is a root of $X^q - 1$, it must therefore be a root of g . Since $g(\omega^p) = 0$, $\bar{g}(\omega^p) = 0 \in \mathbb{Z}_K/\mathfrak{p}$. But since $f(\omega) = 0$ by assumption we also have $\bar{f}(\omega) = 0 \in \mathbb{Z}_K/\mathfrak{p}$ and thus $\bar{f}(\omega^p) = 0 \in \mathbb{Z}_K/\mathfrak{p}$, which contradicts the fact that \bar{f} and \bar{g} must have distinct roots.

Thus $f(\omega^p) = 0$.

4. Deduce that ω^a is a root of f for any a coprime to q , and conclude that $f = \Phi_q$.

Solution: Note that for any prime p , since f is the monic minimal polynomial of ω and has ω^p as a root, f must also be the monic minimal polynomial of ω^p . Thus we can repeat the above argument for different primes p , to get that for any primes p_1, \dots, p_k , all relatively prime to q , and any positive integers e_1, \dots, e_k , $\omega^{p_1^{e_1} \dots p_k^{e_k}}$ is a root of f . Any a coprime to q admits a factorization of this form, so ω^a is a root of f .

Thus every root of Φ_q is a root of f , so $\Phi_q | f$. We already have that $f | \Phi_q$, and both are monic, so equality must hold.

2. Let q be a prime number. The goal of this exercise is to show that the ring of integers of the cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}(e^{2i\pi/q})$ is $\mathbb{Z}[e^{2i\pi/q}]$. Let $\omega = e^{2i\pi/q}$.

1. Prove that

$$\text{Tr}(1) = q - 1, \quad \text{Tr}(\omega^a) = -1 \text{ for } 1 \leq a \leq q - 1.$$

Solution: Consider the basis $\{1, \dots, \omega^{q-2}\}$ of $\mathbb{Q}(w)$ as a \mathbb{Q} -vector space. Note that $\mathbb{Q}(w)$ is a $(q - 1)$ -dimensional \mathbb{Q} -vector space, since Φ_q (using the notation from Problem 1) is irreducible of degree $q - 1$.

Multiplication by 1 is described by the identity matrix, which has trace $q - 1$, so $\text{Tr}(1) = q - 1$.

Consider the matrix $M_a \in \text{GL}(\mathbb{Q}(w))$ given by multiplication by w^a for $1 \leq a \leq q - 1$. Each basis element in $\{1, \dots, \omega^{q-2}\}$ is taken to a different basis element when multiplied by w^a *except* for the element ω^{q-1-a} , for which we have

$$w^a \cdot \omega^{q-1-a} = \omega^{q-1} = - \sum_{b=0}^{q-2} \omega^b.$$

Thus the only nonzero element on the diagonal of M_a is the -1 in the $(q - 1 - a, q - 1 - a)$ th position, so that $\text{Tr}(w^a) = -1$.

2. Prove that for all a coprime to q , the element

$$\frac{\omega^a - 1}{\omega - 1}$$

is a unit in \mathbb{Z}_K , and that $1 - \omega$ is not a unit in \mathbb{Z}_K . (Hint: use the formula from question 1 of Exercise 1.)

Solution: Note that

$$\frac{w^a - 1}{w - 1} = w^{a-1} + w^{a-2} + \cdots + 1.$$

All powers of w are in \mathbb{Z}_K , so $\frac{w^a - 1}{w - 1} \in \mathbb{Z}_K$. Let b be a positive integer such that $ab \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$. Then similarly

$$\frac{w^{ab} - 1}{w^a - 1} = w^{a(b-1)} + w^{a(b-2)} + \cdots + w^a + 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_K,$$

but

$$\frac{w^a - 1}{w - 1} \cdot \frac{w^{ab} - 1}{w^a - 1} = \frac{w^{ab} - 1}{w - 1} = \frac{w - 1}{w - 1} = 1,$$

so $\frac{w^a - 1}{w - 1}$ has an inverse in \mathbb{Z}_K and is thus a unit.

Now assume by contradiction that $1 - w$ is a unit in \mathbb{Z}_K . Since $\frac{1 - w^a}{1 - w}$ is a unit in \mathbb{Z}_K , we also know that $1 - w^a$ is a unit in \mathbb{Z}_K for all a relatively prime to q , so $\prod_{a=1}^{q-1} (1 - w^a)$ must be a unit as well. But by problem (1.1), we have just shown that q is a unit in \mathbb{Z}_K , or equivalently that $\frac{1}{q} \in \mathbb{Z}_K$. But $\frac{1}{q}$ is not an algebraic integer, so we have reached a contradiction. Thus $1 - w$ is not a unit in \mathbb{Z}_K .

