

Mathematical Foundations for Finance

Exercise Sheet 8

Exercise 8.1 Consider a filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F}, P)$, where $\mathbb{F} := (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a filtration satisfying the usual conditions. On this space, let M be a local martingale null at 0 that satisfies $\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |M_t| \in L^2$ for some $T \in \mathbb{R}$.

(a) Show that M is a square-integrable martingale on $[0, T]$.

Hint: You may use the dominated convergence theorem.

(b) Let $[M]$ be the square bracket process of M . Prove that

$$E[[M]_t - [M]_s | \mathcal{F}_s] = \text{Var}[M_t - M_s | \mathcal{F}_s] \text{ P-a.s., for } 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T.$$

Hint: You may use that $\text{Var}[X | \mathcal{G}] = E[(X - E[X | \mathcal{G}])^2 | \mathcal{G}]$.

Solution 8.1

(a) The process M is adapted by definition since it is a local martingale. Moreover, for any $s \in [0, T]$, it holds that

$$|M_s|^2 \leq |M_T^*|^2, \text{ where } M_T^* := \sup_{0 \leq u \leq T} |M_u|.$$

By assumption, $M_T^* \in L^2$ and thus M is square-integrable on $[0, T]$.

Now, let $(\tau_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a localizing sequence for M . For every fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that

$$E[M_{\tau_n \wedge t} | \mathcal{F}_s] = M_{\tau_n \wedge s} \text{ P-a.s., for } 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T, \quad (1)$$

because M is a local martingale. Since $|M_{\tau_n \wedge t}|$ is bounded from above by the integrable random variable M_T^* , for all $0 \leq t \leq T$, the dominated convergence theorem gives us that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[M_{\tau_n \wedge t} | \mathcal{F}_s] = E \left[\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_{\tau_n \wedge t} \middle| \mathcal{F}_s \right] = E[M_t | \mathcal{F}_s] \text{ P-a.s.} \quad (2)$$

On the other hand, we have for the right-hand side of (1) that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_{\tau_n \wedge s} = M_s \text{ P-a.s.,}$$

which, together with (2), gives us the martingale property for M on $[0, T]$ and concludes the proof.

- (b) Since M is a square-integrable martingale on $[0, T]$, the square bracket process $[M]$ is integrable and $M^2 - [M]$ is a martingale according to Theorem 5.1.1 in the lecture notes. Therefore, for all $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
 E[[M]_t - [M]_s \mid \mathcal{F}_s] &= E[M_t^2 - M_s^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_s] \\
 &= E[(M_t - M_s)^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_s] \\
 &= E\left[\left(M_t - E[M_t \mid \mathcal{F}_s]\right)^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_s\right] \\
 &= E\left[\left(M_t - M_s + M_s - E[M_t \mid \mathcal{F}_s]\right)^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_s\right] \\
 &= E\left[\left((M_t - M_s) - E[M_t - M_s \mid \mathcal{F}_s]\right)^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_s\right] \\
 &= \text{Var}[M_t - M_s \mid \mathcal{F}_s] \text{ } P\text{-a.s.}
 \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 8.2 Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) a probability space. We consider a sequence $(Y_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of square-integrable and independent random variables and the filtration $\mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ given by $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{\emptyset, \Omega\}$ and $\mathcal{F}_k = \sigma(Y_1, \dots, Y_k)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We assume that $(Y_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ are identically distributed, with $\mu := E[Y_k] \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\sigma^2 := \text{Var}[Y_k] > 0$, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Define the process $X = (X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ by

$$X_n = \sum_{k=1}^n Y_k, \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Note that X is adapted to \mathbb{F} and integrable.

- (a) Derive the Doob decomposition of X . In other words, find the martingale $M = (M_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ and the predictable and integrable process $A = (A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ that are both null at zero and such that $X = M + A$ P -a.s. Deduce that M and A are square-integrable.
Hint: see Exercise 6.2(a).
- (b) Find the optional quadratic variation $[M] = ([M]_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ of the square-integrable martingale M .
Hint: You may use Theorem 5.1.1 in the lecture notes, and in particular the condition $\Delta[M] = (\Delta M)^2$.
- (c) Explicitly derive the predictable quadratic variation $\langle M \rangle = (\langle M \rangle_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ of the square-integrable martingale M .

