

Solutions to problem set 2

1. Let H, H' be Abelian groups with free resolutions $F \rightarrow H$, $F' \rightarrow H'$. By the free resolution lemma, we can extend any given group homomorphism $f : H \rightarrow H'$ to a chain map $\tilde{f} : F \rightarrow F'$. Recall that by definition we have $\text{Tor}(H, G) = H_1(F \otimes G)$ and $\text{Tor}(H', G) = H_1(F' \otimes G)$, and so we define the action of $\text{Tor}(-, G)$ on f by

$$f_{\text{Tor}} := (\tilde{f} \otimes \text{id})_* : H_1(F' \otimes G) \rightarrow H_1(F \otimes G).$$

This is independent of the choice of lift $\tilde{f}$ as that is unique up to chain homotopy. To see that this makes $\text{Tor}(-, G)$ a functor, note that $\text{id}_{\text{Tor}} = \text{id}$ because we can take as a lift of $\text{id} : H \rightarrow H$ simply id of any free resolution of H . Moreover, $(fg)_{\text{Tor}} = g_{\text{Tor}} f_{\text{Tor}}$, because if $\tilde{f}$ lifts f and $\tilde{g}$ lifts g , then $\tilde{g}\tilde{f}$ lifts gf .

The case of $\text{Ext}(-, G)$ is analogous. (We remark that these are just special cases of how in general one constructs the action of derived functors on morphisms.)

2. We discuss the sequence $0 \rightarrow H_n(C) \otimes G \rightarrow H_n(C \otimes G) \rightarrow \text{Tor}(H_{n-1}(C), G) \rightarrow 0$ appearing in the universal coefficient theorem for homology. Recall that we constructed this as

$$0 \rightarrow \text{coker}(i_n \otimes \text{id}) \rightarrow H_n(C; G) \rightarrow \ker(i_{n-1} \otimes \text{id}) \rightarrow 0 \quad (1)$$

with $i_n : B_n \rightarrow Z_n$ the inclusion map, and then noted that

$$\text{coker}(i_n \otimes \text{id}) \cong H_n(C) \otimes G \quad \text{and} \quad \ker(i_{n-1} \otimes \text{id}) \cong \text{Tor}(H_{n-1}(C), G). \quad (2)$$

It is clear that a chain map $f : C \rightarrow D$ induces a morphism of short exact sequences between (1) and its counterpart for D (just think about how we arrived at (1)). Moreover, one checks easily that under the identifications (2) and the corresponding ones for D , the outer maps in this morphism of SES are $f_* : H_n(C) \rightarrow H_n(D)$ and $(f_*)_{\text{Tor}}$.

3. (a) Naturality of the short exact sequence in the universal coefficient theorem for homology says that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H_n(C) \otimes G & \longrightarrow & H_n(C; G) & \longrightarrow & \text{Tor}(H_{n-1}(C), G) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow f_* \otimes \text{id} & & \downarrow f_* & & \downarrow (f_*)_{\text{Tor}} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H_n(D) \otimes G & \longrightarrow & H_n(D; G) & \longrightarrow & \text{Tor}(H_{n-1}(D), G) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

commutes. The outer two maps are isomorphisms because $f_* : H_*(C) \rightarrow H_*(D)$ is an isomorphism by assumption and by functoriality of $\text{Tor}(-, G)$. Hence $f_* : H_*(C; G) \rightarrow H_*(D; G)$ is an isomorphism by the 5-lemma.

- (b) Same argument as in (a) using the universal coefficient theorem for cohomology.

4. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^2(S^2; G) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}(H_1(S^2), G) \oplus \text{Hom}(H_2(S^2), G) \\ \phi^* \downarrow & & \downarrow (\phi_*)^{\text{Ext}} \oplus (\phi_*)^* \\ H^2(\mathbb{R}P^2; G) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}(H_1(\mathbb{R}P^2), G) \oplus \text{Hom}(H_2(\mathbb{R}P^2), G) \end{array}$$

Note that we have $\text{Ext}(H_1(S^2), G) = 0$ and $\text{Hom}(H_2(\mathbb{R}P^2), G) = 0$ because $H_1(S^2) = 0$, $H_2(\mathbb{R}P^2) = 0$, and hence the map on the right vanishes for every Abelian group G . If the splitting were natural, the map $\phi^* : H^2(S^2; G) \rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{R}P^2; G)$ would consequently also have to vanish for every G .

We will show, in contrast, that $\phi^* : H^2(S^2; \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{R}P^2; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is an isomorphism. To see this, note that $\phi : \mathbb{R}P^2 \rightarrow S^2$ is a cellular map with respect to the usual CW complex structures of $\mathbb{R}P^2$ (with one cell in each degree 0, 1, 2) and S^2 (with one cell in degree 0 and one in degree 2). The map induced by ϕ on cellular chains takes the generator corresponding to the unique 2-cell of $\mathbb{R}P^2$ to the generator corresponding to the unique 2-cell of S^2 (recall the description of this map!). Dualizing, this implies that the map induced by ϕ on the cellular cochain complexes with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}_2$ looks as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{Z}_2 & \xleftarrow{0} & \mathbb{Z}_2 & \xleftarrow{0} & \mathbb{Z}_2 \longleftarrow 0 \\ & & \cong \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \cong \uparrow \\ 0 & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{Z}_2 & \longleftarrow & 0 & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{Z}_2 \longleftarrow 0 \end{array}$$

In particular, the induced map $H^2(S^2; \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{R}P^2; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is an isomorphism.

