

(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): let $\varepsilon, \varepsilon \in S^{k+1}$. It suffices to show that

$$\mu(\mathcal{C}(\varepsilon) \cap T^{-n} \mathcal{C}(\varepsilon)) \longrightarrow \mu(\mathcal{C}(\varepsilon)) \mu(\mathcal{C}(\varepsilon))$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, since this implies the characterization of strong mixing for simple functions, which are dense in $L^2(\mu)$.

But

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\mathcal{C}(\varepsilon) \cap T^{-n} \mathcal{C}(\varepsilon)) &= p(s_0) \cdot \prod_{k=0}^{l-1} p_{s_k s_{k+1}} \cdot (P^n)_{s_\varepsilon t_\varepsilon} \cdot \prod_{k=0}^{l-1} p_{t_k t_{k+1}} \\ &\xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} p(s_0) \prod_{k=0}^{l-1} p_{s_k s_{k+1}} \cdot p(t_0) \cdot \prod_{k=0}^{l-1} p_{t_k t_{k+1}} = \mu(\mathcal{C}(\varepsilon)) \mu(\mathcal{C}(\varepsilon)). \end{aligned}$$

□

End of lecture 22

Invariant Measures for Continuous Transformations

In what follows, we will focus on the following setup. (X, d) will be a compact metric space and $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}(X)$ is the Borel σ -algebra on X . We denote by $\mathcal{M}_1(X)$ the set of Borel probability measures on X . We recall that any $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(X)$ is regular, i.e.,

$$\forall B \in \mathcal{B} \forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists C_\varepsilon \subseteq B \text{ compact } \exists U_\varepsilon \supseteq B \text{ open } \mu(U_\varepsilon - C_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon.$$

In particular,

$$\forall B \in \mathcal{B} \quad \mu(B) = \inf_{\substack{B \subseteq U \\ U \text{ open}}} \mu(U) = \sup_{\substack{C \subseteq B \\ C \text{ compact}}} \mu(C).$$

We can map $\mathcal{M}_1(X)$ into $C(X)^*$ by defining

$$\forall \mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(X) \forall f \in C(X) \quad \langle f, \mu \rangle := \int f d\mu.$$

Then $\|\mu\|_{op} = 1$, since $\langle 1_X, \mu \rangle = 1$ and $|\langle f, \mu \rangle| \leq \|f\|_\infty$.

This mapping is injective:

Theorem (without proof)

Let $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}_1(X)$. Then

$$\forall f \in C(X) \quad \langle f, \mu \rangle = \langle f, \nu \rangle \implies \mu = \nu.$$

cf. Walters, §6.1.

In fact, this mapping is onto in some sense, i.e., $C(X)^*$ identifies with the signed

measures on $\mathcal{B}$. The main step in this direction and for us the crucial result is the following.

Theorem (Riesz-Kakutani-Markov, without proof)

Let $\ell: C(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ a bounded linear functional. Suppose that ℓ is positive, i.e., $\forall f \in C(X) \quad f \geq 0 \implies \ell(f) \geq 0$, and normalized, i.e., $\ell(1_X) = 1$.

Then there exists $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(X)$ s.t.

$$\forall f \in C(X) \quad \ell(f) = \langle f, \mu \rangle.$$

Definition

The weak-* topology on $C(X)^*$ is the smallest topology s.t. for all $f \in C(X)$ the map

$$\begin{aligned} ev_f: C(X)^* &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ \ell &\longmapsto \ell(f) \end{aligned}$$

is continuous. The weak-* topology on $\mathcal{M}_1(X)$ is the restriction to $\mathcal{M}_1(X)$ of the weak-* topology on $C(X)^*$.

Remark: The weak-* topology on $\mathcal{M}_1(X)$ is generated by the open neighbourhoods of the form

$$N_{f_1, \dots, f_s, \varepsilon}(\mu) = \bigcap_{i=1}^s \{ \nu \in \mathcal{M}_1(X) : \left| \int f_i d\nu - \int f_i d\mu \right| < \varepsilon \},$$

where sets, $\varepsilon > 0, f_1, \dots, f_s \in C(X), \mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(X)$ vary.