3. Prove that $(1 - \omega)\mathbb{Z}_K \mid q\mathbb{Z}_K$ and that $(1 - \omega)\mathbb{Z}_K \cap \mathbb{Z} = q\mathbb{Z}$.

Solution: Since, by Exercise (1.1), we have $(1 - w) \mid q$, we must also have $(1 - w)\mathbb{Z}_K \mid q\mathbb{Z}_K$. That is, if $qz \in q\mathbb{Z}_K$, then $qz = (1 - w) \left(\prod_{a=2}^{q-1} (1 - w^a) \right) z \in (1 - w)\mathbb{Z}_K$.

We have just shown that $q\mathbb{Z} \subseteq (1 - w)\mathbb{Z}_K$ and we know that $q\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$, so $q\mathbb{Z} \subseteq (1 - w)\mathbb{Z}_K \cap \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, $(1 - w)\mathbb{Z}_K \cap \mathbb{Z}$ is an ideal in \mathbb{Z} , so since q is prime, $(1 - w)\mathbb{Z}_K \cap \mathbb{Z}$ is either $q\mathbb{Z}$ or \mathbb{Z} itself. Assume by contradiction that $(1 - w)\mathbb{Z}_K \cap \mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}$. Then $1 \in (1 - w)\mathbb{Z}_K$, so for some $z \in \mathbb{Z}_K$ we have $1 = (1 - w)z$. But then $(1 - w)$ is a unit in \mathbb{Z}_K , which contradicts the previous part.

4. Deduce that for all $y \in \mathbb{Z}_K$, we have $\text{Tr}((1 - \omega)y) \in q\mathbb{Z}$.

Solution: Recall that $\text{Tr}(x) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $x \in \mathbb{Z}_K$, so for all $y \in \mathbb{Z}_K$, we have $\text{Tr}((1 - w)y) \in \mathbb{Z}$. By the previous part, it remains to show only that $\text{Tr}((1 - w)y) \in (1 - w)\mathbb{Z}_K$.

But $\text{Tr}((1 - w)y) = \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(w)/\mathbb{Q})} \sigma((1 - w)y) = \sum_{\sigma} (1 - \sigma(w))\sigma(y)$. Note that for all σ , there exists an a relatively prime to q such that $\sigma(w) = w^a$, which in turn implies that $(1 - \sigma(w))\sigma(y) = (1 - w) \frac{(1 - w^a)}{(1 - w)} \sigma(y) \in (1 - w)\mathbb{Z}_K$. Thus $\text{Tr}((1 - w)y) \in \mathbb{Z}_K$, as desired.

5. Find an element b_0 of K such that for any

$$x = \sum_{i=0}^{q-2} a_i \omega^i$$

in K , we have $\text{Tr}(b_0 x) = a_0$. Deduce that if $x \in \mathbb{Z}_K$ then $a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Solution: Write $b_0 = \frac{1-w}{q}$, and assume that $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}$. Then we can compute explicitly

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Tr}(b_0x) &= \mathrm{Tr}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{q-2} a_i \frac{1-w}{q} w^i\right) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{q-2} \frac{a_i}{q} (\mathrm{Tr}(w^i) - \mathrm{Tr}(w^{i+1})).\end{aligned}$$

If $1 \leq i \leq q-2$, then $\mathrm{Tr}(w^i) = \mathrm{Tr}(w^{i+1}) = -1$, so that $\mathrm{Tr}(w^i) - \mathrm{Tr}(w^{i+1}) = 0$. If $i = 0$, then $\mathrm{Tr}(w^i) = q-1$ and $\mathrm{Tr}(w^{i+1}) = -1$, so that we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Tr}(b_0x) &= \frac{a_0}{q} (\mathrm{Tr}(1) - \mathrm{Tr}(w)) \\ &= \frac{a_0}{q} q = a_0.\end{aligned}$$

If $x \in \mathbb{Z}_K$, then we have $a_0 = \mathrm{Tr}\left(\frac{1-w}{q}x\right) = \frac{1}{q} \mathrm{Tr}((1-w)x)$. By the previous portion, $\mathrm{Tr}((1-w)x) \in q\mathbb{Z}$, so $\frac{1}{q} \mathrm{Tr}((1-w)x) \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus $a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$.

6. Similarly, find the element b_i such that, for any x as above, we have $\mathrm{Tr}(b_ix) = a_i$, and deduce that $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all i . (Hint: consider $\omega^j x$ for suitable j .)

