PROBABILITY AND STATISTICS Exercise sheet 13 - Solutions

MC 13.1. Which of the following statements are true? (The number of correct answers is between 0 and 4.)

- (a) If we reject the null hypothesis, the realized p-value must be less than or equal to the significance level α .
- (b) If the realized p-value is less than or equal to the level α , we reject the null hypothesis.
- (c) The realized p-value tells us the probability that H_0 is true.
- (d) If the realized p-value is very low, it indicates that our data do not fit the null hypothesis well.

Solution:

(a) True.

- (b) True.
- (c) Not true. We cannot assign a probability to the event " H_0 is true," as it is not a random event.
- (d) True.

Exercise 13.2. Compute the realized p-values for the tests from Exercises 12.3, 12.4, 12.6, and 12.7.

Remark: You should write down an explicit formula (e.g., involving the standard normal CDF), but you do not need to compute its numerical value.

Remark: To compute the numerical value, you can use Wolfram Alpha to find values of the standard normal distribution function or Python:

```
from scipy.stats import norm
value = norm.cdf(x=1.96) # Replace x with the value you're interested in
print(value)
```

You can find values of other distribution functions analogously.

Solution:

(12.3) We have that $S(\omega) = \sum_{i=1}^{1000} x_i = 80.2$, which gives using that $\sigma^2 = 1$:

$$\frac{S(\omega)}{\sqrt{1000\sigma^2}} \approx 2.54$$

We compute

p-value(ω) = $\mathbb{P}_0[|S| \ge 2.54] = 2(1 - \Phi(2.54)) \approx 0.011.$

As p-value = $0.011 < 0.05 = \alpha$, we reject the null hypothesis, which agrees with the result from Exercise 12.3.

(12.4) We have $S(\omega) = 650$, and so $\frac{S(\omega) - 1000 \times 0.6}{\sqrt{1000 \times 0.24}} = \frac{650 - 1000 \times 0.6}{\sqrt{1000 \times 0.24}} \approx 3.23.$ Thus, p-value(ω) = $\mathbb{P}_{0.6}[S \ge 3.23] = 1 - \Phi(3.23) \approx 6.2 \times 10^{-4}$. For comparison, using the distribution function of the Binom(1000,0.6)-distribution instead of the approximation gives $p-value(\omega) = \mathbb{P}_{0.6}[S \ge 650] = 1 - \mathbb{P}_{0.6}[S \le 649] = 1 - F_{\text{Binom}(1000,0.6)}(649) \approx 6.5 \times 10^{-4},$ where we computed: from scipy.stats import binom value = 1 - binom.cdf(649, 1000, 0.6)print(value) >> 0.0006454991535237431 (12.6) We have that $T(\omega) = 4$, which gives $\text{p-value}(\omega) = \mathbb{P}_{\frac{1}{6}}[T \ge 4] = 1 - \mathbb{P}_{\frac{1}{6}}[T \le 3] = 1 - F_{\text{Binom}(10,1/6)}(3) \approx 0.0697.$ (12.7) We have that $T(\omega) = -0.00598$, which gives p-value $(\omega) = \mathbb{P}_{\mu_0}[|T| \ge 0.00598] = 2(1 - \Phi(0.00598)) \approx 0.9952.$

Exercise 13.3. The average travel time from Zurich to Bellinzona by Intercity train is 146 minutes. The following times are recorded for the Cisalpino:

x_1	x_2	x_3	x_4	x_5	x_6	x_7	x_8	x_9
152	145	141	137	145	146	139	147	138

We assume that these values are realizations of an i.i.d. sample X_1, \ldots, X_n with $X_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$, where μ is an unknown parameter and $\sigma^2 = 9$ is known.

- (a) Perform an appropriate test at the 5% level to determine whether the mean travel time of the Cisalpino differs from that of the Intercity.
- (b) Compute the realized p-value.
- (c) What is the lowest level α at which you would still reject the null hypothesis?