Theorem (exercise)

Let $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ a dense sequence in $C(X) - \{0\}$ and define

$$\begin{aligned} D: \mathcal{M}_1(X) \times \mathcal{M}_1(X) &\longrightarrow [0, \infty) \\ (\sigma, \mu) &\longmapsto \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\langle f_n, \sigma \rangle - \langle f_n, \mu \rangle|}{2^n \|f_n\|_\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Then D is a metric inducing the weak-* topology on $\mathcal{M}_1(X)$.

Theorem (Special case of Banach-Alaoglu)

$\overline{\mathcal{B}_1^{C(X)^*}}(0) \subseteq C(X)^*$ is compact in the weak-* topology.

For $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(X)$ Borel, $\mathcal{M}_1(X) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_1^{C(X)^*}$ compact.

Lemma

Let $T: X \rightarrow X$ continuous, $U_T^*: \mathcal{M}_1(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_1(X)$. Then U_T^* is continuous in the weak-* topology.

Proof: Suppose $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu$ in the weak-* topology. Let $f \in C(X)$ arbitrary. Then $f \circ T \in C(X)$, hence

$$\langle f, U_T^* \mu_n \rangle = \langle U_T f, \mu_n \rangle \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle U_T f, \mu \rangle = \langle f, U_T^* \mu \rangle,$$

thus $U_T^* \mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} U_T^* \mu_n$.

Lemma

$\mathcal{M}_1(X) \subseteq C(X)^*$ is compact in the weak-* topology.

Proof: We have seen that $\mathcal{M}_1(X) \subseteq \overline{B_{C(X)^*}(0)}$, thus it suffices to show that $\mathcal{M}_1(X)$ is closed. By the Riesz representation theorem

$$\mathcal{M}_1(X) = \{ \nu_x^{-1}(\{1\}) \cap \bigcap_{f \geq 0} \text{ev}_f^{-1}([0, \infty)) \},$$

which is closed by definition of the weak-* topology.

Proposition

Let $T: X \rightarrow X$ continuous. Then

$$\mathcal{M}_1^T(X) = \{ \mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(X) : T_* \mu = \mu \}$$

is a compact, convex subset of $\mathcal{M}_1(X)$.

Proof: Convexity is clear

• Compactness: $\mathcal{M}_1^T(X) = \bigcap_{f \in C(X)} \{ \text{ev}_f^{-1}(f \circ T^{-1}(\{0\})) \cap \mathcal{M}_1(X) \}$ is a closed subset of $\mathcal{M}_1(X)$, hence compact.

Theorem (Kryloff - Bogdanoff)

Let $\{ \varphi_n : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_1(X)$ and $\mu_N := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} T_{\varphi_n}^* \varphi_n$, then any weak-* limit of the sequence $(\mu_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ is T -invariant. In particular, $\mathcal{M}_1^T(X) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof: Let $\mu \in C(X)^*$ s.t. $\mu_{N_k} \rightarrow \mu$ in the weak-* topology as $k \rightarrow \infty$

for some $(N_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ with $N_k \uparrow \infty$. Since $\mathcal{M}_1(X)$ is compact, we know that $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(X)$. Moreover, for any $f \in C(X)$

$$\begin{aligned} |\mu(f) - T_* \mu(f)| &= \left| \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{N_k}(f) - \mu_{N_k}(f \circ T) \right| \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{1}{N_k} (\varphi_{N_k}(f) - \varphi_{N_k}(f \circ T^{N_k})) \right| \\ &\leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2 \|f\|_{\infty}}{N_k} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{M}_1(X)$ is compact, metric, any sequence has a converging subsequence and, since $\mathcal{M}_1(X) \supseteq \{ \delta_x : x \in X \}$ is non-empty, $\mathcal{M}_1^T(X) \neq \emptyset$.

