

**PROBABILITY THEORY (D-MATH)**  
**EXERCISE SHEET 11 – SOLUTION**

**Exercise 1.** [R] Let  $(X_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be iid random variables in  $L^1$  and for  $n \geq 1$ , let

$$S_n = X_1 + \cdots + X_n.$$

Compute  $E(S_n|X_1)$  and  $E(X_1|S_n)$ .

*Solution.* First,  $S_n$  is in  $L^1$  being a finite sum of  $L^1$  random variables. We get

$$E(S_n|X_1) = E(X_1|X_1) + \cdots + E(X_n|X_1) = X_1 + E(X_2) + \cdots + E(X_n) = X_1 + (n-2)E(X_1).$$

Second, we claim that for all  $i, j \in [n]$ ,  $E(X_i|S_n) = E(X_j|S_n)$ , indeed, this follows from the fact that  $(X_i, S_n)$  and  $(X_j, S_n)$  have the same distribution and the definition of conditional expectation. So

$$nE(X_1|S_n) = E(X_1|S_n) + \cdots + E(X_n|S_n) = E(S_n|S_n) = S_n,$$

so  $E(X_1|S_n) = S_n/n$ .

**Exercise 2.** [R] Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space. Let  $\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{F}$  be sigma-algebras and let  $X$  be a random variable. Show that we need not have that

$$E(E(X|\mathcal{G})|\mathcal{H}) = E(X|\mathcal{G} \cap \mathcal{H}).$$

*Solution.*

Let  $\Omega = \{0, 1, 2\}$ ,  $\mathcal{F} = 2^\Omega$  and  $P$  be the uniform measure. Let  $X(\omega) = \omega$  and let

$$\mathcal{G} = \sigma(1_{X=2}) = \{\Omega, \emptyset, \{2\}, \{0, 1\}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H} = \sigma(1_{X=0}) = \{\Omega, \emptyset, \{0\}, \{1, 2\}\}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{G} \cap \mathcal{H} = \{\Omega, \emptyset\}$ , so

$$E(X|\mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{G}) = E(X) = 1.$$

Now, one can compute

$$Y = E(X|\mathcal{H}) = (31_{X=2} + 1)/2$$

and so then we get  $E(Y|1_{X=0} = 1) = 1/2$  and  $E(Y|1_{X=0} = 0) = 1/4 + 1/2 = 3/4$ . So  $E(Y|\mathcal{G})$  is not the same random variable as  $E(X)$ .

**Exercise 3.** [R] Let  $(X_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be iid random variables taking values in  $\{+1, -1\}$  with  $P(X_1 = 1) = 1/2$ . Let  $S_0 = 0$  and for  $n \geq 1$ , let  $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{\emptyset, \Omega\}$  and for  $n \geq 1$ , let  $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ . Show that

$$M_n = S_n^2 - n$$

is a  $(\mathcal{F}_n)$ -martingale.

*Solution.* First,  $M_n$  is  $\mathcal{F}_n$ -measurable because it is a measurable function of  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ . Second,  $M_n$  is in  $L^1$  because it is bounded. Finally, we check the martingale property. Fix  $n \geq 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} E(M_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n) &= E((X_1 + \dots + X_{n+1}^2 - n - 1|\mathcal{F}_n)) \\ &= E(S_n^2 + 2X_{n+1}S_n + X_{n+1}^2 - n - 1|\mathcal{F}_n) \\ \text{(linearity)} &= E(S_n^2 - n|\mathcal{F}_n) + E(2X_{n+1}S_n|\mathcal{F}_n) + E(X_{n+1}^2 - 1|\mathcal{F}_n) \\ (S_n \text{ is } \mathcal{F}_n\text{-measurable}) &= S_n^2 - n + 2S_n E(X_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n) + E(X_{n+1}^2|\mathcal{F}_n) - 1 \\ (X_{n+1} \text{ is independent from } \mathcal{F}_n) &= M_n + 2S_n E(X_{n+1}) + E(X_{n+1}^2) - 1 \\ (E(X_{n+1}) = 0 \text{ and } E(X_{n+1}^2) = 1) &= M_n, \end{aligned}$$

as required.

**Exercise 4.** Fix  $p \in (0, 1)$ . Let  $(X_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be iid random variables taking values in  $\{+1, -1\}$  with  $P(X_1 = 1) = p$ . Let  $S_0 = 0$  and for  $n \geq 1$  let  $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{\emptyset, \Omega\}$  and for  $n \geq 1$ , let  $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ . Show that

$$M_n = \left(\frac{1}{p} - 1\right)^{S_n}$$

is a  $(\mathcal{F}_n)$ -martingale.

