

Exercise Sheet 2

1. a) Show that the measure

$$\mu = \frac{dx dy}{y^2}$$

on \mathbf{H} (with coordinate $z = x + iy$) is invariant under the action of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{R})$: for any $g \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{R})$ and any μ -integrable function $f: \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbf{H}} f(g \cdot z) d\mu(z) = \int_{\mathbf{H}} f(z) d\mu(z).$$

Solution:

Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{R})$ be arbitrary, and let $f: \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be a μ -integrable function. For $z = x_1 + iy_1$ we will write $A \circ z = A(x_1 + iy_1) = x_2 + iy_2$, for $x_i, y_i \in \mathbf{R}$. Note that

$$y_2 = \frac{1}{|cz + d|^2} (ay_1(cx_1 + d) - cy_1(ax_1 + b)) = \frac{y_1}{|cz + d|^2}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbf{H}} f(x_2 + iy_2) \frac{dx_2 dy_2}{y_2^2} &= \int_{\mathbf{H}} f(x_2 + iy_2) \left(\frac{1}{|z + d|^2} \right)^2 \frac{|cz + d|^4}{y_2^2} dx_2 dy_2 \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{H}} f(x_2 + iy_2) \left| \frac{dA}{dz} \right|^2 \left(\frac{|cz + d|^2}{y_2} \right)^2 dx_2 dy_2 \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{H}} f(A(x_1 + iy_1)) \left| \frac{dA}{dz} \right|^2 \frac{dx_2 dy_2}{y_1^2} \\ &= \int_{A(\mathbf{H})} f(A^{-1}(A(x_1 + iy_1))) \frac{dx_1 dy_1}{y_1^2} = \int_{\mathbf{H}} f(z) \frac{dx_1 dy_1}{y_1^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the Cauchy-Riemann equations in the last line.

- b) Let $f: \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be any function which is modular of weight $k \in \mathbf{Z}$. Show that the function ϕ defined on \mathbf{H} by

$$\phi(z) = |f(z)| \mathrm{Im}(z)^{k/2}$$

is modular of weight 0 (i.e., is an $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$ -invariant function on \mathbf{H}).

Solution:

Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{R})$ be arbitrary, and let $f : \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be modular of weight k . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(A \circ z) &= |f(A \circ z)| \mathrm{Im}(A \circ z)^{k/2} \\ &= |cz + d|^k |f(z)| \left(\frac{\mathrm{Im}(z)}{|cz + d|^2} \right)^{k/2} = \phi(z). \end{aligned}$$

- c) Suppose that f is furthermore meromorphic on \mathbf{H} and modular of weight $k \geq 2$. Show that f is a cusp form if and only if ϕ is bounded on \mathbf{H} .

Solution:

Let f be a cusp form. By modularity it is enough to consider the behavior on the fundamental domain \mathcal{F} . We need to show that $\phi(z)$ is bounded on $\mathrm{Im}(z) \geq h$, for any $h > 0$. Since f be a cusp form, it is holomorphic and $\lim_{\mathrm{Im}(z) \rightarrow \infty} |f(z)| = 0$. Write $f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e^{2\pi i n z}$ and $z = x + yi$. Then

$$f(x + yi) = e^{-2\pi y} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e^{2\pi i n x} e^{-2\pi(n-1)y},$$

and since f is holomorphic, the sum on the right is finite. Hence there exists a positive constant \tilde{C} such that

$$|f(x + yi)| \leq e^{-2\pi y} \tilde{C}.$$

Hence there exists a positive constant $C > 0$ such that

$$|\phi(z)| = |f(z)| \mathrm{Im}(z)^{\frac{k}{2}} \leq e^{-2\pi y} \tilde{C} \mathrm{Im}(z)^{\frac{k}{2}} < C$$

Next we will prove the other direction. Assume that ϕ is bounded on \mathbf{H} .

Say that f is not holomorphic at ∞ . Then f has a pole at ∞ , and $\lim_{\mathrm{Im}(z) \rightarrow \infty} f(z) = \infty$. Hence $\phi(z)$ is not bounded; contradiction.

