

7. A few words about topological entropy and some words about EKL06

Definition

Let (X, d) compact metric, $\mathcal{C} \subseteq 2^X$ the topology induced by d , and $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ a cover of X . Then

$$N(\mathcal{U}) := \min \{ |\mathcal{V}| : \mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{U} \text{ is a cover of } X \}$$

Definition

Let $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ open covers, then

$$\mathcal{U} \vee \mathcal{V} := \{ U \cap V : U \in \mathcal{U}, V \in \mathcal{V} \}$$

Remark:

- Let $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ covers of X , then $N(\mathcal{U} \vee \mathcal{V}) \leq N(\mathcal{U})N(\mathcal{V})$.
- Let $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ a cover of X , $T: X \rightarrow X$ continuous. Then

$$T^{-1}\mathcal{U} := \{ T^{-1}U : U \in \mathcal{U} \} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$$

is a cover of X and $N(T^{-1}\mathcal{U}) \leq N(\mathcal{U})$.

- Let $\mathcal{U}$ a cover of X , then for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ $\mathcal{U}_m^n := T^{-m}\mathcal{U} \vee \dots \vee T^{-n}\mathcal{U}$.

Definition

Let (X, d) compact metric, $T: X \rightarrow X$ continuous. The topological entropy of T with respect to the open cover $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ is

$$h_{\text{top}}(T, \mathcal{U}) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \log N(\mathcal{U}_0^{N-1}).$$

Remark: The limit exists by subadditivity of $a_N := \log N(\mathcal{U}_0^{N-1})$.

This is sometimes called the cover entropy of $\mathcal{U}$.

Definition

Let (X, d) compact metric, $T: X \rightarrow X$ continuous. The topological entropy of T is

$$h_{\text{top}}(T) = \sup \{ h_{\text{top}}(T, \mathcal{U}) : \mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{C} \text{ cover } X \}$$

Definition

Let (X, d) compact metric, $T: X \rightarrow X$ continuous.

- $E \subseteq X$ is (n, ε) -separated if $\forall x, y \in E$ distinct $\max \{ d(T^k x, T^k y) : 0 \leq k \leq n \} \geq \varepsilon$.
- $F \subseteq X$ is (n, ε) -dense if $\forall x \in X \exists y \in F$ s.t. $\max \{ d(T^k x, T^k y) : 0 \leq k \leq n \} < \varepsilon$.

We let $s_n(T, \varepsilon) = \max \{ |E| : E \text{ is } (n, \varepsilon)\text{-separated} \}$, $s(T, \varepsilon) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log s_n(T, \varepsilon)$,

$$r_n(T, \varepsilon) = \min \{ |F| : F \text{ is } (n, \varepsilon)\text{-dense} \}, \quad r(T, \varepsilon) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log r_n(T, \varepsilon)$$

Theorem

Let (X, d) compact metric, $T: X \rightarrow X$ continuous. Then

$$h_{\text{top}}(T) = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} s(T, \varepsilon) = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} r(T, \varepsilon)$$

Theorem (Variational principle)

Let (X, d) compact metric, $T: X \rightarrow X$ continuous. Then

$$h_{\text{top}}(T) = \sup \{ h_\mu(T) : \mu \in \mathcal{M}_1^T(X) \}$$

Remark:

One can show that, if the entropy map $\mu \mapsto h_\mu(T)$ is upper semicontinuous, i.e., if $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu \Rightarrow h_\mu(T) \geq \limsup h_{\mu_n}(T)$, then the sup is attained, i.e., there is $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1^T(X)$ such that $h_\mu(T) = h_{\text{top}}(T)$.

Application towards Littlewood's conjecture (Einsiedler-Katok-Lindstrauss)

Conjecture (Littlewood ~1930)

$$\forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \| \alpha n \| \| \beta n \| = 0, \quad (\star)$$

where $\| \omega \| = \min_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} | \omega - n |$.

How do we relate this to dynamics?

Let $\mathcal{L} = \{ \Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^3 : \Lambda \text{ discete, } \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}} \Lambda = \mathbb{R}^3 \}$. $\mathcal{L}$ is the space of lattices in $\mathbb{R}^3$. One can show that $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is a lattice iff there is a basis $\underline{b} \in (\mathbb{R}^3)^3$ of $\mathbb{R}^3$ s.t.

$$\Lambda = \text{span}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\underline{b}).$$

We let $\text{covol}(\Lambda) = |\det(\underline{b})| = \text{vol}(\bigwedge^3 \mathbb{R}^3)$ and

$$\mathcal{L}^1 = \{ \Lambda \in \mathcal{L} : \text{covol}(\Lambda) = 1 \}.$$

Then every element $\Lambda \in \mathcal{L}^1$ is of the form $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^3 g$ for some $g \in \text{SL}_3(\mathbb{R})$ and, letting $G = \text{SL}_3(\mathbb{R})$ act on $\mathcal{L}^1$ via $(g, \Lambda) \mapsto \Lambda g^{-1}$, we obtain $\mathcal{L}^1 \cong \text{SL}_3(\mathbb{R}) \backslash \text{SL}_3(\mathbb{R})$.