Solution:

(a) Since the variance $\sigma^2 = 9$ is known, it is appropriate to conduct a (two-sided) z-test in this case. We want to test the null hypothesis $H_0: \mu = \mu_0 \coloneqq 146$ against the alternative $H_1: \mu \neq \mu_0$, using the test statistic

$$T = \frac{\overline{X}_9 - \mu_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{9}}.$$

Under \mathbb{P}_{μ_0} , we have $T \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$, and we choose the critical region to be of the form $K_{\neq} = (-\infty, -c_{\neq}) \cup (c_{\neq}, \infty)$. So we reject H_0 if $|T| > c_{\neq}$, where c_{\neq} is to be determined. Since we are testing at the 5% level, we choose c_{\neq} such that

$$0.05 = \alpha = \mathbb{P}_{\mu_0}[T \in K_{\neq}] = 2(1 - \Phi(c_{\neq})).$$

This gives $c_{\neq} = \Phi^{-1}(1 - \alpha/2) = z_{0.975} = 1.96$. The realized value of the test statistic is

 $T(\omega) = t(x_1, \dots, x_n) = -2.67.$

Since $|T(\omega)| = 2.67 > 1.96$, we reject the null hypothesis.

(b) We have that

$$p-value(\omega) = \mathbb{P}_{\mu_0}[|T| \ge 2.67] = 2(1 - \Phi(2.67)) \approx 0.0076.$$

(c) The lowest level alpha at which we would still reject the null hypothesis is exactly the p-value. The answer is thus $\alpha = 0.0076$.

For comparison, if instead we were testing the one-sided alternative $H'_1 : \mu < \mu_0$, then the critical region would be $K_{<} = (-\infty, c_{<})$ with $c_{<} = z_{0.05} = -z_{0.95} = -1.645$. Since -2.67 < -1.645, and so $T(\omega) \in K_{<}$, we of course also reject the null hypothesis in this case; the data thus suggest that the Cisalpino has a shorter average travel time.

The *p*-value in this case is

$$p$$
-value $(\omega) = \mathbb{P}_{\mu_0}[T \le -2.67] = \Phi(-2.67) \approx 0.0038.$

Exercise 13.4. Let $\{\mathbb{P}_{\theta} : \theta \in \Theta\}$, where $\Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, be a family of models, and let X_1, \ldots, X_n be i.i.d. random variables from the distribution \mathbb{P}_{θ} . Assume that we have a confidence interval for θ of the form $[A = a(X_1, \ldots, X_n), B = b(X_1, \ldots, X_n)]$ with coverage probability $1 - \alpha$.

Further, consider the hypotheses

$$\mathbf{H}_0: \theta = \theta_0, \qquad \mathbf{H}_1: \theta \neq \theta_0, \tag{1}$$

where $\theta_0 \in \Theta$ is fixed.

(a) Show that the test procedure

"We reject H_0 if and only if $\theta_0 \notin [A, B]$ "

defines a test at level α .

(b) Conversely, for every $\theta_0 \in \Theta$, let $(T_{\theta_0}, K_{\theta_0})$ be a test for (1) at level α . Show that the random set

$$S(\omega) \coloneqq \{\theta_0 \in \Theta : T_{\theta_0}(\omega) \notin K_{\theta_0}\}$$

is a confidence set for θ with coverage probability at least $1 - \alpha$. That is, show that

$$\mathbb{P}_{\theta_0}[\theta_0 \in S] \ge 1 - \alpha, \quad \theta_0 \in \Theta.$$

(c) How would you modify the confidence interval in (a) for the one-sided alternative

$$H_0: \theta = \theta_0, \qquad H'_1: \theta > \theta_0?$$

Solution:

(a) We want to verify that the probability of a type I error is at most α , i.e.,

 $\mathbb{P}_{\theta_0}[$ "H₀ is rejected" $] \leq \alpha$.

We have

$$\mathbb{P}_{\theta_0}[\text{``H}_0 \text{ is rejected''}] = \mathbb{P}_{\theta_0}[\theta_0 \notin [A, B]] = 1 - \mathbb{P}_{\theta_0}[\theta_0 \in [A, B]] \le 1 - (1 - \alpha) = \alpha,$$

where we have used that [A, B] is a confidence interval with coverage probability $1 - \alpha$, which means that

 $\mathbb{P}_{\theta}[\theta \in [A, B]] \ge 1 - \alpha, \quad \theta \in \Theta.$

(b) By similar reasoning as in part (a), we have for every $\theta_0 \in \Theta$

$$\mathbb{P}_{\theta_0}[\theta_0 \in S] = \mathbb{P}_{\theta_0}[T_{\theta_0} \notin K_{\theta_0}] = 1 - \mathbb{P}_{\theta_0}[T_{\theta_0} \in K_{\theta_0}] \ge 1 - \alpha,$$

where we have used that $(T_{\theta_0}, K_{\theta_0})$ is a test at level α , meaning that

$$\mathbb{P}_{\theta_0}[T_{\theta_0} \in K_{\theta_0}] \le \alpha, \quad \theta_0 \in \Theta.$$

Thus, S is a confidence set for θ with coverage probability at least $1 - \alpha$.