Solution: Consider $b_0 w^{q-i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq q-2$. Then for any x as above,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Tr}(b_0 w^{q-i} x) &= \mathrm{Tr}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{q-2} a_j \frac{1-w}{q} w^{q-i} w^j\right) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{q-2} \frac{a_j}{q} (\mathrm{Tr}(w^{q-i+j}) - \mathrm{Tr}(w^{q-i+j+1})).\end{aligned}$$

When $j = i$, we have $w^{q-i+j} = w^q = 1$, which has trace $q-1$, and when $j = i-1$, we have $w^{q-i+j+1} = w^q = 1$; all other traces in the above expression are -1 , so

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Tr}(b_0 w^{q-i} x) &= \frac{a_i}{q} (q-1+1) + \frac{a_{i-1}}{q} (-q) \\ &= a_i - a_{i-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus choosing $b_i = b_0 \sum_{j=0}^i w^{q-j}$ is the desired element. By repeating the arguments from the previous two parts, this shows that $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ whenever $x \in \mathbb{Z}_K$.

7. Conclude that $\mathbb{Z}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$.

Solution: Since $w \in \mathbb{Z}_K$, we certainly have $\mathbb{Z}[w] \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_K$. Now assume that $x = \sum_{i=0}^{q-2} a_i w^i \in \mathbb{Z}_K$. By the previous two parts, $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all i , so $x \in \mathbb{Z}[w]$. Thus $\mathbb{Z}_K \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[w]$, so equality holds.

3. In this exercise, we show that a naive adaptation of the previous argument can not work when q has more than one prime factor. Let $q \geq 1$ be an integer which is not a prime power (so it has at least two different prime factors), let $\omega = e^{2i\pi/q}$ and $K = \mathbb{Q}(\omega)$.

1. Let X_q be the set of integers a with $1 \leq a \leq q-1$ such that the order of ω^a in \mathbb{C}^\times is not a prime power. Show that

$$\prod_{a \in X_q} (1 - \omega^a) = 1.$$

(Hint: use the formula from Question 1 of Exercise 1 for q and for p^v -th roots of unity, where v is the p -adic valuation of q .) **Solution:** Let v_p be the p -adic valuation of q . The elements w^a such that the order of w^a in \mathbb{C}^\times is a power of p are precisely the p^{v_p} -th roots of unity. By Exercise 1.1, these satisfy

$$\prod_{b=1}^{p^{v_p}-1} (1 - e^{2\pi i b/p^{v_p}}) = p^{v_p}.$$

Then once more by Exercise 1.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} q &= \prod_{a=1}^q (1 - w^a) \\ &= \prod_{\substack{p|q \\ \text{prime}}} \left(\prod_{\substack{a=1 \\ \text{ord}(w^a)|p^{v_p}}}^q (1 - w^a) \right) \times \prod_{a \in X_q} (1 - w^a) \\ &= \prod_{\substack{p|q \\ \text{prime}}} p^{v_p} \times \prod_{a \in X_q} (1 - w^a) \\ &= q \prod_{a \in X_q} (1 - w^a). \end{aligned}$$

By cancelling the qs on both sides of this identity we get the desired result.

2. Deduce that $1 - \omega$ is a unit in \mathbb{Z}_K (in contrast with Question 2 of Exercise 2).

Solution: The element w itself has order q , which by assumption is not a prime power. Thus $(1 - w) \mid \prod_{a \in X_q} (1 - w^a) = 1$, so $1 - w$ is a unit in \mathbb{Z}_K with inverse $\prod_{\substack{a \in X_q \\ a \neq 1}} (1 - w^a)$.

4. Let K be a number field with $[K : \mathbb{Q}] \geq 2$. Let p be a prime number. The goal of this exercise is to give many examples of rings related to \mathbb{Z}_K but which are not Dedekind domains, and to show this failure explicitly.

Let p be a prime number, and define $A = \mathbb{Z} + p\mathbb{Z}_K \subset \mathbb{Z}_K$. Let

$$\mathfrak{q} = pA \subset A, \quad \mathfrak{p} = p\mathbb{Z}_K.$$

1. Show that there is a \mathbb{Z} -basis $(\omega_i)_{1 \leq i \leq [K:\mathbb{Q}]}$ of \mathbb{Z}_K such that $\omega_1 = 1$.

Solution: This can be done in several ways, but consider the \mathbb{Z} -module quotient \mathbb{Z}_K/\mathbb{Z} . Let $x \in \mathbb{Z}_K \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ have image $\bar{x} \neq 0 \in \mathbb{Z}_K/\mathbb{Z}$.