This equips $\mathcal{L}^1$ with a locally compact G -compact metrizable topology in which bounded sets have compact closure.

For every subgroup $H < \text{SL}_3(\mathbb{R})$ we obtain a (multiparameter) dynamical system (X, H) , where $X = \text{SL}_3(\mathbb{R}) \backslash G$ and $H \curvearrowright X$ by $(g, x) \mapsto xg^{-1}$. Note that H acts by homeomorphisms.

Notation

In what follows

- $\Gamma = SL_3(\mathbb{R})$
- $A = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_3 \end{pmatrix} : a, a_2, a_3 = 1 \right\} < SL_3(\mathbb{R})$
- $A^+ = \left\{ a(s, t) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{st} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^s & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{-t} \end{pmatrix} : s, t \geq 0 \right\}$
- $\forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R} \quad g_{\alpha, \beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha & \beta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Lambda_{\alpha, \beta} = \mathcal{L}^3 g_{\alpha, \beta}$

Proposition

Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, then (α, β) satisfies (A) if and only if $A^+ \cdot \Lambda_{\alpha, \beta} \subseteq \Gamma \setminus \Gamma$ is unbounded.

The proof uses the following characterization of compact subsets of $\Gamma \setminus \Gamma$.

Theorem (Mautner's compactness criterion)

A set $E \subseteq \Gamma \setminus \Gamma$ is bounded if and only if there is $\varepsilon > 0$ s.t.

$$\forall A \in E \quad \forall v \in A - \{0\} \quad \|v\|_\infty \geq \varepsilon.$$

In what follows, $\lambda_1(\Lambda) = \inf \{ \|v\|_\infty : v \in \Lambda - \{0\} \}$.

Proof of the proposition:

Suppose $A^+ \cdot \Lambda_{\alpha, \beta}$ is unbounded, i.e., $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists a \in A^+ \lambda_1(a \cdot \Lambda_{\alpha, \beta}) < \varepsilon$. Let $z \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ and let $a = a(s, t) \in A^+$ such an element. Then $a \cdot \Lambda_{\alpha, \beta} = \mathcal{L}^3 g_{\alpha, \beta} a^{-1}$, thus

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1(a \cdot \Lambda_{\alpha, \beta}) < \varepsilon &\Rightarrow \exists (u, -m, -k) \in \mathbb{Z}^3 - \{0\} \text{ s.t. } \|(u, -m, -k) g_{\alpha, \beta} a^{-1}\|_\infty < \varepsilon \\ &\Rightarrow \max \{ |\ln(e^{-s-t})|, |\ln \alpha - m| e^s, |\ln \beta - k| e^t \} < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$, we have $n \neq 0$ and $\|n\alpha\| = |\ln \alpha - m|, \|n\beta\| = |\ln \beta - k|$. Hence

$$n \|n\alpha\| \|n\beta\| < \varepsilon^3.$$

The opposite implication works similarly.

Theorem (Einsiedler-Katok-Lindenstrauss '06)

Let $\delta > 0$. Then

$$\square_\delta := \{ (\alpha, \beta) \in [0, 1]^2 : \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \|n\alpha\| \|n\beta\| \geq \delta \}$$

has zero upper box dimension, i.e.,

$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \forall r \in (0, 1) \quad \square_\delta$ can be covered by $O_{\delta, \varepsilon}(r^{-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}})$ boxes of size $r \times r$.

The main ingredient is the following dynamic result.

Theorems (Einsiedler-Katok-Lindenstrauss '06)

Let μ be an A -invariant ergodic probability measure on $\Gamma \setminus \Gamma$, i.e., for all Borel sets $B \subseteq \Gamma \setminus \Gamma$ if $a \cdot B = B$ for all $a \in A$, then $\mu(B) \in \{0, 1\}$.

Then either

- μ is the unique G -invariant Borel probability measure on $\Gamma \setminus \Gamma$, the so-called Siegel-Haar measure, or
- $\forall a \in A \quad h_\mu(a) = 0$.

