

## Solution 6

### 1. Parallel Surfaces

Given an immersion  $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ , with Gauss map  $\nu: U \rightarrow S^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  we define  $f^\varepsilon: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  as

$$f^\varepsilon(x_1, x_2) := f(x_1, x_2) + \varepsilon \cdot \nu(x_1, x_2).$$

Assuming that  $f$  has constant mean curvature  $H \neq 0$  and non-vanishing Gauss curvature  $K \neq 0$ . Show that when  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2H}$ ,  $f^\varepsilon$  is an immersion and the Gauss curvature of  $f^\varepsilon$  is constant.

**Solution:**

Fix  $x \in U$  and choose an orthonormal basis  $(v_1, v_2)$  of  $(TU_x, g_x)$  consisting of eigenvectors of  $L_x$ . Since the Gauss curvature is invariant of reparametrization, we can assume without loss of generality that  $(v_1, v_2) = (e_1, e_2)$  at  $x$ . Then  $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$ ,  $h_{ij} = \kappa_i \delta_{ij}$ ,  $H = \frac{1}{2}(\kappa_1 + \kappa_2)$  and  $K = \kappa_1 \kappa_2$ , where all these relations hold at  $x$ . Moreover  $\nu_i = -\sum_{k=1}^2 h^k_i \cdot f_k = -\kappa_i \cdot f_i$  and  $\nu$  is a Gauss map for  $f^\varepsilon$  too.

It follows that, when evaluated in  $x \in U$ :

$$\begin{aligned} f_i^\varepsilon &= f_i + \varepsilon \cdot \nu_i = (1 - \varepsilon \kappa_i) \cdot f_i, \\ f_{ij}^\varepsilon &= (1 - \varepsilon \kappa_i) \cdot f_{ij} - \varepsilon \kappa_{i,j} \cdot f_i, \\ g_{ij}^\varepsilon &= \langle f_i^\varepsilon, f_j^\varepsilon \rangle = (1 - \varepsilon \kappa_i)(1 - \varepsilon \kappa_j) \delta_{ij}, \\ h_{ij}^\varepsilon &= \langle f_{ij}^\varepsilon, \nu \rangle = (1 - \varepsilon \kappa_i) \langle f_{ij}, \nu \rangle - \varepsilon \kappa_{i,j} \langle f_i, \nu \rangle = (1 - \varepsilon \kappa_i) \kappa_i \delta_{ij}. \end{aligned}$$

When  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2H}$ , suppose  $f^\varepsilon$  is not regular at  $x$ . Then  $0 = (1 - \varepsilon \kappa_1)(1 - \varepsilon \kappa_2) = 1 - 2H\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2 K(x) = \varepsilon^2 K(x)$ , contradiction arises since  $K(x) \neq 0$ . Finally we obtain

$$K^\varepsilon(x) = \frac{\det(h_{ij}^\varepsilon(x))}{\det(g_{ij}^\varepsilon(x))} = \frac{(1 - \varepsilon \kappa_1)(1 - \varepsilon \kappa_2) \kappa_1 \kappa_2}{(1 - \varepsilon \kappa_1)^2 (1 - \varepsilon \kappa_2)^2} = \frac{K(x)}{1 - \varepsilon 2H + \varepsilon^2 K(x)},$$

and for  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2H}$  is  $K^\varepsilon = 4H^2$  constant.

### 2. Asymptotic Curves

Let  $M \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  be a surface with  $K < 0$ . A curve  $c: I \rightarrow M$  is called an *asymptotic curve* of  $M$  if  $h_{c(t)}(\dot{c}(t), \dot{c}(t)) = 0$  for all  $t \in I$ . Prove that:

- a) One can find a local parametrization of  $M$  whose parameter lines are asymptotic curves (“parametrization by asymptotic curves”).
- b)  $M$  is a minimal surface if and only if the asymptotic curves of a) are orthogonal to each other in every point.

**Solution:**

a) Let  $p \in M$ ,  $f: U \rightarrow M$  be a local parametrization with  $f(0) = p$ ,  $g_{ij}(0) = \delta_{ij}$  and  $h_{ij}(0) = \kappa_i(0) \delta_{ij}$  as in Q1. We want to find vector fields  $X_i: U' \subset U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  around 0 with  $h_x(X_i(x), X_i(x)) = 0$  and

$X_1(x), X_2(x)$  linearly independent for  $x \in U'$ . For  $X_i := (u_i, 1)$  it follows

$$h(X_i, X_i) = h_{11}u_i^2 + 2h_{12}u_i + h_{22},$$

so

$$h(X_i, X_i) = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad u_i = \frac{\pm\sqrt{h_{12}^2 - h_{11}h_{22}} - h_{12}}{h_{11}}.$$

