## **Mathematical Foundations for Finance Exercise Sheet 2**

*Please hand in your solutions by 12:00 on Wednesday, October 9 via the [course](https://metaphor.ethz.ch/x/2024/hs/401-3913-01L/) [homepage.](https://metaphor.ethz.ch/x/2024/hs/401-3913-01L/)*

**Exercise 2.1** Consider a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ . Fix a finite time horizon  $T \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $r_1, \ldots, r_T > -1$  and  $Y_1, \ldots, Y_T > 0$  be random variables. For  $k = 0, \ldots, T$ , define

$$
\widetilde{S}_k^0 := \prod_{j=1}^k (1+r_j), \qquad \widetilde{S}_k^1 := S_0^1 \prod_{j=1}^k Y_j,
$$

where  $S_0^1 > 0$  is some constant.

(a) Consider the filtration  $\mathbb{F}' = (\mathcal{F}'_k)_{k=0,\dots,T}$  generated by  $Y = (Y_k)_{k=1,\dots,T}$  and  $r = (r_k)_{k=1,...,T}$ , so that

$$
\mathcal{F}'_0 = \{ \varnothing, \Omega \},
$$
  
\n
$$
\mathcal{F}'_k = \sigma(Y_1, \ldots, Y_k, r_1, \ldots, r_k), \quad k = 1, \ldots, T.
$$

Show that if *r* is  $\mathbb{F}'$ -predictable, then  $\mathcal{F}'_k = \mathcal{F}_k := \sigma(\tilde{S}_0^1, \tilde{S}_1^1, \ldots, \tilde{S}_k^1)$  for all  $k = 0, \ldots, T$ .

(b) Recall that a strategy  $\varphi = (\varphi^0, \vartheta)$  is *self-financing* if its discounted cost process  $C(\varphi)$  is constant over time. Show that the notion of self-financing does not depend on discounting. That is, if  $D = (D_k)_{k=0,\dots,T}$  is any positive adapted process and  $\bar{S}_k^i := S_k^i D_k$  for each  $k = 0, \ldots, T$  and  $i = 0, 1$ , then the discounted cost process  $C(\varphi)$  is constant over time if and only if the undiscounted cost process  $C(\varphi)$ , determined by

$$
\Delta \bar{C}_{k+1}(\varphi) := (\varphi^0_{k+1} - \varphi^0_k)\bar{S}^0_k + (\vartheta_{k+1} - \vartheta_k)\bar{S}^1_k,
$$

is constant over time.

(c) Show that the notion of self-financing is numéraire-invariant, i.e. it does not matter if the discounted price processes are defined as  $S^0 := \tilde{S}^0/\tilde{S}^0$  and  $S^1 := \tilde{S}^1 / \tilde{S}^0$ , or  $\bar{S}^0 := \tilde{S}^0 / \tilde{S}^1$  and  $\bar{S}^1 := \tilde{S}^1 / \tilde{S}^1$ .

**Exercise 2.2** Consider a filtered probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F}, P)$ , and let  $\tau, \sigma : \Omega \to$  $\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$  be stopping times.

- (a) Show that  $\tau \wedge \sigma := \min\{\tau, \sigma\}$  is a stopping time.
- (b) Show that  $\tau \vee \sigma := \max{\lbrace \tau, \sigma \rbrace}$  is a stopping time.
- (c) Show that a function  $\rho : \Omega \to \mathbb{N} \cup {\infty}$  is an F-stopping time if and only if  $\{\rho = k\} \in \mathcal{F}_k$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- (d) Show that  $\tau + \sigma$  is a stopping time.
- (e) Suppose  $\tau \geq \sigma$ . Is  $\tau \sigma$  a stopping time?
- (f) Suppose that  $X = (X_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is an adapted  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued process, and let  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . Show that

$$
\rho := \inf\{k : |X_k| \geqslant a\}
$$

is a stopping time.

Show that  $\rho$  is still a stopping time if " $\geq$ " is replaced by any of " $>$ ", " $\leq$ " or " $\lt$ ".

**Exercise 2.3** Fix a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  and a finite time horizon  $T \geq 2$ . Consider a market  $(S^0, S^1)$  consisting of a bank account and a stock, respectively. Assume that  $S^0 \equiv 1$ ,  $S_0^1 = 1$  and  $S_k^1 > 0$  for all  $k = 1, ..., T$ . Fix  $0 < \ell < 1 < u$ , and define the maps  $\tau, \sigma : \Omega \to \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$  by

$$
\tau(\omega) := \inf\{k = 0, \dots, T : S_k^1(\omega) \leq \ell\} \wedge T,
$$
  

$$
\sigma(\omega) := \inf\{k = \tau(\omega), \dots, T : S_k^1(\omega) \geq u\} \wedge T.
$$

We use here the standard convention inf  $\varnothing = +\infty$ .

- (a) Define the filtration  $\mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_k)_{k=0,\dots,T}$  on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  by  $\mathcal{F}_k := \sigma(S_i^1 : 0 \leq i \leq k)$ . Show that  $\tau$  and  $\sigma$  are F-stopping times.
- (b) Define the process  $\vartheta = (\vartheta_k)_{k=1,\dots,T}$  by

$$
\vartheta_k := \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau < k \leqslant \sigma\}}, \ k = 1, \dots, T.
$$

Show that  $\vartheta$  is **F**-predictable and  $\vartheta_1 = 0$ .

- (c) Construct  $\varphi^0$  such that the strategy  $\varphi = (\varphi^0, \vartheta)$  is self-financing with  $V_0(\varphi) = 0$ , and derive a formula for the discounted value process  $V(\varphi)$  involving only the discounted stock price  $S^1$  and the stopping times  $\tau$  and  $\sigma$ .
- (d) Describe the trading strategy  $\varphi$  in words.

<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Exercise 2.4** Let  $(\tilde{S}^0, \tilde{S}^1)$  be a *binomial model*. More precisely, the price processes of the assets are defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\widetilde{S}_k^0 &= (1+r)^k \quad \text{for } k = 0, 1, \dots, T, \\
\frac{\widetilde{S}_{k+1}^1}{\widetilde{S}_k^1} &= Y_{k+1} \quad \text{for } k = 0, 1, \dots, T-1,\n\end{aligned}
$$

where the  $Y_k$  are i.i.d., taking values  $1 + u$  with probability  $p \in (0, 1)$  and  $1 + d$  with probability  $1 - p$ . Assume furthermore that  $u > d > -1$  and  $r > -1$ .

- (a) Suppose that  $r \leq d$ . Show that in this case the market  $(\tilde{S}^0, \tilde{S}^1)$  admits *arbitrage* by explicitly constructing an *arbitrage opportunity*.
- (b) Suppose that  $r \geq u$ . Show that also in this case the market  $(\tilde{S}^0, \tilde{S}^1)$  admits *arbitrage* by explicitly constructing an *arbitrage opportunity*.