Exercise: $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1^T(X)$ is extreme $\Leftrightarrow \mu$ is ergodic

• Suppose $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}_1^T(X)$ are ergodic. Then $\mu \neq \nu \Leftrightarrow \mu \perp \nu$.

Exercise

Let $T: X \rightarrow X$ continuous, $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1^T(X)$.

(i) μ is ergodic if and only if for all $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_1(X)$

$$\nu \ll \mu \Rightarrow \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} T^n \nu \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} \mu.$$

(ii) μ is (strong) mixing if and only if for all $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_1(X)$

$$\nu \ll \mu \Rightarrow T^n \nu \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu.$$

(iii) μ is weak-mixing if and only if $\exists J \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ density zero such that for all $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_1(X)$

$$\nu \ll \mu \Rightarrow T^n \nu \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ in } \mathbb{N} - J.$$

Exercise

Let $T: X \rightarrow X$ continuous, $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1^T(X)$. Then

$$\mu \text{ is ergodic} \Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} T^n \mu \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} \mu \text{ } \mu\text{-a.s.}$$

Let $T: X \rightarrow X$ continuous. T is uniquely ergodic if $|\mathcal{M}_1^T(X)| = 1$.

Theorem

Let $T: X \rightarrow X$ a homeomorphism and suppose T is uniquely ergodic with unique invariant measure μ . Then T is minimal if and only if for all non-empty open subsets $U \subseteq X$ we have $\mu(U) > 0$, i.e., μ has full support.

Proof: " $\Rightarrow$ " Suppose T is minimal. If $U \subseteq X$ open non-empty. Then

$$X = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} T^n U,$$

hence

$$1 = \mu(X) \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mu(T^n U) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mu(U)$$

and, therefore, $\mu(U) > 0$.

" $\Leftarrow$ " Suppose T isn't minimal and let $E \subseteq X$ closed s.t. $TE = E$ and $E \neq \{ \emptyset, X \}$.

Then $\pi|_E: E \rightarrow E$ is a homeomorphism of a compact metric space and, hence, admits an invariant probability measure μ_E . Define $\tilde{\mu} \in \mathcal{M}_1^T(X)$ by $\forall B \in \mathcal{B} \quad \tilde{\mu}(B) = \mu_E(B \cap E)$. Since T is uniquely ergodic,

we have $\tilde{\mu} = \mu$ and, hence, $\mu(X - E) = \mu_E((X - E) \cap E) = 0$, i.e., there exists $U (= X - E) \subseteq X$ non-empty open such that $\mu(U) = 0$. $\square$

Theorem (Furstenberg)

Let $T: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ be a homeomorphism without periodic points. Then T is uniquely ergodic. Moreover

(i) $\exists \varphi: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ continuous surjective and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q}$ s.t.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{T} & \xrightarrow{T} & \mathbb{T} \\ \varphi \downarrow & \circlearrowleft & \downarrow \varphi \\ \mathbb{T} & \xrightarrow{R_\alpha} & \mathbb{T} \end{array}$$

and, for every $t \in \mathbb{T}$, $\varphi^{-1}(\{t\})$ is either a point or a sub-interval.

(ii) If T is minimal, then φ is a homeomorphism, i.e., every minimal homeomorphism is topologically conjugate to an irrational rotation.

Lemma

Let (X, d) compact metric, $T: X \rightarrow X$ continuous. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in X$. Then $T^N x = x$ if and only if $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} T^n \delta_x \in \mathcal{M}_1^T(X)$.

