Mathematical Finance Exercise Sheet 9

Submit by 12:00 on Wednesday, November 27 via the [course homepage.](https://metaphor.ethz.ch/x/2024/hs/401-4889-00L/)

Exercise 9.1 *(Coherent risk measure)* s : L^∞ → ℝ defined by

$$
\pi^{s}(H) := \inf \left\{ v_0 \in \mathbb{R} : v_0 + \int_0^T \vartheta_u \, dS_u \ge H \text{ P-a.s. for some } \vartheta \in \Theta_{\text{adm}} \right\}.
$$

Prove that $\rho := -\pi^s$ is a *coherent risk measure*. That is, for all $H, H' \in L^{\infty}$,

- 1. $\pi^{s}(H) \leq \pi^{s}(H')$ if $H \leq H'$ *P*-a.s. *(monotonicity)*,
- 2. $\pi^{s}(H + c) = \pi^{s}(H) + c$ for all $c \in \mathbb{R}$ *(cash invariance)*,
- 3. $\pi^{s}(\lambda H) = \lambda \pi^{s}(H)$ for all $\lambda > 0$ *(positive homogeneity)*,
- 4. $\pi^{s}(H + H') \leq \pi^{s}(H) + \pi^{s}(H')$ *(subadditivity).*

Deduce that π^s is convex.

What happens in 3. for $\lambda = 0$?

Solution 9.1 Note that $\pi^s(H) \leq ||H||_{\infty}$ because $\vartheta \equiv 0 \in \Theta_{\text{adm}}$. We check that π^s satisfies the four conditions.

- 1. Let $v_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that there exists $\vartheta \in \Theta_{\text{adm}}$ with $v_0 + \int_0^T \vartheta_u \, dS_u \ge H'$. Then certainly $v_0 + \int_0^T \vartheta_u dS_u \ge H$, and hence $v_0 \ge \pi^s(H)$. Taking the infimum over all such $v_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ gives $\pi^s(H') \geq \pi^s(H)$ as required.
- 2. Note that for $v_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\vartheta \in \Theta_{\text{adm}}$, we have

$$
v_0 + \int_0^T \vartheta_u dS_u \ge H + c \iff v_0 - c + \int_0^T \vartheta_u dS_u \ge H.
$$

It follows that the set

$$
\left\{v_0 \in \mathbb{R} : v_0 + \int_0^T \vartheta_u \, dS_u \ge H + c \, P\text{-a.s. for some } \vartheta \in \Theta_{\text{adm}}\right\}
$$

is equal to

$$
\left\{v_0 \in \mathbb{R} : v_0 + \int_0^T \vartheta_u \, dS_u \ge H \text{ P-a.s. for some } \vartheta \in \Theta_{\text{adm}}\right\} + c.
$$

Taking the infimum over both sets gives $\pi^{s}(H + c) = \pi^{s}(H) + c$.

Updated: November 27, 2024 $1/5$

3. Fix $\lambda > 0$ and take $v_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\vartheta \in \Theta_{\text{adm}}$ with $v_0 + \int_0^T \vartheta_u \, dS_u \geq \lambda H$. Then we have $v_0/\lambda + \int_0^T (\vartheta_u/\lambda) dS_u \ge H$. Since $\vartheta/\lambda \in \Theta_{\text{adm}}$, we have shown that the set

$$
\left\{v_0 \in \mathbb{R} : v_0 + \int_0^T \vartheta_u \, dS_u \geq \lambda H \, P\text{-a.s. for some } \vartheta \in \Theta_{\text{adm}}\right\}
$$

is a subset of

$$
\lambda \left\{ v_0 \in \mathbb{R} : v_0 + \int_0^T \vartheta_u \, dS_u \ge H \text{ } P\text{-a.s. for some } \vartheta \in \Theta_{\text{adm}} \right\}.
$$

We can repeat the above argument to see that the above two sets are indeed equal. Then taking the infimum of both sets gives $\pi^{s}(\lambda H) = \lambda \pi^{s}(H)$ as required.