(c) To modify the test for the one-sided alternative, we can consider a one-sided interval of the form $[C = c(X_1, \ldots, X_n), \infty)$. We require that the probability of coverage is at least $1 - \alpha$, i.e.,

$$\mathbb{P}_{\theta}[\theta \in [C, \infty)] = \mathbb{P}_{\theta}[\theta \ge C] \ge 1 - \alpha, \quad \theta \in \Theta.$$

As in part (a), we can then show that the test procedure

"We reject H₀ if and only if $\theta_0 \notin [C, \infty)$ "

defines a test at level α . This test generally has greater power in this case, as it explicitly accounts for the direction of the alternative hypothesis.

Exercise 13.5. Find the p-values for the tests from Exercise 12.5.

Solution:

(a) Let $t_{(a)}$ denote the observed value of $T_{(a)}$, i.e., $t_{(a)} = T_{(a)}(\overline{\omega})$. Then, the p-value is given by

$$p-value(\overline{\omega}) = \mathbb{P}_{H_0}[|T_{(a)}| \ge |t_{(a)}|] = 2(1 - \Phi(|t_{(a)}|)) = 2(1 - \Phi(|T_{(a)}(\overline{\omega}|))).$$

(b) Let $t_{(b)}$ denote the observed value of $T_{(b)}$, i.e., $t_{(b)} = T_{(b)}(\overline{\omega})$. Then, the p-value is given by

 $p-value(\overline{\omega}) = \mathbb{P}_{H_0}[|T_{(b)}| \ge |t_{(b)}|] = 2(1 - F_{t_{n-1}}(|t_{(b)}|)) = 2(1 - F_{t_{n-1}}(|T_{(b)}(\overline{\omega}|))),$

where $F_{t_{n-1}}$ denotes the distribution function of the *t*-distribution with n-1 degrees of freedom.

(c) Let $t_{(c)}$ denote the observed value of $T_{(c)}$, i.e., $t_{(c)} = T_{(c)}(\overline{\omega})$. Then, the p-value is given by

 $\text{p-value}(\overline{\omega}) = \mathbb{P}_{\mathrm{H}_0}[T_{(c)} \ge t_{(c)}] = 1 - F_{\chi^2_{n-1}}(t_{(c)}) = 1 - F_{\chi^2_{n-1}}(T_{(c)}(\overline{\omega})),$

where $F_{\chi^2_{n-1}}$ denotes the distribution function of the chi-squared distribution with n-1 degrees of freedom.

(d) Let $t_{(d)}$ denote the observed value of $T_{(d)}$, i.e., $t_{(d)} = T_{(d)}(\overline{\omega})$. Then, the p-value is given by

 $\text{p-value}(\overline{\omega}) = \mathbb{P}_{H_0}[T_{(d)} \le t_{(d)}] = \Phi(t_{(d)}) = \Phi(T_{(d)}(\overline{\omega})).$

(e) Let $t_{(e)}$ denote the observed value of $T_{(e)}$, i.e., $t_{(e)} = T_{(e)}(\overline{\omega})$. Then, the p-value is given by

$$\operatorname{p-value}(\overline{\omega}) = \mathbb{P}_{\mathrm{H}_0}[T_{(e)} \le t_{(e)}] = \Phi(t_{(e)}) = \Phi(T_{(e)}(\overline{\omega})).$$

REWIND

These are some additional exercises to review some of the material we covered during the semester.

MC 13.6. Let X_1, X_2, \ldots be a sequence of independent, identically distributed random variables with $\mathbb{E}[X_1^2] < \infty$. Let Z be a standard normally distributed random variable. We define $\mu := \mathbb{E}[X_1]$ and $\sigma^2 := \operatorname{Var}[X_1]$. Which of the following statements is correct? (Exactly one answer is correct.)

