

Since ergodicity implies

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \mu \otimes \mu ((T \times T)^{-n} (A \times A) \cap B \times B) \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} \mu \otimes \mu (A \times A) \mu \otimes \mu (B \times B) = \mu(A)^2 \mu(B)^2$$

and

$$\mu(A) \mu(B) \cdot \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \mu(T^{-n} A \cap B) \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A)^2 \mu(B)^2$$

End of lecture 21
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (v): Suppose $f \in L^2(\mu)$ is an eigenfunction of T with eigenvalue $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Since U_T is an isometry, we have

$$\|\lambda\| \|f\|_2 = \|\lambda f\|_2 = \|U_T f\|_2 = \|f\|_2,$$

i.e., $\lambda \in \mathbb{S}^1$. Define $\varphi \in L^2(\mu \otimes \mu)$ by

$$\varphi(x_1, x_2) = f(x_1) \overline{f(x_2)}.$$

Then

$$U_{T \times T} \varphi(x_1, x_2) = U_T f(x_1) \overline{U_T f(x_2)} = \varphi(x_1, x_2),$$

i.e., φ is an eigenfunction for eigenvalue 1 / invariant. Since $T \times T$ is ergodic by assumption, φ is constant and thus so is f (by Furstenberg).
(v) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) is more difficult (i.e., deferred)

Excursion to compact operators

Definition

A Banach space is a complete normed vector space over $\mathbb{C}$.

Definition (Compact operator)

Let V, W Banach spaces and $A: V \rightarrow W$ linear. A is a compact operator if $\overline{A(B_{V,1}(0))} \subseteq W$ is compact.

Example let $V = C^1([0,1])$ with $\|f\| = \|f\|_\infty + \|f'\|_\infty$. let $W = C^0([0,1])$ with

norm $\|f\| = \|f\|_\infty$. Then the "identity" map

$$i: (C^1([0,1]), \|\cdot\|) \hookrightarrow (C^0([0,1]), \|\cdot\|)$$

is compact by Arzelà-Ascoli. To this end note that

$$i(B_{V,1}(0)) \subseteq \{f \in W : \|f\| \leq 1, f \text{ is 1-Lipschitz}\}.$$

Definition

Let V a Banach space. V is a Hilbert space if the norm is induced by an inner product.

Definition

Let V a Hilbert space. A linear operator $A: V \rightarrow V$ is self-adjoint if $\forall v_1, v_2 \in V \langle v_1, Av_2 \rangle = \langle Av_1, v_2 \rangle$.

Theorem (without proof - spectral theorem for self-adj compact operators)

Let V be a Hilbert space and let $A: V \rightarrow V$ self-adjoint compact. Then there exists an orthonormal basis consisting of eigenvectors of A , i.e., A is (in particular) completely diagonalizable. The eigenvalues are real and for every non-zero eigenvalue λ of A , the eigenspace for λ is finite dimensional. Moreover, the eigenvalues are bounded and if $\|\lambda_1\| \geq \|\lambda_2\| \geq \dots$, then $\lambda_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$.

Note: $\mathbb{S}: L^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R})$ has no eigenvectors.

$$(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto (x_{n+2})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$$

Proposition (Hilbert-Schmidt)

Let (X, B, μ) and $(Y, \mathcal{C}, \nu)$ σ -finite. let $k \in L^2(\mu \otimes \nu)$. Then the Hilbert-Schmidt integral operator $K: L^2(\mu) \rightarrow L^2(\nu)$ defined by

$$K(\varphi)(y) = \int_X \varphi(x) k(x, y) d\mu(x) \quad \nu\text{-a.s.}$$

is compact. K is self-adjoint if and only if $k(x_1, x_2) = \overline{k(x_2, x_1)}$ $\mu \otimes \nu$ -a.s.

Proof that (v) $\Rightarrow$ (ii):

Suppose $T \times T$ is not ergodic. Then there exists $\mathbb{B} \subseteq X \times X$ s.t. $\mu \otimes \nu(\mathbb{B}) \in (0,1)$ and $(T \times T)^{-1} \mathbb{B} = \mathbb{B}$. Define

$$k_1(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} (\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{B}}(x, y) + \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{B}}(y, x))$$

$$k_2(x, y) = \frac{1}{2i} (\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{B}}(x, y) - \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{B}}(y, x)).$$

Then $k_1(x, y) = \overline{k_1(y, x)}$ and $k_2(x, y) = \overline{k_2(y, x)}$. Moreover

$$\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{B}} = k_1 + i k_2$$

implies that at least one of the k_i is non-constant (since $\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{B}}$ is non-constant).

Let k be a non-constant element in $\{k_1, -k_2\}$ $\mu \otimes \nu$ -a.s.

Note that $U_{T \times T} k = k$, $k \neq 0$, $\int k = 0$. Let $A: L^2(\mu) \rightarrow L^2(\mu)$,

$$f \mapsto \int_X k(x, y) f(y) d\mu(y)$$

$$\text{Then } A(U_T f)(y) = \int_X k(x, y) f(Tx) d\mu(x) = \int_X k(Tx, Ty) f(Tx) d\mu(x)$$

$$= \int_X k(x, Ty) f(x) d\mu(x) = U_T(Af)(y),$$

i.e., A and U_T commute.

Applying the spectral theorem and Hilbert-Schmidt, A is diagonalizable. If 0 is the only eigenvalue of A , then $k=0$ $\mu \otimes \mu$ -a.s., which is absurd. So let $\lambda \neq 0$ be an eigenvalue for A . Then V_λ is finite-dimensional and $U_T V_\lambda \subseteq V_\lambda$. In particular, U_T has an eigenvector for eigenvalue λ , say, f . Since $f \neq 0$, and $\int k d\mu \otimes \mu = 0$, f is non-constant, which contradicts (v).

Theorem

Suppose that $(S^N, \mathcal{B}(S^N), \mu, \epsilon)$ is a fully supported stationary (P, P) -Markov chain.

The following are equivalent.

- (i) ϵ is weak-mixing.
- (ii) ϵ is mixing.
- (iii) P is aperiodic, i.e., $\exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $P^{n_0} > 0$.
- (iv) $\forall s, t \in \mathbb{S} \quad (P^n)_{st} \rightarrow P(t)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

The proof relies on the following observation.

Proposition (part of Perron-Frobenius)

Let P be a stochastic matrix. Suppose that $P > 0$. Then 1 is the only eigenvalue on the unit circle, i.e.,

$$\forall \lambda \in \text{spec}(P) \quad \lambda \neq 1 \Rightarrow |\lambda| < 1.$$

Proof: Let $\lambda \in \text{spec}(P) - \{1\}$, $Pv = \lambda v$. Then v isn't a multiple of a positive vector by uniqueness of the positive eigenvector; cf. Exercise Sheet 5.

Assume w.l.o.g. $\|v\|_\infty = 1$. Then

$$|\lambda| = \|Pv\|_\infty = \max \left\{ \left| \sum_{j=1}^d P_{ij} v_j \right| : 1 \leq i \leq d \right\}.$$

Lemma

Let π be a fully supported probability vector, $v \in \mathbb{C}^d$. Then

$$\|\pi \cdot v\| = \|v\|_\infty \Rightarrow v \text{ is constant.}$$

Indeed, $\|\pi \cdot v\| \leq \sum_{j=1}^d \pi_j |v_j| \leq \|v\|_\infty$ with equality if and only if $\forall 1 \leq j \leq d \quad |v_j| = \|v\|_\infty$.

$$\therefore \sum_{j=1}^d \pi_j |v_j| = \|v\|_\infty \sum_{j=1}^d \pi_j \varepsilon_j \text{ for some } (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_d) \in \{\pm 1\}^d.$$

and, clearly, averaging points on the circle has the desired property.

It follows that

$$|\lambda| = \max \left\{ \left| \sum_{j=1}^d P_{ij} v_j \right| : 1 \leq i \leq d \right\} < \|v\|_\infty = 1.$$

Proof of the theorem

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): Clear

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): By weak-mixing, for all $s, t \in \mathbb{S}$

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} |\mu(\epsilon(s) \cap T^{-n} \epsilon(t)) - \underbrace{\mu(\epsilon(s))\mu(\epsilon(t))}_{P(s)P(t)}| \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} |P_{st}^{(n)} - P(t)| \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

$\Rightarrow (P^n)_{st} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} P(t)$ along a set $K_{st} = \mathbb{N} - J_{st}$, where J_{st} has density 0.

Since $\bigcup_{s,t \in \mathbb{S}} J_{st}$ has density zero, there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t.

$$\forall s, t \in \mathbb{S} \quad |P_{st}^{(n)} - P(t)| < \frac{\min \{P(s) : s \in \mathbb{S}\}}{2},$$

i.e., $P^{n_0} > 0$.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv): Using the proposition, we know that

$$P^{n_0} \sim \begin{pmatrix} f_1(\lambda_1) & & \\ & f_2(\lambda_2) & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & f_k(\lambda_k) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\text{spec}(P^{n_0}) = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k\}$ and $\forall 2 \leq i \leq k \quad |\lambda_i| < 1$. Hence, since 1 is an eigenvalue of P , we have

$$P \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & f_2(\mu_2) & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & f_k(\mu_k) \end{pmatrix} =: T \quad (\lambda \in \text{spec}(P) \Rightarrow \lambda^{n_0} \in \text{spec}(P^{n_0}))$$

where $\text{spec}(P) = \{1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_k\}$ and $\forall 2 \leq i \leq k \quad |\mu_i| < 1$. The right-hand side satisfies $f^n \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ (since $f_i(\mu_i)^n \rightarrow 0$). Hence

$$P^n \rightarrow Q'$$

for some Q' . But, in particular, $Q' = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} P^n = Q$, where Q is as in the proof of ergodicity for irreducible stationary Markov chains. Hence, since aperiodic $\Rightarrow$ irreducible, we have $Q'_{st} = P(t)$.

(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): let $\varepsilon, \varepsilon \in S^{k+1}$. It suffices to show that

$$\mu(\mathcal{C}(\varepsilon) \cap T^{-n} \mathcal{C}(\varepsilon)) \longrightarrow \mu(\mathcal{C}(\varepsilon)) \mu(\mathcal{C}(\varepsilon))$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, since this implies the characterization of strong mixing for simple functions, which are dense in $L^2(\mu)$.

But

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\mathcal{C}(\varepsilon) \cap T^{-n} \mathcal{C}(\varepsilon)) &= p(s_0) \cdot \prod_{k=0}^{l-1} p_{s_k s_{k+1}} \cdot (P^n)_{s_\varepsilon t_\varepsilon} \cdot \prod_{k=0}^{l-1} p_{t_k t_{k+1}} \\ &\xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} p(s_0) \prod_{k=0}^{l-1} p_{s_k s_{k+1}} \cdot p(t_0) \cdot \prod_{k=0}^{l-1} p_{t_k t_{k+1}} = \mu(\mathcal{C}(\varepsilon)) \mu(\mathcal{C}(\varepsilon)). \end{aligned}$$

□

End of lecture 22

Invariant Measures for Continuous Transformations

In what follows, we will focus on the following setup. (X, d) will be a compact metric space and $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}(X)$ is the Borel σ -algebra on X . We denote by $\mathcal{M}_1(X)$ the set of Borel probability measures on X . We recall that any $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(X)$ is regular, i.e.,

$$\forall B \in \mathcal{B} \forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists C_\varepsilon \subseteq B \text{ compact } \exists U_\varepsilon \supseteq B \text{ open } \mu(U_\varepsilon - C_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon.$$

In particular,

$$\forall B \in \mathcal{B} \quad \mu(B) = \inf_{\substack{B \subseteq U \\ U \text{ open}}} \mu(U) = \sup_{\substack{C \subseteq B \\ C \text{ compact}}} \mu(C).$$

We can map $\mathcal{M}_1(X)$ into $C(X)^*$ by defining

$$\forall \mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(X) \forall f \in C(X) \quad \langle f, \mu \rangle := \int f d\mu.$$

Then $\|\mu\|_{op} = 1$, since $\langle 1_X, \mu \rangle = 1$ and $|\langle f, \mu \rangle| \leq \|f\|_\infty$.

This mapping is injective:

Theorem (without proof)

Let $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}_1(X)$. Then

$$\forall f \in C(X) \quad \langle f, \mu \rangle = \langle f, \nu \rangle \implies \mu = \nu.$$

cf. Walters, §6.1.

In fact, this mapping is onto in some sense, i.e., $C(X)^*$ identifies with the signed

measures on $\mathcal{B}$. The main step in this direction and for us the crucial result is the following.

Theorem (Riesz-Kakutani-Markov, without proof)

Let $\ell: C(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ a bounded linear functional. Suppose that ℓ is positive, i.e., $\forall f \in C(X) \ f \geq 0 \implies \ell(f) \geq 0$, and normalized, i.e., $\ell(1_X) = 1$.

Then there exists $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(X)$ s.t.

$$\forall f \in C(X) \quad \ell(f) = \langle f, \mu \rangle.$$

Definition

The weak-* topology on $C(X)^*$ is the smallest topology s.t. for all $f \in C(X)$ the map

$$\begin{aligned} ev_f: C(X)^* &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ \ell &\longmapsto \ell(f) \end{aligned}$$

is continuous. The weak-* topology on $\mathcal{M}_1(X)$ is the restriction to $\mathcal{M}_1(X)$ of the weak-* topology on $C(X)^*$.

Remark: The weak-* topology on $\mathcal{M}_1(X)$ is generated by the open neighbourhoods of the form

$$N_{f_1, \dots, f_s, \varepsilon}(\mu) = \bigcap_{i=1}^s \{ \nu \in \mathcal{M}_1(X) : \left| \int f_i d\nu - \int f_i d\mu \right| < \varepsilon \},$$

where set $N, \varepsilon > 0, f_1, \dots, f_s \in C(X), \mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(X)$ vary.

Theorem (exercise)

Let $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ a dense sequence in $C(X) - \{0\}$ and define

$$\begin{aligned} D: \mathcal{M}_1(X) \times \mathcal{M}_1(X) &\longrightarrow [0, \infty) \\ (\sigma, \mu) &\longmapsto \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\langle f_n, \sigma \rangle - \langle f_n, \mu \rangle|}{2^n \|f_n\|_\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Then D is a metric inducing the weak-* topology on $\mathcal{M}_1(X)$.

Theorem (Special case of Banach-Alaoglu)

$\overline{\mathcal{B}_1^{C(X)^*}}(0) \subseteq C(X)^*$ is compact in the weak-* topology.

For $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(X)$, $\overline{\mathcal{B}_1^{C(X)^*}}(0) \subseteq \mathcal{M}_1(X)$.