Assume by contradiction that \bar{x} is a torsion element of minimal order m in \mathbb{Z}_K/\mathbb{Z} ; that is, with $m\bar{x} = 0 \in \mathbb{Z}_K/\mathbb{Z}$. Then there exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $mx = n$. Note that m and n are relatively prime, since $\frac{m}{\gcd(m,n)}x \in \mathbb{Z}$ as well.

Thus there exist integers a and b with $am + bn = 1$, which implies that $bmx = bn = (1 - am)$, so that $m(bx + a) = 1$. Thus $bx + a = 1/m \in \mathbb{Z}_K$, so since $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ we must have $m = \pm 1$, and thus $\bar{x} = 0 \in \mathbb{Z}_K/\mathbb{Z}$, a contradiction.

We have shown in particular that \mathbb{Z}_K/\mathbb{Z} has no torsion, so it must be a free \mathbb{Z} -module with \mathbb{Z} -basis $\{\omega_2, \dots, \omega_n\}$. Then $\{1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n\}$ is a \mathbb{Z} -basis of \mathbb{Z}_K , and $n = [K : \mathbb{Q}]$.

2. Show that A is a subring of \mathbb{Z}_K and that \mathfrak{p} is an ideal in A and also in \mathbb{Z}_K such that $\mathfrak{q} \subset \mathfrak{p} \subset A$. Show also that $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{q}\mathbb{Z}_K$ (i.e., the \mathbb{Z}_K -ideal generated by \mathfrak{q} is equal to \mathfrak{p}).

Solution: The set A is certainly closed under addition and additive inverses; it suffices to show that it is closed under multiplication. Let $(n_1 + px_1), (n_2 + px_2)$ be two elements of A with $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_K$. Then $(n_1 + px_1)(n_2 + px_2) = n_1n_2 + p(n_1x_2 + n_2x_1 + px_1x_2)$. Since $n_1n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n_1x_2 + n_2x_1 + px_1x_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_K$, the product is also in A .

It is immediate that $\mathfrak{q} \subset \mathfrak{p} \subset A$ and that \mathfrak{p} is an ideal in \mathbb{Z}_K . Since $A \subset \mathbb{Z}_K$, the product of any element of \mathfrak{p} and any element of A remains in \mathfrak{p} . Thus $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$ is also an ideal.

We have that $\mathfrak{q}\mathbb{Z}_K = pA\mathbb{Z}_K \subset p\mathbb{Z}_K = \mathfrak{p}$; it remains to show the other inclusion. But $p \in \mathfrak{q}$ since $1 \in A$, so $\mathfrak{p} = p\mathbb{Z}_K \subset \mathfrak{q}\mathbb{Z}_K$, as desired.

3. Prove that

$$|\mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{p}^2| = p, \quad |\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{q}| = p^{[K:\mathbb{Q}]-1}, \quad |A/\mathfrak{p}| = p, \quad |\mathbb{Z}_K/A| = p^{n-1}.$$

(Hint: find \mathbb{Z} -bases of these various abelian groups in terms of the basis of question 1.)

In particular, note that $|A/\mathfrak{p}^2| \neq |A/\mathfrak{p}|^2$.

Solution: Consider the \mathbb{Z} -basis w_1, \dots, w_n of \mathbb{Z}_K with $n = [K : \mathbb{Q}]$ and $w_1 = 1$. Then A has \mathbb{Z} -basis $\{w_1, pw_2, \dots, pw_n\}$, whereas \mathfrak{p} has \mathbb{Z} -basis $\{pw_1, \dots, pw_n\}$, \mathfrak{p}^2 has \mathbb{Z} -basis $\{p^2w_1, \dots, p^2w_n\}$, and \mathfrak{q} has \mathbb{Z} -basis $\{pw_1, p^2w_2, \dots, p^2w_n\}$.

The quotient $\mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{p}^2$ is thus generated by pw_1 , which is an element of order p , so that $|\mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{p}^2| = p$. The quotient $\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{q}$ is generated by $\{pw_2, \dots, pw_n\}$, where every element has additive order p , and thus $|\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{q}| = p^{n-1}$. The quotient A/\mathfrak{p} is generated by $w_1 = 1$, which has order p , so $|A/\mathfrak{p}| = p$. Finally the quotient \mathbb{Z}_K/A is generated by $\{w_2, \dots, w_n\}$, each of order p , so that $|\mathbb{Z}_K/A| = p^{n-1}$.

Notably,

$$|A/\mathfrak{p}^2| = |A/\mathfrak{p}| \cdot |\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{q}| \cdot |\mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{p}^2| = p^{n+1},$$

whereas $|A/\mathfrak{p}|^2 = p^2$.