Since  $K(0) = h_{11}(0)h_{22}(0) < 0$ , it holds that  $h_{11} \neq 0$  and  $h_{12}^2 - h_{11}h_{22} > 0$  in a neighborhood  $U'$  of 0 and the vector fields  $X_1 = (u_1, 1), X_2 = (u_2, 1)$  with

$$u_1 = \frac{\sqrt{h_{12}^2 - h_{11}h_{22}} - h_{12}}{h_{11}} \quad \text{and} \quad u_2 = \frac{-\sqrt{h_{12}^2 - h_{11}h_{22}} - h_{12}}{h_{11}}$$

are well defined. Moreover  $u_1 \neq u_2$  and therefore  $X_1, X_2$  are linearly independent on  $U'$ . By Lemma A.5 there exists a diffeomorphism  $\varphi: \tilde{U} \rightarrow \varphi(\tilde{U}) \subset U'$  with

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x^i} = \lambda_i \cdot (X_i \circ \varphi)$$

in  $\tilde{U}$  and  $0 \in \varphi(\tilde{U})$ , where  $\lambda_i: \tilde{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . The map  $\tilde{f}: \tilde{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $\tilde{f} := f \circ \varphi$ , is a parametrization with the desired properties.

Indeed, let  $u_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  and consider the parameter curve  $\gamma: I \rightarrow M$ , with

$$\gamma(v) := \tilde{f}(u_0, v) = \tilde{f} \circ \alpha(v),$$

provided that  $\{u_0\} \times I \subset \tilde{U}$ , where  $\alpha(v) := (u_0, v)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\gamma}(v) &= (f \circ \varphi \circ \alpha)'(v) = df_{\varphi(\alpha(v))}(\varphi \circ \alpha)'(v) \\ &= df_{\varphi(u_0, v)} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial v}(u_0, v) = \lambda_2(u_0, v) \cdot df_{\varphi(u_0, v)}(X_2(\varphi(u_0, v))), \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\gamma(v)}(\dot{\gamma}(v), \dot{\gamma}(v)) &= \lambda_2(u_0, v)^2 \cdot h_{f(\varphi(u_0, v))} \left( df_{\varphi(u_0, v)}(X_2(\varphi(u_0, v))), df_{\varphi(u_0, v)}(X_2(\varphi(u_0, v))) \right) \\ &= \lambda_2(u_0, v)^2 \cdot h_{\varphi(u_0, v)} \left( X_2(\varphi(u_0, v)), X_2(\varphi(u_0, v)) \right) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$h_{\tilde{\gamma}(u)}(\dot{\tilde{\gamma}}(u), \dot{\tilde{\gamma}}(u)) = 0$$

if we consider  $\tilde{\gamma}(u) = \tilde{f}(u, v_0)$  for  $(u, v_0) \in \tilde{U}$ .

b) We choose a parametrization by asymptotic curves  $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ , which exists by a). Then  $h_{11} = h_{22} = 0$  and  $h_{12} \neq 0$  (because  $K \neq 0$ ).

For the mean curvature it holds that

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \text{trace}(h^i_j) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \sum_{i,j=1}^2 g^{ij} h_{ji} = \frac{1}{2} (g^{12} h_{21} + g^{21} h_{12}) = g^{12} h_{12}$$

and hence

$$H = 0 \Leftrightarrow g^{12} = 0 \Leftrightarrow g_{12} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle = 0,$$

which implies that  $M$  is a minimal surface if and only if the parameter lines are orthogonal.

### 3. Conjugate Minimal Surfaces

Let  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be an open set. Two isothermally parametrized minimal surfaces  $f, \tilde{f}: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  are called *conjugate* if  $f_1 = \tilde{f}_2$  and  $f_2 = -\tilde{f}_1$ .

a) Find isothermal parametrizations of the helicoid and the catenoid and show that they are conjugate.

b) Show that if  $f$  and  $\tilde{f}$  are conjugate then  $\{f^t: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  with

$$f^t(x) := \cos t \cdot f(x) + \sin t \cdot \tilde{f}(x)$$

is a family of isothermally parametrized minimal surfaces.

c) Show that the surfaces  $f^t$  are locally isometric to each other and find a Gauss map for  $f^t$ .