4. Suppose $v_0, v'_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ are such that there exist $\vartheta, \vartheta' \in \Theta_{\text{adm}}$ with

$$
v_0 + \int_0^T \vartheta_u dS_u \ge H
$$
 and $v'_0 + \int_0^T \vartheta'_u dS_u \ge H'.$

Then we have

$$
v_0 + v'_0 + \int_0^T (\vartheta_u + \vartheta'_u) \, dS_u \ge H + H'.
$$

As $\vartheta + \vartheta' \in \Theta_{\text{adm}}$, it follows that $v_0 + v'_0 \geq \pi^s (H + H')$. Taking the infimum over all such v_0 and v'_0 gives $\pi^s(H) + \pi^s(H') \geqslant \pi^s(H + H')$ as required.

We have thus shown that $-\pi^s$ is a coherent risk measure. To see that it is convex, take $H, H' \in \Theta_{\text{adm}}$ and $t \in (0, 1)$. We have by 4. and 3. that

$$
\pi^{s}\left(tH + (1-t)H'\right) \leqslant \pi^{s}(tH) + \pi^{s}\left((1-t)H'\right) = t\pi^{s}(H) + (1-t)\pi^{s}(H'),
$$

so that π^s is convex. This completes the proof.

Finally, for $\lambda = 0$, 3. reads $\pi^{s}(0) = 0$, i.e.

$$
\inf \left\{ v_0 \in \mathbb{R} : v_0 + \int_0^T \vartheta_u \, dS_u \geq 0 \, P\text{-a.s. for some } \vartheta \in \Theta_{\text{adm}} \right\} = 0.
$$

First note that $\pi^s(0) \leq 0$, as we can take $v_0 = 0$ and $\vartheta \equiv 0$. Now suppose for a contradiction that $\pi^s(0) < 0$. Then there is $v_0 < 0$ with $\int_0^T \vartheta_u dS_u \ge -v_0 > 0$ *P*-a.s. for some $\vartheta \in \Theta_{\text{adm}}$. This violates (NA). So if *S* satisfies (NA), 3. also holds for $\lambda = 0$.

Exercise 9.2 *(Minimum principle)* Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\geq0}, \mathbb{P})$ be a filtered probability space satisfying the usual conditions, and let $X = (X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a nonnegative RCLL supermartingale. Define the stopping time τ_0 by

$$
\tau_0 := \inf \{ t \geq 0 : X_t \wedge X_{t-} = 0 \}.
$$

Updated: November 27, 2024 $2/5$

Show that $X \equiv 0$ on $\llbracket \tau_0, \infty \rrbracket$ *P*-a.s.

This result is known as the minimum principle for nonnegative supermartingales.

Solution 9.2 Extend *X* to be a supermartingale on $[0, \infty]$ be setting $X_{\infty} := 0$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define the stopping time $\tau_n := \inf\{t \geq 0 : X_t < \frac{1}{n}\}$ $\frac{1}{n}$. Note that by right-continuity of *X*, we have $X_{\tau_n} \leq \frac{1}{n}$ $\frac{1}{n}$ on $\{\tau_n < \infty\}$. But also $X_{\tau_n} = 0$ on ${\tau_n = \infty}$, and thus $X_{\tau_n} \leq \frac{1}{n}$ $\frac{1}{n}$ on all of Ω . Now fix $r \geq 0$. As $\tau_n \leq \tau_0 \leq \tau_0 + r$, we can apply the optional stopping theorem with stopping times $\tau_n \leq \tau_0 + r$ to get

$$
E[X_{\tau_0+r}] \leqslant E[X_{\tau_n}] \leqslant \frac{1}{n}.
$$

Letting $n \to \infty$ gives $E[X_{\tau_0+r}] \leq 0$, and as X is nonnegative, this implies that $X_{\tau_0+r} = 0$ *P*-a.s. Considering the intersection of the events $\{X_{\tau_0+r} = 0\}$ over $r \in \mathbb{Q}^+$ and using right-continuity of *X* gives the claim.

Exercise 9.3 *(σ-martingales)*

(a) Let $Y = (Y_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ be a RCLL process and $Q \approx P$ an equivalent measure with density process *Z* given by $Z_t := \frac{dQ}{dP} |_{\mathcal{F}_t}$. Then *Y* is a Q -*σ*-martingale if and only if ZY is a P - σ -martingale.

Hint. You may use Bayes theorem and the fact that the sum of two σ-martingales is a σ-martingale.

(b) Show that if *S* admits a *P*-equivalent *σ*-martingale density and $Q \approx P$ on \mathcal{F}_T , then *S* also admits a *Q*-equivalent σ -martingale density.