Proof: Let $\mu_{N,x} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} T^n \delta_x$. Then $\mu_{N,x} \in \mathcal{M}_1(X)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{N,x} \in \mathcal{M}_1^T(X) &\Leftrightarrow \forall f \in C(X) \quad \mu_{N,x}(f) = \mu_{N,x}(f \circ T) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \forall f \in C(X) \quad f(x) = f(T^N x). \end{aligned}$$

Since $C(X)$ separates points, it follows that

$$\mu_{N,x} \in \mathcal{M}_1^T(X) \Leftrightarrow x = T^N x.$$

Proof of the theorem: We start with (i)

Step 1: Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1^T(\mathbb{T})$, then

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{T} \quad \mu(\{x\}) = 0.$$

Suppose $\mu(\{x\}) > 0$, then

$$1 \geq \mu(\mathcal{O}(x)) = \sum_{y \in \mathcal{O}(x)} \mu(\{y\}) = \mu(\{x\}) |\mathcal{O}(x)| \Rightarrow |\mathcal{O}(x)| < \infty$$

$$\therefore \exists N \in \mathbb{N} \quad T^N x = x.$$

Step 2: Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1^T(\mathbb{T})$, then the map $t \mapsto \mu([0, t] \bmod \mathbb{Z})$ is continuous.

Suppose $t \in \mathbb{T} - \{0\}$ and let $t_n \uparrow t$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu([0, t] \bmod \mathbb{Z}) - \mu([0, t_n] \bmod \mathbb{Z}) &= \mu((t_n, t] \bmod \mathbb{Z}) \\ &\xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\{t\}) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

since $E_n := (t_n, t]$ is a nested sequence and $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n = \{t\}$.

Similarly, one argues for $t_n \downarrow t$.

If $t = 0 \in \mathbb{T}$, then we use $\mu([0, 1]) = 1 \equiv 0 \bmod \mathbb{Z} = \mu(\{0\} \bmod \mathbb{Z})$ and separately verify that $t_n \uparrow 1 \Rightarrow \mu([0, t_n] \bmod \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 1$ and $t_n \downarrow 0 \Rightarrow \mu([0, t_n] \bmod \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 0$.

Step 3: Use $\varphi(t) = \mu([0, t] \bmod \mathbb{Z})$ mod $\mathbb{Z}$.

Denote $\varphi: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$

$$t \mapsto \mu([0, t] \bmod \mathbb{Z})$$

so that φ is continuous and surjective (since $t \mapsto \mu([0, t] \bmod \mathbb{Z})$ is surjective onto $[0, 1]$).

Note that for all $t_1, t_2, t_3 \in \mathbb{T}$ we have

$$\mu([t_1, t_2] \bmod \mathbb{Z}) + \mu([t_2, t_3] \bmod \mathbb{Z}) \equiv \mu([t_1, t_3] \bmod \mathbb{Z}) \bmod \mathbb{Z},$$

where for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, we let

$$\mu([a, b] \bmod \mathbb{Z}) = \begin{cases} \mu(\{a\}, \{b\}] \bmod \mathbb{Z}) & \text{if } \{a\} \leq \{b\}, \\ \mu(\{b\}, 1] \bmod \mathbb{Z}) + \mu([0, \{a\}] \bmod \mathbb{Z}) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi \circ T(t) &= \mu([0, T(t)] \bmod \mathbb{Z}) \\ &= \mu([T(0), T(t)] \bmod \mathbb{Z}) + \mu([0, T(0)] \bmod \mathbb{Z}) \\ &= \varphi(t) + \mu([0, T(0)] \bmod \mathbb{Z}). \end{aligned}$$

We need to show that $\mu([0, T(0)] \bmod \mathbb{Z})$ is irrational. Assume otherwise and suppose $q\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $\varphi \circ T^q = \varphi$ and, hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \forall t \in \mathbb{T} \quad \mu([0, t] + \mathbb{Z}) &= \mu([0, T^q t] + \mathbb{Z}) \\ \Rightarrow \forall t \in \mathbb{T} \quad \mu([t, T^q t] + \mathbb{Z}) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $T^q: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ is continuous, $\mathbb{T}$ is compact, and $T^q t \neq t$ for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$, we have

$$\inf \{d(t, T^q t) : t \in \mathbb{T}\} = \min \{d(t, T^q t) : t \in \mathbb{T}\} > 0$$

and there is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$

$$d(t, T^q t) > \frac{2}{m}.$$

Since T is orientation preserving (otherwise T has a fixed point), we have that

$$\pi = \bigcup_{k=0}^{m-1} \left(\left[\frac{k}{m}, T^q \left(\frac{k}{m} \right) \right] \bmod \mathbb{Z} \right)$$

and, hence, $\mu(\pi) = 0$, which is absurd.