#### Solution:

a) The catenoid is given by

$$\hat{f}(x, y) = (\cosh y \cos x, \cosh y \sin x, y).$$

We substitute  $x \mapsto x + \frac{\pi}{2}$  and we obtain

$$f(x, y) = (\cosh y \sin x, -\cosh y \cos x, y).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(x, y) &= (\cosh y \cos x, \cosh y \sin x, 0), \\ f_2(x, y) &= (\sinh y \sin x, -\sinh y \cos x, 1). \end{aligned}$$

For the helicoid

$$\tilde{f}(x, y) = (\sinh y \cos x, \sinh y \sin x, x)$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}_1(x, y) &= (-\sinh y \sin x, \sinh y \cos x, 1), \\ \tilde{f}_2(x, y) &= (\cosh y \cos x, \cosh y \sin x, 0). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (g_{ij}) &= (\langle f_i, f_j \rangle) = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh^2 y & 0 \\ 0 & \sinh^2 y + 1 \end{pmatrix} = \cosh^2 y \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ (\tilde{g}_{ij}) &= (\langle \tilde{f}_i, \tilde{f}_j \rangle) = \begin{pmatrix} \sinh^2 y + 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \cosh^2 y \end{pmatrix} = \cosh^2 y \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Define  $\lambda(x, y) := \cosh y$ , then it holds  $g_{ij} = \tilde{g}_{ij} = \lambda^2 \delta_{ij}$ ,  $f_1 = \tilde{f}_2$  and  $f_2 = -\tilde{f}_1$ , from which we conclude that  $f$  and  $\tilde{f}$  are isothermal and conjugate.

Notice that by Proposition 5.7 two conjugate isothermally parametrized surfaces are minimal. Indeed  $f_{11} + f_{22} = \tilde{f}_{21} - \tilde{f}_{12} = 0$  and similarly  $\tilde{f}_{11} + \tilde{f}_{22} = 0$ .

b) We first show that  $f^t$  is an isothermal parametrization. Note that  $\langle f_1, f_1 \rangle = \langle \tilde{f}_2, \tilde{f}_2 \rangle$ , so we have  $\langle f_i, f_j \rangle = \langle \tilde{f}_i, \tilde{f}_j \rangle = \lambda^2 \delta_{ij}$  for some  $\lambda : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle f_1, \tilde{f}_1 \rangle + \langle f_1, \tilde{f}_1 \rangle &= -2 \cdot \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle = 0, \\ \langle f_2, \tilde{f}_2 \rangle + \langle f_2, \tilde{f}_2 \rangle &= 2 \cdot \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle = 0, \\ \langle f_1, \tilde{f}_2 \rangle + \langle f_2, \tilde{f}_1 \rangle &= \langle f_1, f_1 \rangle - \langle f_2, f_2 \rangle = \lambda - \lambda = 0,\end{aligned}$$

hence  $\langle f_i, \tilde{f}_j \rangle + \langle f_j, \tilde{f}_i \rangle = 0$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}g_{ij}^t &= \langle f_i^t, f_j^t \rangle = \cos^2 t \cdot \langle f_i, f_j \rangle + \cos t \sin t \cdot (\langle f_i, \tilde{f}_j \rangle + \langle f_j, \tilde{f}_i \rangle) + \sin^2 t \cdot \langle \tilde{f}_i, \tilde{f}_j \rangle \\ &= \lambda^2 (\cos^2 t + \sin^2 t) \delta_{ij} = \lambda^2 \delta_{ij},\end{aligned}$$

so we conclude  $f^t$  is isothermal. Moreover

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta f^t &= \cos t (f_{11} + f_{22}) + \sin t (\tilde{f}_{11} + \tilde{f}_{22}) \\ &= \cos t (\tilde{f}_{21} - \tilde{f}_{12}) + \sin t (-f_{21} + f_{12}) = 0\end{aligned}$$

and hence  $f^t$  is minimal by Proposition 5.7.

c) As computed in b), we have for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$g_{ij}^t = \lambda^2 \delta_{ij},$$

which is invariant of  $t$ , therefore the identity  $\text{id} : U \rightarrow U$  is an isometry between  $f^t$  for distinct  $t$ .

In order to find a Gauss map for  $f^t$  we compute

$$\begin{aligned}f_1^t \times f_2^t &= \cos^2 t (f_1 \times f_2) + \cos t \sin t (f_1 \times \tilde{f}_2 + \tilde{f}_1 \times f_2) + \sin^2 t (\tilde{f}_1 \times \tilde{f}_2) \\ &= \cos^2 t (f_1 \times f_2) + \cos t \sin t (f_1 \times f_1 - f_2 \times f_2) + \sin^2 t (-f_2 \times f_1) \\ &= f_1 \times f_2 \quad (= \tilde{f}_1 \times \tilde{f}_2),\end{aligned}$$

and therefore it holds that

$$\nu^t = \nu = \tilde{\nu} = \frac{f_1 \times f_2}{|f_1 \times f_2|}$$

for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .