

# Solutions Exercise Sheet 1

---

1. Let  $G = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ . The aim of this exercise is to show that  $G_{\mathbb{Z}} = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  is a lattice in  $G$ .

(a) Argue that  $G_{\mathbb{Z}}$  is discrete in  $G$  and that both  $G$  and  $G_{\mathbb{Z}}$  are unimodular.

**Solution.**  $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$  is contained in  $\mathbb{Z}^{n \times n}$ , which is a discrete subset of  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ; it follows that  $G_{\mathbb{Z}}$  is discrete in  $G$  by restriction from  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  to  $G$ . In addition,  $G_{\mathbb{Z}}$  is unimodular since it is discrete (and so the Haar measure is the counting measure). For  $G$  we recall the fact that  $|\det(x_{ij})|^{-1} dx_{11} \cdots dx_{nn}$  is the bi-invariant Haar measure in  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$  and that  $G$  is a closed normal subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$  (see the Lie Groups lecture notes, Proposition 2.3, on page 28).

From this we know that  $G/G_{\mathbb{Z}}$  admits a nonzero  $G$ -invariant measure  $\mu$  which is unique up to a non-zero constant. In order to show that  $G_{\mathbb{Z}}$  is a lattice we have to show that  $\mu(G/G_{\mathbb{Z}}) < \infty$ . For this, we will use the following fact:

(b) Assume that there exists a measurable set  $A \subseteq G$  of finite measure such that every  $G_{\mathbb{Z}}$ -orbit intersects  $A$  (that is, for every  $g \in G$  there exists some  $\gamma \in G_{\mathbb{Z}}$  such that  $g\gamma \in A$ ). Show that  $\mu(G/G_{\mathbb{Z}})$  is finite.

**Solution.** Recall Weil's formula (Lie Groups notes, Theorem 2.4 on page 34) which for the (integrable) characteristic function  $\chi_A$  of  $A$  in  $G$  states that

$$\mu(A) = \int_G \chi_A(g) dg = \int_{G/G_{\mathbb{Z}}} \left( \int_{G_{\mathbb{Z}}} \chi_A(\gamma h) dh \right) d(\gamma G_{\mathbb{Z}})$$

By the assumption the inner integral is always greater than some absolute constant depending only on the Haar measure of  $G_{\mathbb{Z}}$ , and so we infer that  $\mu(A) \geq c\mu(G/G_{\mathbb{Z}})$ .

(c) Show that the map sending

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \text{ to } z \mapsto g \cdot z := \frac{az + b}{cz + d}$$

is a group homomorphism  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Bih}(\mathbb{H}^2)$ , where  $\mathrm{Bih}(\mathbb{H}^2)$  denotes the biholomorphic maps of the complex upper half plane  $\mathbb{H}^2 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \mathrm{Im}(z) > 0\}$ . Show that its kernel is  $\{\pm I\}$  where  $I$  denotes as usual the  $2 \times 2$  identity matrix.

These maps are known as *Möbius transformations*.

**Solution.** Define the automorphy factor  $j : \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by

$$j(\gamma, z) = (cz + d) \quad \text{where } \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

For all  $z \in \mathbb{H}$  one has the trivial matrix relations

$$\gamma \begin{pmatrix} z \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} az + b \\ cz + d \end{pmatrix} = j(\gamma, z) \begin{pmatrix} \gamma z \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Given  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  one now computes  $\alpha\beta \begin{pmatrix} z \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  in two different ways: this yields both

$$\alpha\beta \begin{pmatrix} z \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = j(\alpha\beta, z) \begin{pmatrix} (\alpha\beta)z \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \& \quad \alpha\beta \begin{pmatrix} z \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = j(\alpha, \beta z) j(\beta, z) \begin{pmatrix} \alpha(\beta z) \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

It follows that we have the automorphy relation  $j(\alpha\beta, z) = j(\alpha, \beta z) j(\beta, z)$  (also commonly referred to as the *cocycle condition*) and furthermore that  $(\alpha\beta)z = \alpha(\beta z)$ . Hence  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Bih}(\mathbb{H}^2)$  is indeed a homomorphism, the remaining assertions are easy to verify.