Notation

Given $\sigma, \tau, t \geq 0$, denote $a_{\sigma, \tau}(t) = a(\sigma t, \tau t)$, $a_{\sigma, \tau} := a_{\sigma, \tau}(1)$.

In what follows, we use the following crucial theorem.

Theorem

Let (X, d) compact metric, $T: X \rightarrow X$ a homeomorphism, $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1^T(X)$, and

$$\mu_i = \int \mu'_i d\sigma(z)$$

an ergodic decomposition. Then $h_{\mu_i}(T) = \int h_{\mu'_i}(T) d\sigma(z)$. In particular, if $h_{\text{top}}(T) > 0$, then there exists $\mu' \in \mathcal{M}_1^T(X)$ ergodic such that $h_{\mu'}(T) > 0$.

Proposition

Let $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and suppose that $A^+ \cdot \Lambda_{\alpha, \beta}$ is bounded. Let $\sigma, \tau \geq 0$ and

$$Y = Y_{\alpha, \beta, \sigma, \tau} = \overline{\{ a_{\sigma, \tau}(t) \cdot \Lambda_{\alpha, \beta} : t \geq 0 \}}.$$

Then $h_{\text{top}}(a_{\sigma, \tau}|_Y) = 0$.

Proof Suppose $h_{\text{top}}(a_{\sigma, \tau}|_Y) > 0$. By the variational principle, there is $\mu_{\sigma, \tau}(Y)$ such that $h_{\mu}(a_{\sigma, \tau}) > 0$. Given $S > 0$ let

$$\mu_S = \frac{1}{S^2} \iint_0^S a(s, t)_* \mu ds dt.$$

Since A is commutative, μ_S is $a_{\sigma, \tau}$ -invariant. Using the commutativity again, one shows that $\frac{1}{S}$ is a generator of $a(s, t) \cdot Y \supseteq \text{supp}(a(s, t)_* \mu)$ if and only if $a(s, t)^{-1} \frac{1}{S}$ is a generator of Y (with respect to the map $a_{\sigma, \tau}$). Hence

$$h_{a(s, t)_* \mu}(a_{\sigma, \tau}) = h_{a(s, t)_* \mu}(a_{\sigma, \tau}|_{\frac{1}{S}}) = h_Y(a_{\sigma, \tau}(a(s, t)^{-1} \frac{1}{S})) = h_Y(a_{\sigma, \tau} \frac{1}{S}),$$

where we use that generators exist.

It follows that

$$\forall \delta > 0 \quad h_{\mu_\delta}(a_{\delta, \tau}) = h_\mu(a_{\delta, \tau}).$$

Since μ_δ is supported on the compact metric space $A^+ \cdot \Lambda_{\alpha, \beta}$, there is $S_n \uparrow \infty$ such that $\mu_{S_n} \rightarrow \mu_\infty$. Note that μ_∞ is A^+ and, hence, A -invariant. In our setting the entropy map is upper semicontinuous since $a_{\delta, \tau}$ behaves similarly to an expansive map. Therefore $h_{\mu_\infty}(a_{\delta, \tau}) \geq \limsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0} h_{\mu_{S_n}}(a_{\delta, \tau}) > 0$ and, therefore, $EKL \Rightarrow \mu_\infty$ is Haar on $\Gamma \backslash G$, which is not compactly supported. In particular, $h_{\text{top}}(a_{\delta, \tau} | Y) = 0$. $\square$

Proposition

Let (X', d') a compact metric space, $a: \mathbb{R} \times X' \rightarrow X'$ a continuous $\mathbb{R}$ -action.

Suppose $X'_0 \subseteq X'$ is compact and $a(t)$ invariant, i.e., $a(t) \cdot X'_0 \subseteq X'_0$, and suppose that

$$\forall x \in X'_0 \quad h_{\text{top}}(a(t) | Y_x) = 0 \quad Y_x = \{a(t) \cdot x : t \geq 0\}.$$

$$\text{Then } h_{\text{top}}(a(t) | X'_0) = 0.$$

Proof: Suppose $h_{\text{top}}(a(t) | X'_0) > 0$ and let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_\mu^{a(t)}(X'_0)$ ergodic such that $h_\mu(a(t)) > 0$.