- (a) $\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}\left[\frac{1}{\sigma^2 n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \le a\right] = \mathbb{P}[Z \le a], \ a \in \mathbb{R}.$
- (b) $\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}\left[\frac{1}{\sigma^2 n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i \mu) \le a\right] = \mathbb{P}[Z \le a], \ a \in \mathbb{R}.$
- (c) $\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 n}} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i \mu) \le a\right] = \mathbb{P}[Z \le a], \ a \in \mathbb{R}.$
- (d) $\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 n}} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \le a\right] = \mathbb{P}[Z \le a], \ a \in \mathbb{R}.$

Solution: (c) is the correct form of the Central Limit Theorem.

MC 13.7. Does the correct answer to MC 13.6 also hold exactly for finite n (i.e., if we omit $\lim_{n\to\infty}$)? (The number of correct answers is between 0 and 4.)

- (a) The correct answer to MC 13.6 also holds without the limit for all distributions satisfying $\mathbb{E}[X_1^2] < \infty$.
- (b) The correct answer to MC 13.6 also holds without the limit if the X_i 's are normally distributed.
- (c) The correct answer to MC 13.6 also holds without the limit if $\mu = 0$ and $\sigma = 1$.
- (d) The correct answer to MC 13.6 never holds exactly for finite n if the limit is omitted.

Solution: (b) is correct. If $X_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$, then by independence, $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim \mathcal{N}(n\mu, n\sigma^2)$. It follows that $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n\sigma^2}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i - n\mu \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n\sigma^2}} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1).$

None of the other options is correct.

Exercise 13.8. It costs \$1 to play a particular slot machine in Las Vegas. The machine is programmed so that it pays out \$2 with probability 0.45 (the player wins), and nothing with probability 0.55 (the casino wins). Let X_i be the net gain of the casino on the *i*-th round of the game. Let $S_n := \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ be the casino's total gain after *n* rounds. Assuming that the outcomes of the games are independent, determine:

- (a) $\mathbb{E}[S_n]$ and $\operatorname{Var}[S_n]$;
- (b) the approximate probability that after 10'000 rounds, the casino's gain lies between \$800 and \$1100.

Assume that we know the casino's gain after 10'000 rounds is \$1200.

(c) Based on this observation, can we conclude that the probability the casino wins is greater than the stated value 0.55? Use significance level $\alpha = 0.05$.

Solution:

(a) The casino's gain in each round is an independent random variable with

$$X_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{with probability } p = 0.55, \\ -1 & \text{with probability } 1 - p = 0.45. \end{cases}$$

This gives $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = 1 \times 0.55 + (-1) \times 0.45 = 0.1$ and $\operatorname{Var}[X_i] = \mathbb{E}[X_i^2] - (\mathbb{E}[X_i])^2 = 1 - 0.1^2 = 0.99$. Thus,

$$\mathbb{E}[S_n] = n \times \mathbb{E}[X_i] = 0.1n, \qquad \operatorname{Var}[S_n] = n \times \operatorname{Var}[X_i] = 0.99n.$$

Alternatively, observe that

$$B_i \coloneqq \frac{X_i + 1}{2}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

are i.i.d. Bernoulli(0.55) variables. Then

$$S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i = \sum_{i=1}^n (2B_i - 1) = 2\sum_{i=1}^n B_i - n = 2\tilde{S}_n - n,$$

where $\tilde{S}_n \sim \text{Bin}(n, 0.55)$. Hence,

$$\mathbb{E}[S_n] = 2 \times 0.55n - n = 0.1n, \qquad \text{Var}[S_n] = 4 \times 0.55 \times 0.45n = 0.99n.$$

(b) Since the X_i are i.i.d., we apply the Central Limit Theorem. For large n, we have approximately

$$\frac{S_n - 0.1n}{\sqrt{0.99n}} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1).$$

For n = 10'000, we have approximately

$$\frac{S_{10'000} - 1000}{\sqrt{9900}} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1),$$

and therefore

$$\mathbb{P}[800 \le S_{10'000} \le 1100] = \mathbb{P}\left[-\frac{200}{\sqrt{9900}} \le \frac{S_{10'000} - 1000}{\sqrt{9900}} \le \frac{100}{\sqrt{9900}}\right]$$
$$\approx \Phi\left(\frac{100}{\sqrt{9900}}\right) - \Phi\left(-\frac{200}{\sqrt{9900}}\right)$$
$$= \Phi(1.005) - \Phi(-2.010)$$
$$\approx 0.82.$$

(c) We test the hypotheses

$$H'_0: p \le 0.55, \qquad H_1: p > 0.55.$$

Alternatively, we can take H_0 : p = 0.55. Under H_0 (which also works for H'_0 as in Exercise 12.4), we have approximately

$$\frac{S_{10'000} - 1000}{\sqrt{9900}} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$

Then,

$$\mathbb{P}_{0.55}[S_{10'000} \ge c] = \mathbb{P}_{0.55}\left[\frac{S_{10'000} - 1000}{\sqrt{9900}} \ge \frac{c - 1000}{\sqrt{9900}}\right] \approx 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{c - 1000}{\sqrt{9900}}\right)$$

We solve

$$1 - \Phi\left(\frac{c - 1000}{\sqrt{9900}}\right) = 0.05 \iff \frac{c - 1000}{\sqrt{9900}} = \Phi^{-1}(0.95)$$
$$\iff \frac{c - 1000}{\sqrt{9900}} = 1.6449$$
$$\iff c = 1000 + 1.6449 \times \sqrt{9900} \approx 1163.67$$

Thus, the approximate critical region for the test statistic $S_{10'000}$ is $[1164, \infty)$. Since $1200 \in [1164, \infty)$, we reject H₀ and can state that the probability the casino wins is greater than 0.55.

Exercise 13.9. A team of three people is randomly selected from a group of six people. Among the six are three women (Anna, Elsa, and Helga) and three men (Franz, Mario, and Tobias). Let X be the number of women and Y the number of men in the selected team.

- (a) What is the conditional probability that the team consists only of women, given that the team includes at least one woman?
- (b) What is the conditional probability that the team consists only of women, given that Helga is in the team?
- (c) Find the joint distribution of (X, Y). Compute $\mathbb{E}[X]$ and $\mathbb{E}[Y]$.
- (d) Compute $\operatorname{Var}[X]$, $\operatorname{Var}[X+Y]$, $\operatorname{Cov}(X,Y)$, and $\operatorname{Corr}(X,Y)$.

Solution:

(a) For $x \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, the number of teams with x women and 3 - x men is

$$\binom{3}{x}\binom{3}{3-x}.$$

There are

$$\sum_{x=1}^{3} \binom{3}{x} \binom{3}{3-x} = 3 \times 3 + 3 \times 3 + 1 = 19$$

teams with at least one woman. Exactly one of these consists only of women. Hence, the conditional probability is $\frac{1}{19}$.

Alternatively, there are $\binom{6}{3} = 20$ possible teams. Thus,

$$\mathbb{P}[\text{``only women''} | \text{``at least one woman''}] = \frac{\mathbb{P}[\text{``only women'' and ``at least one woman''}]}{\mathbb{P}[\text{``at least one woman''}]} = \frac{1/20}{19/20} = \frac{1}{19}.$$

(b) For $x \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, the number of teams including Helga and x additional women and 2 - x men is (2) (-2) (-2)

$$\binom{2}{x}\binom{3}{2-x}.$$

This gives

$$\sum_{x=0}^{2} \binom{2}{x} \binom{3}{2-x} = 1 + 2 \times 3 + 3 = 10$$

teams that include Helga. Exactly one of these consists only of women. Hence, the conditional probability is $\frac{1}{10}$.

Alternatively,

$$\mathbb{P}[\text{``only women''} | \text{``Helga in team''}] = \frac{\mathbb{P}[\text{``only women'' and ``Helga in team'']}}{\mathbb{P}[\text{``Helga in team''}]} \\ = \frac{\mathbb{P}[\text{``only women''}]}{\mathbb{P}[\text{``Helga in team''}]} = \frac{1/20}{10/20} = \frac{1}{10}.$$

(c) Clearly, $\mathbb{P}[X = x, Y = y] = 0$ if $x + y \neq 3$. For y = 3 - x, we have

$$\mathbb{P}[X = x, Y = y] = \frac{\binom{3}{x}\binom{3}{y}}{\binom{6}{3}} = \frac{\binom{3}{x}\binom{3}{y}}{20}$$

So,

$$\mathbb{P}[X=0,Y=3] = \frac{1}{20}, \quad \mathbb{P}[X=1,Y=2] = \frac{9}{20}, \quad \mathbb{P}[X=2,Y=1] = \frac{9}{20}, \quad \mathbb{P}[X=3,Y=0] = \frac{1}{20}.$$

We compute $\mathbb{E}[X] = 1 \times \frac{9}{20} + 2 \times \frac{9}{20} + 3 \times \frac{1}{20} = \frac{30}{20} = \frac{3}{2}.$ By symmetry, $\mathbb{E}[Y] = \frac{3}{2}$. Alternatively, Y = 3 - X, so $\mathbb{E}[Y] = 3 - \mathbb{E}[X] = \frac{3}{2}$. (d) We compute $\mathbb{E}[X^2] = 1^2 \times \frac{9}{20} + 2^2 \times \frac{9}{20} + 3^2 \times \frac{1}{20} = \frac{54}{20},$ so $\operatorname{Var}[X] = \mathbb{E}[X^2] - (\mathbb{E}[X])^2 = \frac{54}{20} - \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^2 = \frac{9}{20}.$ Since X + Y = 3, we have $\operatorname{Var}[X + Y] = \operatorname{Var}[3] = 0$. Using the formula $\operatorname{Var}[X+Y] = \operatorname{Var}[X] + 2\operatorname{Cov}(X,Y) + \operatorname{Var}[Y],$ and noting that $\operatorname{Var}[X] = \operatorname{Var}[Y]$, we find $\operatorname{Cov}(X,Y) = -\operatorname{Var}[X] = -\frac{9}{20}.$ Alternatively, from the joint distribution: $\mathbb{E}[XY] = 1 \times 2 \times \frac{9}{20} + 2 \times 1 \times \frac{9}{20} = \frac{36}{20} = \frac{9}{5},$ \mathbf{SO} $Cov(X,Y) = \mathbb{E}[XY] - \mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[Y] = \frac{9}{5} - \frac{9}{4} = -\frac{9}{20}.$ Finally,

$$\operatorname{Corr}(X,Y) = \frac{\operatorname{Cov}(X,Y)}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}[X] \times \operatorname{Var}[Y]}} = -1.$$

That is, X and Y are perfectly negatively correlated, which can be directly seen from the identity X = 3 - Y.

Exercise 13.10. Let X and Y be independent random variables where X is uniformly distributed on [0, 1] and Y is exponentially distributed with parameter 1. Define U = X + Y and V = XY.

(a) Compute $\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{V}{X^2+1}\right]$.

(b) Determine the distribution function and the density function of U.

Solution:

(a) Since X and Y are independent, so are Y and $X/(X^2 + 1)$. Therefore, we have using that $\mathbb{E}[Y] = 1$:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{V}{X^2+1}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[Y \times \frac{X}{X^2+1}\right] = \mathbb{E}[Y] \times \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{X}{X^2+1}\right] = \int_0^1 \frac{x}{x^2+1} dx$$

Using the substitution formula:

$$\int_0^1 \frac{x}{x^2 + 1} dx = \frac{1}{2} \log(x^2 + 1) \Big|_{x=0}^1 = \frac{1}{2} \log 2.$$

So, the value is $\frac{\log 2}{2}$.

(b) Since X and Y are independent, the joint density is

$$f_{X,Y}(x,y) = \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}(x) \times e^{-y} \times \mathbf{1}_{[0,\infty)}(y).$$

We compute $\mathbb{P}[U \leq u]$ for different values of u.