(d) Prove that the induced homomorphism

$$\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R}) = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) / \{\pm I\} \rightarrow \mathrm{Bih}(\mathbb{H}^2)$$

of (c) is actually an isomorphism. For the action of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$  on  $\mathbb{H}^2$  from above determine the orbit  $Gi$  and stabilizer  $K$  of  $i \in \mathbb{H}^2$ . (Show also that  $K$  is compact.) Using this, show that we have a diffeomorphism

$$G/K \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^2, \quad g \longmapsto g \cdot i.$$

**Solution.** It suffices to show that any biholomorphism of  $\mathbb{H}^2$  is actually a Möbius map. Note that the Cayley transform  $\varphi : z \mapsto \frac{z-i}{z+i}$  maps the upper-half plane  $\mathbb{H}^2$  biholomorphically to the (open) unit disc  $\mathbb{D}$ , and so we have an isomorphism  $\mathrm{Bih}(\mathbb{H}^2) \rightarrow \mathrm{Bih}(\mathbb{D}^2) : \psi \mapsto \varphi \circ \psi \circ \varphi^{-1}$ .

As such, we identify the  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  action on  $\mathbb{H}^2$  with the  $\mathrm{SU}_{1,1}(\mathbb{C})$  action on  $\mathbb{D}$ , and so it suffices to show that the latter is the group of biholomorphisms of  $\mathbb{D}$ . The  $\mathrm{SU}_{1,1}(\mathbb{C})$ -action is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ \bar{b} & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix} \cdot z = \frac{az + b}{\bar{b}z + \bar{a}} \quad (\text{where } |a|^2 - |b|^2 = 1)$$

(You should verify this carefully!)

Now let  $\varphi$  be an arbitrary biholomorphism of  $\mathbb{D}$ , let  $b = -\varphi(0)$  and set

$$\psi = \frac{1}{1 + |b|^2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ \bar{b} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \circ \varphi$$

This is a biholomorphism of  $\mathbb{D}$  which fixes 0. The classical Schwarz lemma tells us that  $\psi(z) = e^{i\theta}z$  for some  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ , which implies that in fact  $\varphi \in \text{SU}_{1,1}(\mathbb{C})$  whence the result.

To see that the action is transitive note that

$$\begin{pmatrix} y^{1/2} & xy^{-1/2} \\ 0 & y^{-1/2} \end{pmatrix} \cdot i = x + iy$$

for any  $x + iy \in \mathbb{H}^2$ . Furthermore,

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \cdot i = i \iff \frac{ai + b}{ci + d} = i \iff ai + b = -c + id$$

Taking real and imaginary parts, one deduces that the stabiliser of  $i$  is  $\text{SO}(2, \mathbb{R})$ . That  $G/K \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^2$  is a diffeomorphism follows from standard arguments in Differential Geometry, see Helgason II.3.2 and II.4.3(a).

(e) Set  $K = \text{SO}_2(\mathbb{R})$ ,

$$P = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a > 0 \right\},$$

$$A = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} y^{1/2} & 0 \\ 0 & y^{-1/2} \end{pmatrix} \mid y \in \mathbb{R}^+ \right\}, \text{ and}$$

$$N = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid x \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

Prove the Iwasawa decomposition, i.e. show that

$$P \times K \longrightarrow G, (p, k) \longmapsto pk$$

and

$$N \times A \longrightarrow P, (n, a) \longmapsto na$$

are diffeomorphisms. Are these also Lie group isomorphisms?

Show that  $P$  is a semidirect product  $N \rtimes A$  and that we have the diffeomorphism  $N \times A \cong \mathbb{H}^2$ .

*This decomposition is known as the **Iwasawa decomposition**.*

**Solution.** It is easy to verify that  $P \cap K = N \cap A = \{\text{Id}\}$ , and so the maps  $P \times K \rightarrow G$  and  $N \times A \rightarrow P$  are injective.

Consider now a matrix  $g \in G$ , we can consider it as determining a basis  $g_i = g \cdot e_i$ . Apply the Gram-Schmidt algorithm for the usual inner product on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  to find a matrix  $p \in P$  such that  $p^{-1}g \in K$ , a simple argument shows that  $N \times A \rightarrow P$  is surjective. Neither of these maps are a homomorphism, however since  $NA = P$ ,

$N \cap A = \{1\}$  and  $N \triangleleft P$  one has  $P = N \rtimes A$ .