4. Show that \mathfrak{p} is a prime ideal in A . Show that if $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_k$ are prime ideals of A such that $\mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_k$, then $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_j$ for some j . (Hint: the last property is a general fact about prime ideals in a commutative ring.)

Solution: Note that $|A/\mathfrak{p}| = p$, so in fact we must have $|A/\mathfrak{p}| \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. This is an integral domain, so \mathfrak{p} is prime.

Let $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_k$ be prime ideals of A and assume that $\mathfrak{p}|\mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_k$, or equivalently that $\mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_k = \mathfrak{p}r$ for some ideal $r \subset A$. Then $\mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_k \subset \mathfrak{p}$. Assume by contradiction that for all j , $\mathfrak{p} \not\subset \mathfrak{p}_j$. Then for each j there exists $a_j \in \mathfrak{p}_j$ with $a_j \notin \mathfrak{p}$. However, by assumption $a = a_1 \cdots a_k \in \mathfrak{p}$, which contradicts the primality of \mathfrak{p} .

It remains to show that \mathfrak{p}_j is maximal, which implies that $\mathfrak{p}_j = \mathfrak{p}$. Assume not. If $a \in \mathfrak{p}_j \cap \mathbb{Z}$, then $aA \subset \mathfrak{p}_j A \subset A$, and both aA and A have rank n as \mathbb{Z} -modules. Thus A/\mathfrak{p}_j is finite, and since it is a finite integral domain it must be a field, so \mathfrak{p}_j is maximal, and so $\mathfrak{p}_j = \mathfrak{p}$ as desired.

5. Show that

$$\{x \in K \mid x\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{p}\} = \mathbb{Z}_K,$$

and deduce that $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$ is *not* principal as an ideal of A (although it is principal as an ideal of \mathbb{Z}_K).

Solution: Let $x \in K$ with $x\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{p}$. Write $x = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i w_i$ using the basis $(w_i)_i$ from part 1, and note that the elements of \mathbb{Z}_K are precisely those with all $x_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, and the elements of $\mathfrak{p}\mathbb{Z}_K$ are precisely those with all $x_i \in \mathfrak{p}\mathbb{Z}$.

If $x \notin \mathbb{Z}_K$, then some $x_i \notin \mathbb{Z}$, so then $px_i \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Thus $px \notin \mathfrak{p}$, so $x\mathfrak{p} \not\subset \mathfrak{p}$. On the other hand $\mathfrak{p}\mathbb{Z}_K \subset \mathbb{Z}_K$ is an ideal, so for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}_K$, $x\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{p}$.

Assume by contradiction that $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$ is principal, and let $a \in A$ be such that $\mathfrak{p} = aA$. Define $\tilde{\mathfrak{p}} := a^{-1}\mathfrak{p}$, so that $\mathfrak{p}\tilde{\mathfrak{p}} = A$. But then

$$\begin{aligned} x\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{p} &\Leftrightarrow x\mathfrak{p}\tilde{\mathfrak{p}} \subset \mathfrak{p}\tilde{\mathfrak{p}} \\ &\Leftrightarrow xA \in A \\ &\Leftrightarrow x \in A. \end{aligned}$$

But then we have shown that $\mathbb{Z}_K \subset A$, a contradiction.

6. Show that $\mathfrak{q}\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}^2$.

Solution: First note that $\mathfrak{p}^2 = \mathfrak{p}^2\mathbb{Z}_K$. Since $p \in \mathfrak{q}$, $\mathfrak{q}\mathfrak{p} \supset \mathfrak{p}^2\mathbb{Z}_K = \mathfrak{p}^2$. Since $\mathfrak{q} \subset \mathfrak{p}$, we have $\mathfrak{q}\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{p}^2$, so equality holds.

7. Show that \mathfrak{q} is an ideal of A which is *not* the product of prime ideals of A . (Hint: assuming that \mathfrak{q} is a product of primes, show that we would have necessarily $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{p}^k$ for some integer $k \geq 1$; show using the previous results that this is not the case.)

Solution: Assume by contradiction that $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_k$ for prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_k$. Then $\mathfrak{p}^2 = \mathfrak{q}\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_k \mathfrak{p}$. Thus for each j , $\mathfrak{p}_j | \mathfrak{p}^2$ by part (4), so for each j , $\mathfrak{p}_j = \mathfrak{p}$. Thus $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{p}^k$ for some $k \geq 1$. Since $|\mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{p}| \neq 1$, we cannot have $k = 1$. But if $k \geq 2$ then we must have $|\mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{p}^2| = 1$, which is also false. Thus we have reached a contradiction, so \mathfrak{q} is not the product of prime ideals of A .

Due date: 28.10.2024