Solution 9.3

(a) Suppose first that *Y* is a Q - σ -martingale. We show that *ZY* is a *P*- σ -martingale. Assume for simplicity that $Y_0 = 0$, and write $Y = \psi \bullet M$ for some *Q*-local martingale *M* and $\psi \in L(M)$. Applying the stochastic product rule to *ZY*, we get

$$
d(ZY) = Y - dZ + Z - dY + d[Z, Y].
$$

Note that since $Y = \psi \bullet M$, we have $dY = \psi dM$ and hence

 $Z_{-} dY = \psi Z_{-} dM = \psi d(Z_{-} \bullet M).$

Also, by again using $Y = \psi \bullet M$, we can write

$$
d[Z, Y] = d[Z, \psi \bullet M] = \psi d[Z, M].
$$

We can thus rewrite $d(ZY)$ as

$$
d(ZY) = Y_- dZ + \psi d(Z_- \bullet M) + \psi d[Z, M].
$$

Updated: November 27, 2024 $\frac{3}{5}$

By applying the stochastic product rule to *ZM*, we have

$$
d(ZM) = Z - dM + M - dZ + d[M, Z],
$$

and hence

$$
Z_{-}\bullet M=ZM-Z_0M_0-M_{-}\bullet Z-[M,Z].
$$

We thus have

$$
d(ZY) = Y_- dZ + \psi d(ZM - M_- \bullet Z - [M, Z]) + \psi d[Z, M]
$$

= Y_- dZ + \psi d(ZM - M_- \bullet Z).

Note that as *Z* is the density process of *Q* with respect to *P*, it is a *P*-martingale. Also, Bayes' theorem implies that *ZM* is a *P*-local martingale, since *M* is a *Q*-local martingale. Note also that since *M*[−] is locally bounded, the stochastic integral *M*[−] • *Z* is a *P*-local martingale. Hence the difference *ZM* − *M*[−] • *Z* is a *P*-local martingale, and thus $\psi \bullet (ZM - M_{-} \bullet Z)$ is a *P*-*σ*-martingale. As *Y*[−] • *Z* is a *P*-local martingale, it is a *P*-*σ*-martingale, and thus so is *ZY* , as claimed.

For the converse, simply repeat the above argument, but with *Y* replaced by *ZY* and *Z* replaced by $\frac{1}{Z}$, noting that $\frac{1}{Z}$ is the density process of *P* with respect to *Q*, which is a *Q*-martingale.

(b) We need to show that *S* admits a *Q*-equivalent σ -martingale density. Let *D* denote the given *P*-equivalent σ -martingale density. Then $D > 0$, *D* is a *P*-local martingale and *DS* is a *P*-*σ*-martingale. We define the process $Y := \frac{Z_0}{Z}DS$. Then $ZY = Z_0DS$ is a P- σ -martingale, so by using part (a), we conclude that *Y* is a *Q*-*σ*-martingale. Also, as *D* is a *P*-local martingale, Bayes' theorem implies that $\frac{Z_0}{Z}D$ is a *Q*-local martingale. Finally, since $\frac{Z_0}{Z}$ is strictly positive (by the minimum principle for nonnegative supermartingales, since $Z_T > 0$) and is 1 at zero, we conclude that $\frac{Z_0}{Z}D$ is an *Q*-equivalent *σ*-martingale density for *S*. This completes the proof.

Exercise 9.4 *(A property of* \mathcal{Z} *)* Fix $Q \in \mathbb{P}_{e,\sigma}(S)$. Recall that for each $t \in [0,T]$, we let \mathcal{Z}_t denote the space of RCLL martingales *Z* such that $Z_s = \frac{dR}{dQ}$ $\frac{dR}{dQ}|_{\mathcal{F}_s}$ for all $0 \le s \le T$ for some $R \in \mathbb{P}_{e,\sigma}(S)$ with $R = Q$ on \mathcal{F}_t .

Prove that if $Z^1, Z^2 \in \mathcal{Z}_t$ and $A \in \mathcal{F}_t$, then $Z^1 \mathbf{1}_A + Z^2 \mathbf{1}_{A^c} \in \mathcal{Z}_t$.