*Caveat: Showing that a differentiable map is bijective does not suffice to prove that it is a diffeomorphism, however the Gram-Schmidt algorithm provides us directly with a differentiable inverse.*

Finally  $\mathbb{H}^2 \cong G/K \cong (N \times A \times K)/K \cong N \times A$ .

- (f) Prove that  $K$  is unimodular by showing that  $d\mu_{\mathbb{H}^2} = y^{-2}dxdy$ ,  $z = x+iy$ , is a  $G$ -invariant volume form on the  $G$ -homogeneous space  $\mathbb{H}^2$ .

**Solution.** The derivative of  $f_g : z \mapsto \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$  is

$$\frac{a(cz+d) - c(az+d)}{(cz+d)^2} = (cz+d)^{-2}$$

Write  $\operatorname{Re}(f_g) = u_g$  and  $\operatorname{Im}(f_g) = v_g$ . The Cauchy-Riemann equations imply that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial u_g}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial u_g}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial v_g}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial v_g}{\partial y} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{Re}(cz+d)^{-2} & -\operatorname{Im}(cz+d)^{-2} \\ \operatorname{Im}(cz+d)^{-2} & \operatorname{Re}(cz+d)^{-2} \end{pmatrix}$$

and the determinant  $\Delta$  of this matrix is  $|cz+d|^{-4}$ . Now we calculate

$$g^*(dxdy) = \Delta dxdy = |cz+d|^{-4} \quad \text{and} \quad g^*(y^{-2}) = \operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{az+b}{cz+d}\right)^{-2} = y^{-2}|cz+d|^{-4}$$

and therefore  $g_{\mathbb{H}^2}^\mu = \mu_{\mathbb{H}^2}$  and the assertion follows from Weil's formula.

- (g) Let

$$\mathcal{F} := \{z \in \mathbb{H}^2 \mid (|z| > 1 \text{ and } -1/2 \leq \operatorname{Re}(z) < 1/2) \text{ or } (|z| = 1 \text{ and } -1/2 \leq \operatorname{Re}(z) \leq 0)\}.$$

Show that for all  $z \in \mathbb{H}^2$  the orbit  $G_{\mathbb{Z}}z$  intersects  $\mathcal{F}$  in a unique point.

Hint: For every  $G_{\mathbb{Z}}$ -orbit  $G_{\mathbb{Z}}z$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{H}^2$ , consider  $w \in G_{\mathbb{Z}}z$  with maximal imaginary part.

**Solution.** Note that

$$\operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{az+b}{cz+d}\right) = \frac{\operatorname{Im}(z)}{|cz+d|^2} \begin{cases} = \operatorname{Im}(z)|d|^{-2} & \text{if } c = 0 \\ \leq \operatorname{Im}(z)|c|^{-2} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Hence given some  $z \in \mathbb{H}^2$  the function  $G_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathbb{H} : \gamma \mapsto \operatorname{Im}(\gamma z)$  obtains a maximum, say at  $w = \gamma_0 z$ . Since  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  acts by translations  $z \mapsto z + 1$  we may assume that  $-1/2 \leq \operatorname{Re}(w) < 1/2$ . In addition since  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  acts as inversion  $z \mapsto z^{-1}$  and  $\operatorname{Im}(-1/w) = \operatorname{Im}(w)|w|^{-2}$  one clearly has  $|w| \geq 1$ .

It remains to show that we may impose  $-1/2 \leq \operatorname{Re}(w) \leq 0$  if  $|w| = 1$  and this follows from considering  $z \mapsto -1/z$  again.

Thus each orbit  $G_{\mathbb{Z}} \cdot z$  intersects  $\mathcal{F}$ , to show it is a fundamental domain it remains to show that  $z, \gamma z \in \mathcal{F}$  implies  $z = \gamma z$  — this follows by similar considerations to

the above, and is left to the reader.

- (h) Show that the volume of  $\mathcal{F}$  with respect to  $\mu_{\mathbb{H}^2}$  is  $\pi/3$ . Deduce that  $\mu(G/G_{\mathbb{Z}}) < \infty$ .