Therefore

$\varphi \circ T = R_\alpha \circ \varphi$
with $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ as desired.

End of lecture 23

Next, we deduce unique ergodicity. To this end, note that

$$\forall \mu \in \mathcal{M}_1^T(\mathbb{T}) \quad \varphi_* \mu \in \mathcal{M}_1^{R_\alpha}(\mathbb{T}) = \{\text{Leb}\},$$

since R_α is uniquely ergodic.

Claim:

Let $t \in \mathbb{T}$, then $\varphi^{-1}(\{\varphi(t)\}) = [t_-, t_+] + \mathbb{Z}$, where

$$t_- = \inf \{s \in [0, 1] : \mu([s, t] + \mathbb{Z}) \equiv 0 \bmod \mathbb{Z}\},$$

$$t_+ = \sup \{s \in [0, 1] : \mu([t, s] + \mathbb{Z}) \equiv 0 \bmod \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Indeed, $s \in \varphi^{-1}(\{\varphi(t)\})$ iff $\mu([s, t] \bmod \mathbb{Z}) \equiv 0 \bmod \mathbb{Z}$. Hence the claim follows from monotonicity of μ and non-atomicity. This implies the claim about $\varphi^{-1}(\{\varphi(t)\})$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Suppose } \varphi(t) \in (0, 1), \text{ then} \\ \varphi(s) = \varphi(t) \Leftrightarrow \mu([0, t] + \mathbb{Z}) = \mu([0, s] + \mathbb{Z}) \\ \Leftrightarrow \mu([s, t] + \mathbb{Z}) \in \{0, 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Suppose } \varphi(t) \in \{0, 1\}, \text{ then} \\ \varphi(s) = \varphi(t) \Leftrightarrow \mu([0, s] + \mathbb{Z}) \in \{0, 1\} \\ \Leftrightarrow \mu([s, 1] + \mathbb{Z}) \in \{0, 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, for unique ergodicity, let $0 < a < b < 1$, then $\varphi([a, b] + \mathbb{Z}) = [\varphi(a), \varphi(b)] \bmod \mathbb{Z}$ by "monotonicity" of $\varphi|_{(0, 1)}$, hence $\varphi^{-1}(\varphi([a, b] + \mathbb{Z})) = [a_-, b_+] \bmod \mathbb{Z}$ and, thus,

$$\mu([a, b] + \mathbb{Z}) = \mu([a_-, b_+] \bmod \mathbb{Z}) = \text{Leb}(\varphi([a, b] + \mathbb{Z}))$$

is independent of μ . $\mu([a_-, b_+] \bmod \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ by definition of a_- and b_+ .

It remains to prove (ii). To suppose T is minimal. We know that, letting $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1^T(\mathbb{T})$, for all $\emptyset \neq U \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ open $\mu(U) > 0$. Since T is compact, φ a continuous surjection, we need to show that φ is injective. Let $a < b$ in $[0, 1]$, then $\mu([a, b] + \mathbb{Z}) > 0$, hence

$$\mu([0, b] + \mathbb{Z}) > \mu([0, a] + \mathbb{Z})$$

and, hence, $\varphi(a \bmod \mathbb{Z}) = \varphi(b \bmod \mathbb{Z})$ implies $\mu([0, a] + \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ and $\mu([0, b] + \mathbb{Z}) = 1$. Using the same argument again, we have $\mu([b, 1] + \mathbb{Z}) > 0$ (since $b < 1$ by assumption), hence $\mu([0, b] + \mathbb{Z}) \neq 1$ and, thus,

$$\varphi(a \bmod \mathbb{Z}) \neq \varphi(b \bmod \mathbb{Z}).$$

□