For μ -a.e. $x \in X'_0$ $\text{supp } \mu = Y_x$, where we use the individual ergodic theorem for flows, which says that

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T a(t')_* \delta_x dt' \xrightarrow{T \rightarrow \infty} \mu \quad \mu\text{-a.s. and in } L^1(\mu).$$

Hence $h_{\text{top}}(a(t) | Y_x) \geq h_\mu(a(t)) > 0$. $\square$

Corollary

Let $C \subseteq \Gamma \backslash G$ compact, $X_C = \{ \Lambda \in \Gamma \backslash G : A^+ \cdot \Lambda \subseteq C \}$. Then

$$\forall \delta, \tau \geq 0 \quad h_{\text{top}}(a_{\delta, \tau} | X_C) = 0.$$

Proof of progress towards Littlewood's conjecture

We first note that the characterization of exceptions to Littlewood's conjecture implies

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \|u\| \|nu\| \geq \delta \Rightarrow \lambda_n(A^+ \cdot \Lambda_{\alpha, \beta}) \geq \delta^{\frac{1}{3}}.$$

In particular $\forall \delta > 0 \exists C_\delta \subseteq \Gamma \backslash G$ compact with $(\alpha, \beta) e \square_\delta \Rightarrow A^+ \cdot \Lambda_{\alpha, \beta} \subseteq C_\delta$.

We have to say a few words about the metric on G and on $\Gamma \backslash G$. We equip G with a left-invariant metric Riemannian metric d_G . The quotient topology on $\Gamma \backslash G$ is then induced by the metric

$$d(\Gamma g, \Gamma h) = \inf_{y \in \Gamma} d_G(yg, h).$$

It isn't hard to show that every $\Lambda \in \Gamma \backslash G$ admits a positive injectivity radius, i.e.,

$$S_\Lambda = \sup \{ r > 0 : P_\Lambda : B_r^G(1) \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash G, g \mapsto \Lambda g \text{ is injective} \} > 0.$$

Moreover, for any $C \subseteq \Gamma \backslash G$ compact, there is $S_C > 0$ s.t. $\inf \{ S_\Lambda : \Lambda \in C \} = S_C$. Next, we remark that there is $R_0 > 0$ such that

$$\forall g, h \in B_{R_0}(1) \quad d_G(g, h) \approx \|h^{-1}g - 1\|_\infty.$$

It follows that $\exists C_0, r_0 > 0$ s.t. $\forall \Lambda \in C_\delta \forall |\alpha|, |\beta| < r_0$

$$d(\Lambda, g_{\alpha, \beta} \cdot \Lambda) \geq C_0 \max(|\alpha|, |\beta|).$$

With this out of the way, let's return to the proof of the theorem. We need to show that $\square_\delta$ has upper box dimension 0, i.e.,

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \forall r \in (0, 1) \quad \square_\delta^\varepsilon \text{ can be covered by } O_{\delta, \varepsilon}(r^{-\varepsilon}) \text{ boxes of size } r \times r.$$

Equivalently, it suffices to show that any r -separated $S \subseteq \square_\delta^\varepsilon$, i.e.,

$$\forall x, y \in S \quad x \neq y \Rightarrow \|x - y\|_\infty > r,$$

has cardinality $O_\delta(r^{-\varepsilon})$.

Let $S \subseteq \square_\delta^\varepsilon$ r -separated, $(\alpha, \beta), (\alpha', \beta') \in S$ and note that $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} a_{1,1}^n \cdot \Lambda_{\alpha, \beta} &= a_{1,1}^n (g_{\alpha' - \alpha, \beta' - \beta} \cdot \Lambda_{\alpha', \beta'}) = a_{1,1}^n g_{\alpha' - \alpha, \beta' - \beta} a_{1,1}^{-n} \cdot (a_{1,1}^n \cdot \Lambda_{\alpha', \beta'}) \\ &= g_{e^{3n}(\alpha' - \alpha), e^{3n}(\beta' - \beta)} \cdot \Lambda_{\alpha', \beta'}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if $r = e^{-3n} r_0$, then $S' := \{ \Lambda_{\alpha, \beta} : (\alpha, \beta) \in S \}$ satisfies

$$\forall \Lambda, \Lambda' \in S' \quad \Lambda \neq \Lambda' \Rightarrow \exists 0 \leq m \leq n \text{ s.t. } d(a_{1,1}^m \cdot \Lambda, a_{1,1}^m \cdot \Lambda') \geq C_0 r_0,$$

i.e., S' is $(n, C_0 r_0)$ -separated. Since $S' \subseteq X_{C_\delta}$ and $h_{\text{top}}(\alpha_{1,1} | X_{C_\delta}) = 0$, it follows that

$$|S'| = O_{\delta, \varepsilon}(\exp(\varepsilon n)).$$