5. The universal coefficient theorem for homology tells us that there is a splitting

$$H_n(K; G) \cong (H_n(K) \otimes G) \oplus \text{Tor}(H_{n-1}(K), G)$$

for every Abelian group G . We have $H_0(K) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p = \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $H_1(K) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p = \mathbb{Z}_p \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_2 \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)$; note that $\mathbb{Z}_2 \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2 = \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $\mathbb{Z}_2 \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p = 0$ for odd p (which doesn't have to be prime for that; in general, $\mathbb{Z}_q \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{q'} = 0$ if q, q' are coprime, as $1 = qm + q'm'$ for certain $m, m' \in \mathbb{Z}$, from which it follows that $1 \otimes 1 = 0$ in $\mathbb{Z}_q \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{q'}$). Moreover, $\text{Tor}(H_0(K), \mathbb{Z}_p) = 0$ as $H_0(K)$ is free and $\text{Tor}(H_1(K), \mathbb{Z}_p) = \text{Tor}(\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_p) = \ker(\mathbb{Z}_p \xrightarrow{2} \mathbb{Z}_p)$, which is $\mathbb{Z}_2$ for $p = 2$ and 0 if p is odd. Combining all that, we obtain

$$H_0(K; \mathbb{Z}_2) = \mathbb{Z}_2, \quad H_1(K; \mathbb{Z}_2) = \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2, \quad H_2(K; \mathbb{Z}_2) = \mathbb{Z}_2$$

and

$$H_0(K; \mathbb{Z}_p) = \mathbb{Z}_p, \quad H_1(K; \mathbb{Z}_p) = \mathbb{Z}_p, \quad H_2(K; \mathbb{Z}_p) = 0$$

for p odd. All other groups vanish.

From the universal coefficients theorem for cohomology, we obtain a splitting

$$H^n(K; G) \cong \text{Ext}(H_{n-1}(K), G) \oplus \text{Hom}(H_n(K), G)$$

for every Abelian group G . We have $\text{Ext}(H_0(K), G) = 0$ as $H_0(K)$ is free and $\text{Ext}(H_1(K), G) = \text{Ext}(\mathbb{Z}_2, G) \cong G/2G$, which is $\mathbb{Z}_2$ for $G = \mathbb{Z}$ or $G = \mathbb{Z}_2$ and 0 for $G = \mathbb{Z}_p$ with p odd. Moreover, $\text{Hom}(H_0(K), G) = G$, and $H_1(K) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ implies that

$$\text{Hom}(H_1(K), G) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}, & G = \mathbb{Z} \\ \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2, & G = \mathbb{Z}_2 \\ \mathbb{Z}_p, & G = \mathbb{Z}_p \text{ with } p \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(K; \mathbb{Z}) &= \mathbb{Z}, & H^1(K; \mathbb{Z}) &= \mathbb{Z}, & H^2(K; \mathbb{Z}) &= \mathbb{Z}_2, \\ H^0(K; \mathbb{Z}_2) &= \mathbb{Z}_2, & H^1(K; \mathbb{Z}_2) &= \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2, & H^2(K; \mathbb{Z}_2) &= \mathbb{Z}_2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$H^0(K; \mathbb{Z}_p) = \mathbb{Z}_p, \quad H^1(K; \mathbb{Z}_p) = \mathbb{Z}_p, \quad H^2(K; \mathbb{Z}_p) = 0$$

for p odd. Again all other groups vanish.

6. $S_k(X)$ splits as $S_k(X) = S_k(A + B) \oplus S_k^\perp(A + B)$, where the second summand is generated by all simplices neither contained in A nor in B . Hence the quotient $S_k(X)/S_k(A + B)$ is isomorphic to $S_k^\perp(A + B)$, which is free.
7. Let A be an abelian group. We first show that $\text{Tor}(A, \mathbb{Q}) = 0$. Choose a free resolution $0 \rightarrow F_1 \xrightarrow{i} F_0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$ and consider the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow F_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{i \otimes \text{id}} F_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow 0.$$

If $i \otimes \text{id}$ is injective, we can deduce that $\text{Tor}(A, \mathbb{Q}) = 0$.

In fact, for any injective map $i: B \rightarrow C$ between abelian groups B and C , the map

$$B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{i \otimes \text{id}} C \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$$

is injective. Indeed, an element x of $B \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is of the form $x = \sum b_j \otimes q_j$ with $b_j \in B$, $q_j = \frac{m_j}{n_j}$, $n_j \neq 0$, and the sum is finite. So we can assume that $n_j = n$ for all j and we can write $x = (\sum m_j b_j) \otimes \frac{1}{n}$. If we now assume $i \otimes \text{id}(x) = 0$, we get

$$i\left(\sum m_j b_j\right) \otimes \frac{1}{n} = 0$$

and hence $i(\sum m_j b_j) = 0$. Injectivity of i now yields $\sum m_j b_j = 0$, and so $x = \sum m_j b_j \otimes \frac{1}{n} = 0$. This shows injectivity of $i \otimes \text{id}$.