Let $\tilde{f}(z) = f(e^{2\pi i z})$. Assume that $\tilde{f}(0) \neq 0$ (so \tilde{f} is not a cusp form), then

$$\phi(0) = \lim_{\mathrm{Im}(z) \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{f}(z) \mathrm{Im}(z)^{\frac{k}{2}}$$

is not bounded as $\tilde{f}(z) \neq 0$, but $\mathrm{Im}(z)^{\frac{k}{2}} \rightarrow \infty$; contradiction.

2. The goal of this exercise is to prove that the function Δ defined by

$$\Delta(z) = e(z) \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - e(nz))^{24}$$

for $z \in \mathbf{H}$ is a cusp form of weight 12, where we recall that $e(z) = e^{2i\pi z}$ for $z \in \mathbf{C}$.

For $z \in \mathbf{C}$ with $\sin(z) \neq 0$, we define

$$\cotan(z) = \frac{\cos(z)}{\sin(z)}.$$

We fix a complex number $\tau \in \mathbf{H}$.

- a) Prove that the infinite product converges locally uniformly absolutely, and hence that Δ is a well-defined holomorphic function on \mathbf{H} .

Solution:

Note that it is enough to prove absolute local uniform convergence for the term $\prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - e(nz))$. We need to show that the sum $\sum_{n \geq 1} |e(nz)|$ converges absolutely locally uniformly. It is enough to show uniform convergence on

$$\{z \in \mathbf{H} : \operatorname{Im}(z) \geq d\},$$

for some $d > 0$. Compute

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} |e(nz)| = \sum_{n \geq 1} |e^{2\pi i n z}| = \sum_{n \geq 1} e^{-2\pi n \operatorname{Im}(z)} \leq \sum_{n \geq 1} e^{-2\pi n d},$$

which is a finite sum that converges absolutely and uniformly in z .

- b) Show that \cotan defines a meromorphic function on \mathbf{C} with simple poles at $z = k\pi$ for $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ with residue 1. Prove that

$$\cotan(z) = -i \left(1 - \frac{2}{1 - e^{-2iz}} \right)$$

for $z \in \mathbf{C}$.

Solution:

Since $\cotan(z) = \cos(z)/\sin(z)$, \cotan is a meromorphic function (because both \cos and \sin are holomorphic). The poles of \cotan are given by zeros of \sin , which are at $z = k\pi$ and are all simple. Since $\cos(k\pi) \neq 0$, it follows that \cotan has simple poles at $z = k\pi$, with $k \in \mathbf{Z}$.

The residue is given by

$$\operatorname{Res}_{k\pi} \cotan(z) = \lim_{z \rightarrow k\pi} (z - k\pi) \cotan(z) = \lim_{\omega \rightarrow 0} \frac{\cos(\omega)}{\frac{\sin(\omega)}{\omega}} = 1.$$

To obtain the formula for the cotangent, compute

$$\cotan(z) = i \frac{e^{iz} + e^{-iz}}{e^{iz} - e^{-iz}} = i \frac{1 + e^{-2iz}}{1 - e^{-2iz}} = -i \left(1 - \frac{2}{1 - e^{-2iz}} \right).$$

- c) Let $m \geq 0$ be an integer and define meromorphic functions f_m and g_m by

$$f_m(z) = \cotan\left((m + \tfrac{1}{2})z\right) \cotan\left((m + \tfrac{1}{2})z/\tau\right)$$

and $g_m(z) = z^{-1} f_m(z)$. Show that g_m has

- i) simple poles at $\pi k / (m + \frac{1}{2})$ for $k \in \mathbf{Z}$, k non-zero;
- ii) simple poles at $\pi k \tau / (m + \frac{1}{2})$ for $k \in \mathbf{Z}$, k **non-zero** integer;
- iii) a triple pole at $z = 0$.