**Solution.** We can calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{F}} d\mu_{\mathbb{H}^2} &= \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \int_{\sqrt{1-x^2}}^{\infty} y^{-2} dx \\ &= \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} -y^{-1} \Big|_{y=\sqrt{1-x^2}}^{y=\infty} dy dx \\ &= \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} (1-x^2)^{-1/2} dx \\ &= \arcsin(x) \Big|_{x=-1/2}^{x=1/2} = \pi/3. \end{aligned}$$

The second assertion follows from part (b) applied to  $A = \mathcal{F}$ .

2. Consider the hyperbolic  $n$ -space

$$\mathbb{H}^n = \{p \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : b(p, p) = -1 \text{ and } p_{n+1} > 0\}$$

defined by the bilinear form  $b(p, q) = p_1q_1 + \dots + p_nq_n - p_{n+1}q_{n+1}$ . The tangent space at a point  $p \in \mathbb{H}^n$  is defined as

$$T_p\mathbb{H}^n = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : \begin{array}{l} \text{There exists a smooth path } \gamma : (-1, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^n \\ \text{such that } \gamma(0) = p \text{ and } \dot{\gamma}(0) = x \end{array} \right\}.$$

- (a) Show that  $T_p\mathbb{H}^n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : b(p, x) = 0\}$ .

**Solution.** Consider any  $x \in T_p\mathbb{H}^n$ , and let  $\gamma : (-1, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^n$  be a smooth path such that  $\gamma(0) = p$  and  $\dot{\gamma}(0) = x$ . For every  $t \in (-1, 1)$ ,  $b(\gamma(t), \gamma(t)) = -1$ , since  $\gamma$  takes values in  $\mathbb{H}^n$ . We write  $\gamma(t) = (\gamma_1(t), \dots, \gamma_{n+1}(t))$ .

Taking the derivative we see that

$$0 = \frac{d}{dt} b(\gamma(t), \gamma(t)) = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i(t)^2 - \gamma_{n+1}(t)^2 \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n 2\gamma_i(t)\dot{\gamma}_i(t) - 2\gamma_{n+1}(t)\dot{\gamma}_{n+1}(t)$$

and at  $t = 0$  this is

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i(0)\dot{\gamma}_i(0) - \gamma_{n+1}(0)\dot{\gamma}_{n+1}(0) = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i - p_{n+1} \cdot x_{n+1} = b(p, x).$$

Thus we have shown that  $T_p\mathbb{H}^n \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : b(p, x) = 0\}$ , but since  $\dim T_p\mathbb{H}^n = n$  we must have equality.

- (b) Show that  $g_p = b|_{T_p\mathbb{H}^n} : T_p\mathbb{H}^n \times T_p\mathbb{H}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a positive definite symmetric bilinear form on  $T_p\mathbb{H}^n$  (this means that  $g_p$  is a scalar product, and  $(\mathbb{H}^n, g)$  is a Riemannian manifold). *Hint: Use (a) and the Cauchy-Schwarz-inequality on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .*

**Solution.** Bilinearity and symmetry  $b(x, y) = b(y, x)$  follow directly, so we just need to show positive definiteness. Using (a) we can write any  $p \in \mathbb{H}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$  and  $x \in T_p\mathbb{H}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$  as

$$p = \left( p', \sqrt{|p'|^2 + 1} \right) \in \mathbb{H}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$$

$$x = \left( x', \frac{\langle p', x' \rangle}{\sqrt{|p'|^2 + 1}} \right) \in T_p\mathbb{H}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is the standard scalar product in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . To show positive definiteness it remains to prove that for all  $x \in T_p\mathbb{H}^n$

$$b(x, x) \geq 0.$$

with equality if and only if  $x = 0$ . Indeed, by the Cauchy-Schwarz-inequality

$$\langle p', x' \rangle^2 \leq |p'|^2 |x'|^2 \leq |p'|^2 |x'|^2 + |x'|^2 = (|p'|^2 + 1) |x'|^2$$

and thus

$$|x'|^2 \geq \frac{\langle p', x' \rangle^2}{|p'|^2 + 1}$$

and so

$$b(x, x) = |x'|^2 - \frac{\langle p', x' \rangle^2}{|p'|^2 + 1} \geq 0$$

with equality if and only if  $x' = 0$  (which forces  $x = 0$ ).