Solution 8.2 To simplify notation, we omit " P -a.s." from all equalities below.

(a) Let us fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. From Exercise 6.2(a), we know that

$$\begin{aligned} M_n &= \sum_{j=1}^n \left(X_j - E[X_j | \mathcal{F}_{j-1}] \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^j \left(Y_k - E[Y_k | \mathcal{F}_{j-1}] \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \left(Y_j - E[Y_j | \mathcal{F}_{j-1}] \right) \end{aligned}$$

since Y_k is \mathcal{F}_{j-1} -measurable for all $k \leq j-1$. Moreover, Y_j is independent of \mathcal{F}_{j-1} , and thus

$$M_n = \sum_{j=1}^n \left(Y_j - E[Y_j] \right) = X_n - n\mu.$$

Hence,

$$A_n = X_n - M_n = n\mu.$$

We conclude that both M and A are square-integrable since so is the process X by assumption.

(b) Since the process M is a square-integrable martingale, Theorem 5.1.1 from the lecture notes states that there exists a unique adapted increasing RCLL process $[M] = ([M]_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ null at 0 with $\Delta[M] = (\Delta M)^2$ and having the property that $M^2 - [M]$ is a local martingale. Hence, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\Delta[M]_n = (\Delta M_n)^2 = (M_n - M_{n-1})^2 = (Y_n - \mu)^2,$$

so that

$$[M]_n = \sum_{j=1}^n \Delta[M]_j = \sum_{j=1}^n (Y_j - \mu)^2,$$

(c) Since the process $[M]$ is integrable, we know there exists a unique increasing predictable and integrable process $\langle M \rangle = (\langle M \rangle_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ null at 0 such that $[M] - \langle M \rangle$ is a martingale. Thus, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, it holds that

$$E\left[[M]_n - \langle M \rangle_n \mid \mathcal{F}_{n-1} \right] = [M]_{n-1} - \langle M \rangle_{n-1}.$$

The fact that $\langle M \rangle$ is predictable gives that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle M \rangle_n - \langle M \rangle_{n-1} &= E\left[[M]_n - [M]_{n-1} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n-1} \right] \\ &= E\left[(Y_n - \mu)^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{n-1} \right] = \text{Var}[Y_n] = \sigma^2, \end{aligned}$$

which in turn gives that $\langle M \rangle_n = n\sigma^2$.

Exercise 8.3 This exercise proves the frequently used fact that a continuous local martingale of finite variation is identically constant (and hence vanishes if it is null at 0).

For $p > 0$, the (functional) p -variation of a function $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the function defined by

$$V^p(g) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty], \quad V_T^p(g) := \sup_{\Pi} V_T^p(g, \Pi) := \sup_{\Pi} \sum_{t_i \in \Pi} |g(t_i \wedge T) - g(t_{i-1} \wedge T)|^p,$$

where the supremum is taken over all partitions Π of $[0, \infty)$, i.e., over all sets $\Pi \subseteq [0, \infty)$ with $0 \in \Pi$ and $\Pi \cap [0, t]$ finite for all $t \geq 0$. A function g has finite (functional) p -variation if $V_T^p(g) < \infty$ for all $T \geq 0$, and finite (functional) variation if it has finite (functional) 1-variation. For $p = 2$, we also say (functional) “quadratic variation” instead of “2-variation”. We say that g has zero p -variation along a sequence $(\Pi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of partitions if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} V_T^p(g, \Pi_n) = 0$ for all $T \geq 0$. For $\Pi := (t_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $t_i < t_{i+1}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we also define $|\Pi| := \sup\{t_{i+1} - t_i \mid t_i, t_{i+1} \in \Pi\}$.

- (a) Show that if $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function of finite variation, then it has zero quadratic variation along any sequence $(\Pi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of partitions such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\Pi_n| = 0$. (More generally, if g has finite p -variation, then it has zero r -variation for any $r > p$ along any sequence $(\Pi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of partitions with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\Pi_n| = 0$.)
- (b) Let $M = (M_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a continuous local martingale null at 0. Show that if $[M] = 0$, then $M_t = 0$ P -a.s. for all $t \geq 0$.