*Solution.*

First,  $M_n$  is  $\mathcal{F}_n$ -measurable because it is a measurable function of  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ . Second,  $M_n$  is in  $L^1$  because it is bounded. Finally, we check the martingale property. Fix  $n \geq 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} E(M_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n) &= E\left(\left(\frac{1}{p} - 1\right)^{S_n} \left(\frac{1}{p} - 1\right)^{X_{n+1}} \middle| \mathcal{F}_n\right) \\ (M_n \text{ is } \mathcal{F}_n\text{-measurable}) &= M_n E\left(\left(\frac{1}{p} - 1\right)^{X_{n+1}} \middle| \mathcal{F}_n\right) \\ (X_{n+1} \text{ is independent from } \mathcal{F}_n) &= M_n E\left(\left(\frac{1}{p} - 1\right)^{X_{n+1}}\right) \\ &= M_n E\left(p(1-p)/(p) + (1-p)p/(1-p)\right) = M_n. \end{aligned}$$

as required.

**Exercise 5 (Azuma's inequality).** [R] Let  $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$  be martingale with respect to its canonical filtration  $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \geq 0}$ . Assume  $X_0 = 0$  and that  $|X_n - X_{n-1}| \leq 1$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Fix  $m \geq 1$ . The aim of this exercise is to show that  $\lambda > 0$  we have

$$P(X_m > \lambda\sqrt{m}) \leq e^{-\lambda^2/2}. \quad (1)$$

(1) Let  $\alpha > 0$ . Show that for all  $x \in [-1, 1]$  we have  $e^{\alpha x} \leq \frac{e^\alpha + e^{-\alpha}}{2} + \frac{e^\alpha - e^{-\alpha}}{2}x$

(2) Set  $Y_i = X_i - X_{i-1}$ . Show that for all  $i \geq 1$  we have

$$E(e^{\alpha Y_i} | \mathcal{F}_{i-1}) \leq \cosh(\alpha) \leq e^{\alpha^2/2}.$$

(3) Deduce that  $E(e^{\alpha X_m}) \leq e^{\alpha^2 m/2}$ .

(4) Use  $\alpha = \lambda/\sqrt{m}$  and Markov's inequality to prove (1).

*Solution.*

(1) This inequality follows from the fact that  $e^{\alpha x}$  is convex and  $\frac{e^\alpha + e^{-\alpha}}{2} + \frac{e^\alpha - e^{-\alpha}}{2}x$  is the equation of the line segment joining  $(-1, e^{-\alpha})$  and  $(1, e^\alpha)$ .

(2) By assumption  $|Y_i| \leq 1$ , so by part (1) and linearity we have

$$E(e^{\alpha Y_i} | \mathcal{F}_{i-1}) = \cosh(\alpha) + E(\sinh \alpha Y_i | \mathcal{F}_{i-1}) = \cosh(\alpha),$$

where we used the martingale property in the last equality. Now,

$$\cosh(\alpha) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{\alpha^{2k}}{(2k)!} \leq \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{\alpha^{2k}}{(2^k k!)} = e^{\alpha^2/2}.$$

(3) We prove inductively that for all  $0 \leq k \leq m$ , we have  $E(e^{\alpha X_k}) \leq \exp(\alpha^2 k/2)$  as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} E(e^{\alpha X_k}) &= E(e^{\alpha X_{k-1}} e^{\alpha Y_k}) \\ &= E(E(e^{\alpha X_{k-1}} e^{\alpha Y_k} | \mathcal{F}_{k-1})) \\ (X_{k-1} \text{ is } \mathcal{F}_{k-1}\text{-measurable}) &= E(e^{\alpha X_{k-1}} E(e^{\alpha Y_k} | \mathcal{F}_{k-1})) \\ (\text{By part (2)}) &\leq E(e^{\alpha X_{k-1}} e^{\alpha^2/2}) \\ (\text{Induction hypothesis}) &\leq e^{\alpha^2 k/2}. \end{aligned}$$

(4) We get

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_m > \lambda\sqrt{m}) &= P(e^{\alpha X_m} > e^{\alpha\lambda\sqrt{m}}) \\ (\text{Markov}) &\leq E(e^{\lambda X_m / \sqrt{m}}) / e^{\lambda^2} \\ (\text{part (3)}) &\leq e^{-\lambda^2/2}, \end{aligned}$$

as desired.