- (c) Show that the map  $s_p: \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}, q \mapsto -2p \cdot b(p, q) - q$  defines a well defined geodesic symmetry of  $\mathbb{H}^n$  (that is, it is an involution with an isolated fixed point  $p$ ). This means that the hyperbolic plane  $\mathbb{H}^n$  is a Riemannian (globally) symmetric space.

**Solution.** To see firstly that  $s_p$  is well-defined we write as before

$$p = \left( p', \sqrt{|p'|^2 + 1} \right), \quad q = \left( q', \sqrt{|q'|^2 + 1} \right) \in \mathbb{H}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}.$$

and so in particular

$$b(p, q) = \langle p', q' \rangle - \sqrt{|p'|^2 + 1} \sqrt{|q'|^2 + 1}$$

By using the definition we have that

$$\begin{aligned} s_p(q) &= -2p \cdot b(p, q) - q \\ &= \left( -2p' \cdot b(p, q) - q', -2\sqrt{|p'|^2 + 1} \cdot b(p, q) - \sqrt{|q'|^2 + 1} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and so we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
b(s_p(q), s_p(q)) &= 4|p'|^2 b(p, q)^2 + 4\langle p', q' \rangle b(p, q) + |q'|^2 \\
&\quad - \left( 4(|p'|^2 + 1)b(p, q)^2 + 4\sqrt{|p'|^2 + 1}\sqrt{|q'|^2 + 1} \cdot b(p, q) + |q'|^2 - 1 \right) \\
&= 4\langle p', q' \rangle b(p, q) - 4b(p, q)^2 - 4\sqrt{|p'|^2 + 1}\sqrt{|q'|^2 + 1} \cdot b(p, q) - 1 \\
&= 4b(p, q)b(p, q) - 4b(p, q)^2 - 1 = -1
\end{aligned}$$

So indeed  $s_p(q) \in \mathbb{H}^n$  as required.

Note also that  $s_p(p) = -2p(-1) - p = p$  is a fixed point. Next we show that  $s_p$  is an isometry, so we need to look at the differential

$$d_p s_p: T_p M \rightarrow T_{s_p(p)} M = T_p M.$$

If we write the points  $q, p \in \mathbb{H}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  in the standard basis  $\{e_i\}_i$ , we get the partial derivatives

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} b(p, \cdot) &= \begin{cases} p_i & \text{if } i \leq n \\ -p_{n+1} & \text{if } i = n+1 \end{cases} \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} s_p &= \begin{cases} -2p \cdot p_i - e_i & \text{if } i \leq n \\ 2p \cdot p_{n+1} - e_{n+1} & \text{if } i = n+1 \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

and thus for  $v \in T_p M$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(d_p s_p)v &= \begin{pmatrix} -2p_1^2 - 1 & -2p_1 p_2 & \cdots & 2p_1 p_{n+1} \\ -2p_2 p_1 & -2p_2^2 - 1 & \cdots & 2p_2 p_{n+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -2p_{n+1} p_1 & -2p_{n+1} p_2 & \cdots & 2p_{n+1}^2 - 1 \end{pmatrix} v \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} -2p_1^2 v_1 - 2p_1 p_2 v_2 - \cdots + 2p_1 p_{n+1} v_{n+1} \\ -2p_2 p_1 v_1 - 2p_2^2 v_2 - \cdots + 2p_2 p_{n+1} v_{n+1} \\ \vdots \\ -2p_{n+1} p_1 v_1 - 2p_{n+1} p_2 v_2 - \cdots + 2p_{n+1}^2 v_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} - v \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} -2b(p, v)p_1 \\ -2b(p, v)p_2 \\ \vdots \\ -2b(p, v)p_n \end{pmatrix} - v = -v
\end{aligned}$$

where we used that  $b(p, v) = 0$  from part (a). Using linearity we have that

$$g_{s_p(p)}((d_p s_p)v, (d_p s_p)w) = g_p(-v, -w) = g_p(v, w),$$

so  $s_p$  is an isometry.