For u < 0, clearly $\mathbb{P}[U \le u] = 0$. For $u \ge 0$:

$$\mathbb{P}[U \le u] = \int_0^{\min\{u,1\}} \int_0^{u-x} e^{-y} \mathrm{d}y \mathrm{d}x = \int_0^{\min\{u,1\}} \left(1 - e^{-(u-x)}\right) \mathrm{d}x.$$

We simplify:

$$\int_0^{\min\{u,1\}} \left(1 - e^{x-u}\right) \mathrm{d}x = \min\{u,1\} - e^{-u} \int_0^{\min\{u,1\}} e^x \mathrm{d}x$$

• For $0 \le u \le 1$, the distribution function is:

$$\mathbb{P}[U \le u] = u - e^{-u}(e^u - 1) = u + e^{-u} - 1.$$

• For u > 1, we get:

$$\mathbb{P}[U \le u] = 1 - e^{-u}(e-1).$$

The distribution function is thus

$$F_U(u) = \mathbb{P}[U \le u] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } u < 0, \\ u + e^{-u} - 1 & \text{if } 0 \le u \le 1, \\ 1 - e^{-u}(e - 1) & \text{if } u > 1. \end{cases}$$

To find the density, we differentiate the distribution function:

$$f_U(u) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } u < 0, \\ 1 - e^{-u} & \text{if } 0 \le u < 1, \\ e^{-u}(e - 1) & \text{if } u > 1. \end{cases}$$

0.5	0.75	0.9	0.95	0.975	0.99	0.995	0.999
0	0.6745	1.2816	1.6449	1.9600	2.3263	2.5758	3.0902

Quantile table for the standard normal distribution

For instance, $\Phi^{-1}(0.9) = 1.2816$, where Φ is the distribution function of $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$.

0.000.010.02 0.030.040.050.060.070.08 0.090.5040 0.50800.5120 0.5199 0.5239 0.5279 0.5319 0.5359 0.00.50000.51600.10.53980.54380.54780.55170.55570.5596 0.56360.56750.57140.57530.20.57930.58320.58710.59100.59480.5987 0.6026 0.6064 0.61030.61410.30.62170.62550.6293 0.63310.6368 0.64060.64430.64800.65170.61790.6628 0.67000.67720.40.65540.65910.6664 0.67360.6808 0.68440.68790.69500.69850.7019 0.70540.71230.71900.50.69150.7088 0.71570.72240.60.72570.72910.73240.73570.73890.74220.74540.74860.75170.75490.70.75800.76110.76420.76730.77040.77340.77640.77940.78230.78520.79950.80.78810.79100.79390.7967 0.80230.80510.80780.81060.8133 0.90.81590.81860.8212 0.82380.82640.82890.83150.83400.83650.8389 1.00.84130.84380.84610.84850.85080.85310.85540.85770.85990.86211.1 0.86430.86650.8686 0.8708 0.8729 0.8749 0.87700.8790 0.8810 0.8830 1.20.88490.88690.88880.89070.89250.89440.8962 0.89800.8997 0.90151.30.90320.9049 0.9066 0.90820.9099 0.91150.91310.9147 0.91620.91771.40.9192 0.9207 0.92220.9236 0.92510.92650.92790.9292 0.9306 0.9319 1.50.93320.93450.93570.9370 0.93820.93940.94060.94180.94290.94411.60.94520.94630.94740.94840.94950.95050.95150.95250.95350.95451.70.95540.95640.95730.95820.95910.9599 0.9608 0.9616 0.96250.9633 1.80.96410.96490.96560.96640.96710.9678 0.96860.96930.9699 0.9706 1.90.97130.9719 0.97260.97320.97380.9744 0.97500.9756 0.9761 0.9767 2.00.97720.97780.97830.97880.97930.9798 0.98030.98080.98120.98172.10.98210.98260.98340.98380.98460.98540.98300.98420.98500.98572.20.9868 0.98750.98810.98900.98610.98640.9871 0.9878 0.98840.98872.30.98930.98960.98980.99010.99040.9906 0.99090.99110.99130.99162.40.99180.99200.99220.9925 0.99270.9929 0.99310.99320.99340.9936 2.50.9938 0.99400.99410.99430.99450.9946 0.99480.99490.99510.99522.60.99530.99550.9956 0.9957 0.9959 0.9960 0.99610.99620.99630.99640.9969 2.70.99650.9966 0.99670.9968 0.9970 0.99710.99720.99730.99742.80.99740.99750.9976 0.9977 0.99770.9978 0.99790.99790.99800.99812.90.99810.99820.99820.99830.99840.99840.99850.9985 0.9986 0.99863.00.99870.9987 0.9987 0.9988 0.9988 0.9989 0.9989 0.99890.9990 0.9990

Table of standard normal distribution

For instance, $\mathbb{P}[Z \leq 1.96] = 0.975$.