Solution:

i) Note that

$$g_m(z) = \frac{1}{z} \frac{\cos((m + \frac{1}{2})z)}{\sin((m + \frac{1}{2})z)} \frac{\cos((m + \frac{1}{2})z/\tau)}{\sin((m + \frac{1}{2})z/\tau)}.$$

for $z = \frac{k\pi}{m + \frac{1}{2}}$, the factor $\frac{\cos((m + \frac{1}{2})z)}{\sin((m + \frac{1}{2})z)}$ has a simple pole, while the factor $\frac{\cos((m + \frac{1}{2})z/\tau)}{\sin((m + \frac{1}{2})z/\tau)}$ is non-zero. Hence g_m has a simple pole at z .

ii) The argument is completely analogous to part (i); where we take $z = \frac{k\pi}{m + \frac{1}{2}}\tau$.

iii) The function \cotan has a simple pole at 0, just like $z \mapsto 1/z$. Hence g_m has a triple pole, by additivity of the valuation.

d) Show that

$$\operatorname{Res}_{z=\pi k/(m+\frac{1}{2})} g_m(z) = \frac{1}{\pi k} \cotan(\pi k/\tau), \quad \operatorname{Res}_{z=\pi k\tau/(m+\frac{1}{2})} g_m(z) = \frac{1}{\pi k} \cotan(\pi k\tau),$$

and

$$\operatorname{Res}_{z=0} g_m(z) = -\frac{1}{3}(\tau + \tau^{-1}).$$

Solution:

Compute:

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Res}_{z=\pi k/(m+\frac{1}{2})} g_m(z) &= \lim_{z \rightarrow \pi k/(m+\frac{1}{2})} \left(\left(z - \frac{k\pi}{m + \frac{1}{2}} \right) \frac{1}{z} \cotan((m + \frac{1}{2})z) \cotan((m + \frac{1}{2})z/\tau) \right) \\ &= \lim_{\omega \rightarrow 0} \left(\left(\frac{1}{\omega(m + \frac{1}{2}) + k\pi} \right) \cdot \omega(m + \frac{1}{2}) \cotan(\omega(m + \frac{1}{2})) \cdot \cotan \left(\frac{\omega(m + \frac{1}{2})}{\tau} + \frac{k\pi}{\tau} \right) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where we have set $\omega := \frac{z(m+\frac{1}{2})-k\pi}{m+\frac{1}{2}}$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\omega \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{1}{\omega(m + \frac{1}{2}) + k\pi} \right) &= \frac{1}{k\pi} \\ \lim_{\omega \rightarrow 0} (\omega(m + \frac{1}{2}) \cotan(\omega(m + \frac{1}{2}))) &= 1 \\ \lim_{\omega \rightarrow 0} \left(\cotan \left(\frac{\omega(m + \frac{1}{2})}{\tau} + \frac{k\pi}{\tau} \right) \right) &= \cotan(k\pi/\tau), \end{aligned}$$

we obtain that

$$\operatorname{Res}_{z=\pi k/(m+\frac{1}{2})} g_m(z) = \frac{1}{k\pi} \cotan(k\pi/\tau).$$

The next residue is computed similarly:

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Res}_{z=\pi k\tau/(m+\frac{1}{2})} g_m(z) &= \lim_{z \rightarrow \pi k\tau/(m+\frac{1}{2})} \left(\left(z - \frac{k\pi\tau}{m + \frac{1}{2}} \right) \frac{1}{z} \cotan((m + \frac{1}{2})z) \cotan((m + \frac{1}{2})z/\tau) \right) \\ &= \lim_{\omega \rightarrow 0} \left(\left(\frac{1}{\omega(m + \frac{1}{2}) + k\pi\tau} \right) \omega(m + \frac{1}{2}) \cotan(\omega(m + \frac{1}{2})) \cotan \left(\frac{\omega(m + \frac{1}{2})}{\tau} \right) \right) \\ &= \lim_{\omega \rightarrow 0} \left(\left(\frac{\tau}{\omega(m + \frac{1}{2}) + k\pi\tau} \right) \cdot \cotan(\omega(m + \frac{1}{2})) \cdot \frac{\omega(m + \frac{1}{2})}{\tau} \cotan \left(\frac{\omega(m + \frac{1}{2})}{\tau} \right) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where we have set $\omega := \frac{z(m+\frac{1}{2})-k\pi\tau}{m+\frac{1}{2}}$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{\omega \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{\tau}{\omega(m+\frac{1}{2})+k\pi\tau} \right) &= \frac{1}{k\pi} \\ \lim_{\omega \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{\omega(m+\frac{1}{2})}{\tau} \cotan \left(\frac{\omega(m+\frac{1}{2})}{\tau} \right) \right) &= 1 \\ \lim_{\omega \rightarrow 0} \left(\cotan \left(\omega(m+\frac{1}{2})+k\pi\tau \right) \right) &= \cotan(k\pi\tau),\end{aligned}$$