Remark: Together with Problem 1 from the sheet on tensor products, this shows that $- \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ preserves short exact sequences!

In particular, $\text{Tor}(H_{n-1}(X; \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ and so the homological universal coefficients theorem implies

$$H_n(X; \mathbb{Q}) \cong H_n(X; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}.$$

For the cohomology the proof is similar. This time, one has to investigate exactness properties of $\text{hom}(-, \mathbb{Q})$. Namely, the following statement will imply $\text{Ext}(A, \mathbb{Q}) = 0$: Let $i: B \rightarrow C$ be an injective map of abelian groups. Then

$$i^*: \text{hom}(C, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{hom}(B, \mathbb{Q})$$

is surjective.

To prove this, let us view B as a subset of C via i . Let $\varphi \in \text{hom}(B, \mathbb{Q})$. We need to show that φ extends to $\tilde{\varphi}: C \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$. Let $B \subset C' \subset C$ be the maximal subgroup such that there exists an extension $\varphi': C' \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$. (Use Zorn's lemma to prove existence.) Suppose by contradiction that $C' \neq C$. Then there exists $x \in C \setminus C'$. Moreover, the subgroup $\langle x \rangle \subset C$ generated by x satisfies $\langle x \rangle \cap C' = \{0\}$ because $\mathbb{Q}$ is divisible. Hence we can put $\tilde{\varphi}(x) := q$ for some $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ and extend it linearly to a map $\tilde{\varphi}: C' \oplus \langle x \rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ that extends φ' . This is a contradiction to maximality of C' . We conclude $C' = C$. Surjectivity of i^* now follows.

8. (a) Note that multiplication in R induces a $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear map $m: R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R \rightarrow R$. For $\alpha \in \text{hom}(A, R)$ put $\varphi(\alpha) = m \circ (\alpha \otimes \text{id}) \in \text{hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R, R)$. Concretely, it is given by

$$\varphi(\alpha) \left(\sum a_j \otimes r_j \right) = \sum \alpha(a_j) r_j$$

for finitely many $a_j \in A$ and $r_j \in R$. In fact, $\varphi(\alpha)$ is R -linear: for $r \in R$

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\alpha) \left(r \sum a_j \otimes r_j \right) &= \varphi(\alpha) \left(\sum a_j \otimes r r_j \right) \\ &= \sum \alpha(a_j) r r_j = r \sum \alpha(a_j) r_j \\ &= r \varphi(\alpha) \left(\sum a_j \otimes r_j \right). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that φ is a well-defined $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear map

$$\mathrm{hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A, R) \longrightarrow \mathrm{hom}_R(A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R, R).$$

It is straightforward to check that it is R -linear and inverse to

$$\psi: \mathrm{hom}_R(A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R, R) \rightarrow \mathrm{hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A, R), \quad \psi(\beta)(a) = \beta(a \otimes 1_R)$$

for $\beta \in \mathrm{hom}_R(A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R, R)$ and $a \in A$.

(b) Consider the coboundary operator δ on $\mathrm{hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(C_{\bullet}, R)$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta: \mathrm{hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(C_j, R) &\rightarrow \mathrm{hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(C_{j+1}, R) \\ \alpha &\mapsto \alpha \circ \partial, \end{aligned}$$

where ∂ denotes the boundary operator of $C_{\bullet}$. This is R -linear:

$$\delta(r\alpha) = (r\alpha) \circ \partial = r(\alpha \circ \partial) = r\delta(\alpha).$$

Similarly, the coboundary operator δ_R on $\mathrm{hom}_R(C_{\bullet} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R, R)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_R: \mathrm{hom}_R(C_j \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R, R) &\rightarrow \mathrm{hom}_R(C_{j+1} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R, R) \\ \beta &\mapsto \beta \circ (\partial \otimes \mathrm{id}), \end{aligned}$$

is R -linear. φ is a cochain isomorphism because the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(C_j, R) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \mathrm{hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(C_{j+1}, R) \\ \varphi \downarrow \cong & & \varphi \downarrow \cong \\ \mathrm{hom}_R(C_j \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R, R) & \xrightarrow{\delta_R} & \mathrm{hom}_R(C_{j+1} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R, R). \end{array}$$

Let's check that it commutes: For $\alpha \in \mathrm{hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(C_j, R)$ we have

$$\varphi \circ \delta(\alpha) = \varphi(\alpha \circ \partial) = m \circ (\alpha \circ \partial \otimes \mathrm{id})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_R \circ \varphi(\alpha) &= \delta_R(m \circ (\alpha \otimes \mathrm{id})) = m \circ (\alpha \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ (\partial \otimes \mathrm{id}) \\ &= m \circ (\alpha \circ \partial \otimes \mathrm{id}). \end{aligned}$$