Now let us argue why  $p$  is an isolated fixed point, indeed suppose that  $s_p(q) = q$  for some  $q \in \mathbb{H}^n$ . Then  $-2p \cdot b(p, q) - q = q$ , so  $q = -b(p, q)p$ , and in particular  $q = \lambda p$  for  $\lambda$  some constant. Then  $-1 = b(q, q) = b(\lambda p, \lambda p) = \lambda^2 b(p, p) = -\lambda^2$  and so  $\lambda = \pm 1$ .  $\lambda = -1$  corresponds to  $q_{n+1} < 0$ , which is excluded since  $\mathbb{H}^n$  is only the upper sheet of the hyperboloid, and so  $q = p$  and  $s_p$  has an isolated fixed point.

By lemma II.5 of the lecture,  $d_p s_p = -\text{Id}_{T_p \mathbb{H}^n}$  is equivalent to  $s_p \circ s_p = \text{Id}_{\mathbb{H}^n}$ , and so we are done. Alternatively we can calculate

$$\begin{aligned} s_p \circ s_p(q) &= s_p(-2p \cdot b(p, q) - q) \\ &= -2p \cdot b(p, -2p \cdot b(p, q) - q) - (-2p \cdot b(p, q) - q) \\ &= 4p \cdot b(p, q)b(p, p) + 2p \cdot b(p, q) + 2p \cdot b(p, q) + q = q \end{aligned}$$

3. Show that  $A \mapsto gAg^t$  defines a group action of  $\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{R}) \ni g$  on

$$\mathcal{P}^1(n) = \{A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R}) : A = A^t, \det A = 1, A \gg 0\}.$$

Show that this action is transitive (that is,  $\forall A, B \in \mathcal{P}^1(n)$  there exists some  $g \in \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})$  such that  $gAg^t = B$ ).

*You may use the Linear Algebra fact that symmetric matrices are orthogonally diagonalisable (that is, if  $A = A^t$ , then  $\exists Q \in \text{SO}(n, \mathbb{R})$  such that  $QAQ^t$  is diagonal).*

**Solution.** We write the group action as  $g.A = gAg^t$ . We first need to show that the action is well defined.

- (*Symmetry*)  $(g \cdot A)^T = (gAg^t)^T = gA^t g^T = gAg^t = g \cdot A$ ;
- (*Determinant*)  $\det(g \cdot A) = \det(g)\det(A)\det(g^T) = \det(A) = 1$ ;
- (*Positive definite*) Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ , then

$$x^T(g \cdot A)x = x^T gAg^t x = (g^T x)^T A(g^T x) > 0$$

since  $g^T x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ .

We also note that it is a group action — clearly  $\text{Id} \cdot A = A$ , and  $(gh) \cdot A = ghAh^T g^T = g \cdot (h \cdot A)$ .

It remains to show that the action is transitive. Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{P}^1(n)$ . We can use linear algebra to get  $Q, R \in \text{SO}(n) < \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})$  such that  $Q.A$  and  $R.B$  are diagonal, have determinant 1 and are positive definite (by the well-definedness of the group action). Positive definiteness implies that all entries are non-negative. Then the matrix  $\Lambda = (Q \cdot A)(R \cdot B)^{-1}$  is also diagonal, has determinant 1 and positive elements on the diagonal. We can therefore take the component-wise square root  $\sqrt{\Lambda}$  of  $\Lambda$ .

Set  $g = Q^{-1}\sqrt{\Lambda}R \in \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})$  and use the fact that  $R.B$  commutes with  $\sqrt{\Lambda}$  since they



are diagonal to see that

$$\begin{aligned}g \cdot B &= Q^{-1} \sqrt{\Lambda} R \cdot B = Q^{-1} \cdot \left( \sqrt{\Lambda} (R \cdot B) \sqrt{\Lambda}^T \right) = Q^{-1} \cdot \left( \sqrt{\Lambda} \sqrt{\Lambda}^T R \cdot B \right) \\ &= Q^{-1} \cdot (\Lambda R \cdot B) = Q^{-1} \cdot ((Q \cdot A) (R \cdot B)^{-1} (R \cdot B)) = Q^{-1} \cdot Q \cdot A = A.\end{aligned}$$

this shows that from any point  $B \in \mathcal{P}^1(n)$  you can go to any point  $A \in \mathcal{P}^1(n)$  by the action of  $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})$  (the action is transitive).