Solution 9.4 For notational convenience, we set $Z := Z^1 \mathbf{1}_A + Z^2 \mathbf{1}_{A^c}$. We first show that *Z* is a martingale. To start, note that since $Z_s^1 = Z_s^2 = 1$ for all $s \in [0, t]$, then $Z_s = 1$ for $s \in [0, t]$. Since Z^1 and Z^2 are adapted and $A \in \mathcal{F}_t$, it follows that Z is adapted. As Z^1 and Z^2 are RCLL and integrable, then so is Z . It remains to show that *Z* satisfies the martingale property, i.e. that for all $0 \le s \le u$, we have

Updated: November 27, 2024 $\frac{4}{5}$

 $E[Z_u \mid \mathcal{F}_s] = Z_s$. To this end, first note that for $t \le s \le u \le T$, we have $A \in \mathcal{F}_s$ and thus

$$
E[Z_u|\mathcal{F}_s] = E[Z_u^1 \mathbf{1}_A + Z_u^2 \mathbf{1}_{A^c}|\mathcal{F}_s] = E[Z_u^1|\mathcal{F}_s]\mathbf{1}_A + E[Z_u^2|\mathcal{F}_s]\mathbf{1}_{A^c} = Z_s^1 \mathbf{1}_A + Z_s^2 \mathbf{1}_{A^c}
$$

= Z_s .

Next, for $0 \le s \le t \le u$, we use the tower law together with the above to get

$$
E[Z_u | \mathcal{F}_s] = E\Big[E[Z_u | \mathcal{F}_t]\Big|\mathcal{F}_s\Big] = E[Z_t | \mathcal{F}_s] = E[Z_t^1 \mathbf{1}_A + Z_t^2 \mathbf{1}_{A^c} | \mathcal{F}_s] = 1 = Z_s.
$$

Lastly, the case $0 \le s \le u \le t$ is trivial, since then $E[Z_u | \mathcal{F}_s] = 1 = Z_s$. We have thus shown that *Z* is an RCLL martingale.

Note also that $Z > 0$ and that $Z \equiv 1$ on [0, t]. By Exercise 9.3(a), it suffices to show that ZS is a Q - σ -martingale since we can then conclude that the probability measure *R* satisfying $\frac{dR}{dQ} = Z_T$ is an equivalent *σ*-martingale measure for *S*. To this end, first note that since Z^1S and Z^2S are Q - σ -martingales, there exist local martingales M^1, M^2 and positive integrands ψ^1, ψ^2 such that

$$
Z^1S - Z_0^1S_0 = \psi^1 \bullet M^1 \quad \text{and} \quad Z^2S - Z_0^2S_0 = \psi^2 \bullet M^2.
$$

Using that $Z = Z^1 \mathbf{1}_A + Z^2 \mathbf{1}_{A^c}$ together with $Z_0^1 = Z_0^2 = Z_0 = 1$, we have

$$
ZS - Z_0S_0 = Z^1S\mathbf{1}_A + Z^2S\mathbf{1}_{A^c} - S_0
$$

= $(Z^1S - Z_0^1S_0)\mathbf{1}_A + (Z^2S - Z_0^2S_0)\mathbf{1}_{A^c}$
= $(\psi^1 \bullet M^1)\mathbf{1}_A + (\psi^2 \bullet M^2)\mathbf{1}_{A^c}$.

Now, as $A \in \mathcal{F}_t$, the processes ϕ^1, ϕ^2 defined by

$$
\phi^1 := \psi^1 \mathbf{1}_{[\![0,t]\!]} + \psi^1 \mathbf{1}_{A \times (t,\infty)} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi^2 := \psi^2 \mathbf{1}_{A^c \times (t,\infty)}
$$

are predictable. By checking the values at times $s \leq t$ and $s > t$, we can see that

$$
\phi^1 \bullet M^1 + \phi^2 \bullet M^2 = (\psi^1 \bullet M^1) \mathbf{1}_A + (\psi^2 \bullet M^2) \mathbf{1}_{A^c} = ZS - Z_0 S_0.
$$

Using that $\phi^1 \bullet M^1$ and $\phi^2 \bullet M^2$ are Q -*σ*-martingales and the fact that the sum of two σ -martingales is a σ -martingale, we conclude that also $\overline{Z}S$ is a Q - σ -martingale. This completes the proof.