Hint: Show the claim first when M is a square-integrable martingale. Extend then the conclusion by localisation.

- (c) Show that a continuous local martingale $M = (M_t)_{t \geq 0}$ null at 0 and of finite variation is identically constant, i.e., $M_t = 0$ P -a.s. for all $t \geq 0$. Moreover, show that continuity is necessary, i.e., give an example of a local martingale $M = (M_t)_{t \geq 0}$ null at 0 of finite variation such that M is not identically equal to 0.

Hint: You may use the following result (compare with Theorem 4.1.4 in the lecture notes) to show that $[M] = 0$:

Let $M = (M_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be an RCLL local martingale null at 0. There exists a sequence $(\Pi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of partitions of $[0, \infty)$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\Pi_n| = 0$ such that

$$P \left[\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} V_t^2(M, \Pi_n) = [M]_t \text{ for all } t \geq 0 \right] = 1.$$

Solution 8.3

- (a) Fix $T > 0$ and a sequence $(\Pi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of partitions with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\Pi_n| = 0$. Since g is continuous, we have that $|g(t_i \wedge T) - g(t_{i-1} \wedge T)| \rightarrow 0$ as $|\Pi_n| \rightarrow 0$. But g is

even uniformly continuous on the compact interval $[0, T]$, so we even have

$$\sup_{t_i \in \Pi_n} |g(t_i \wedge T) - g(t_{i-1} \wedge T)| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } |\Pi_n| \rightarrow 0.$$

Then we have for any n

$$\begin{aligned} V_T^r(g, \Pi_n) &= \sum_{t_i \in \Pi_n} |g(t_i \wedge T) - g(t_{i-1} \wedge T)|^r \\ &\leq \sup_{t_i \in \Pi_n} |g(t_i \wedge T) - g(t_{i-1} \wedge T)|^{r-p} \sum_{t_i \in \Pi_n} |g(t_i \wedge T) - g(t_{i-1} \wedge T)|^p \\ &\leq \sup_{t_i \in \Pi_n} |g(t_i \wedge T) - g(t_{i-1} \wedge T)|^{r-p} \sup_{\Pi} \sum_{t_i \in \Pi} |g(t_i \wedge T) - g(t_{i-1} \wedge T)|^p. \end{aligned}$$

The second factor is $V_T^p(g) < \infty$ by assumption, and the first factor goes to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

- (b) By Theorem 5.1.1 in the lecture notes, $M^2 - [M] = M^2$ is a local martingale null at 0. Thus, there exists a localising sequence $(\tau_n)_n$ such that $(M^{\tau_n})^2$ is a martingale null at 0. Let $\widetilde{M} = M^{\tau_n}$. Then we have

$$E[\widetilde{M}_t^2] = 0 \quad \text{for } t \geq 0.$$

Therefore, $\widetilde{M}_t = 0$ P -a.s. and hence $M_t^{\tau_n} = 0$ P -a.s. for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $t \geq 0$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain $M_t = 0$ P -a.s. for all $t \geq 0$.

- (c) Let M be a continuous local martingale null at 0 which has paths of finite variation. By the hint, we conclude that for a well-chosen sequence $(\Pi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of partitions such that $|\Pi_n| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have that

$$P \left[\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} V_T^2(M, \Pi_n) = [M]_T \quad \text{for all } T \geq 0 \right] = 1.$$

But by assumption, the paths of M , i.e., the functions $t \mapsto M_t(\omega)$, are continuous and of finite variation. Hence by part a), $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} V_T^2(M(\omega), \Pi_n) = 0$ for all those $\omega \in \Omega$ for which $t \mapsto M_t(\omega)$ is of finite variation. By definition, this is a set of full probability and hence $t \mapsto [M]_t(\omega)$ is identically equal to 0 for P -a.a. ω . Part b) then implies the claim.

Define

$$M_t := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } t < 1 \\ Z & \text{for } t \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

where Z is any integrable \mathcal{F}_t -measurable random variable with $E[Z] = 0$. Since M is of finite variation, if $Z \neq 0$ a.s., then $M = (M_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a counterexample that shows that (a.s.) continuity is necessary.