we obtain that

$$\operatorname{Res}_{z=\pi k\tau/(m+\frac{1}{2})} g_m(z) = \frac{1}{\pi k} \cotan(\pi k\tau).$$

The Laurent expansion of $\cotan(z)$ near $z = 0$ is

$$\cotan(z) = \frac{1}{z} - \frac{z}{3} + O(z^3).$$

Applying this to $f_m(z)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\cotan \left((m+\frac{1}{2})z \right) &= \frac{1}{(m+\frac{1}{2})z} - \frac{(m+\frac{1}{2})z}{3} + O(z^3), \\ \cotan \left(\frac{(m+\frac{1}{2})z}{\tau} \right) &= \frac{\tau}{(m+\frac{1}{2})z} - \frac{(m+\frac{1}{2})z}{3\tau} + O(z^3).\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying:

$$f_m(z) = \left(\frac{1}{(m+\frac{1}{2})z} - \frac{(m+\frac{1}{2})z}{3} + O(z^3) \right) \left(\frac{\tau}{(m+\frac{1}{2})z} - \frac{(m+\frac{1}{2})z}{3\tau} + O(z^3) \right).$$

Expanding,

$$f_m(z) = \frac{\tau}{(m+\frac{1}{2})^2 z^2} - \frac{\tau}{3} - \frac{1}{3\tau} + O(z^2).$$

Since $g_m(z) = \frac{f_m(z)}{z}$, we extract the coefficient of $\frac{1}{z}$:

$$\operatorname{Res}_{z=0} g_m(z) = -\frac{1}{3}(\tau + \tau^{-1}).$$

- e) Let Γ be the polygonal contour in \mathbf{C} joining in counterclockwise order the vertices $1, \tau, -1, -\tau$ and 1 again. Prove that the functions g_m are uniformly bounded on Γ for all m , and prove that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Gamma} g_m(z) dz = \int_1^{\tau} \frac{dz}{z} - \int_{\tau}^{-1} \frac{dz}{z} + \int_{-1}^{-\tau} \frac{dz}{z} - \int_{-\tau}^1 \frac{dz}{z}.$$

(Hint: compute the limit of $g_m(z)$ for z in Γ outside of the vertices.) Deduce the value, as a function of τ , of

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \exp \left(3 \int_{\Gamma} g_m(z) dz \right).$$

Solution:

Since g_m only has poles at points on the real axis, each g_m is bounded on Γ . Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \cotan \left(\left(m + \frac{1}{2} \right) z \right) \right| &\leq 1 + \frac{2}{|e^{-2i(m+\frac{1}{2})z} - 1|} \\ &\leq 1 + \frac{2}{|e^{-2i(m+\frac{1}{2})\text{Im}(z)} - 1|} \\ &\leq 1 + \frac{2}{|e^{-2i(m+\frac{1}{2})\text{Im}(-\tau)} - 1|} \end{aligned}$$

The expression on the right converges as $m \rightarrow \infty$, so that $\cotan((m + \frac{1}{2})z)$ is uniformly bounded for all $z \in \Gamma$.

An analogous argument shows that $\cotan((m + \frac{1}{2})z/\tau)$ is uniformly bounded. Since $1/z$ is also bounded on Γ , we obtain that g_m is uniformly bounded on Γ for all m . Next, we compute $\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Gamma} g_m(z) dz$. Note that $\exp((m + \frac{1}{2})z) \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ if and only if $\text{Re}(z) < 0$. Thus $\cotan((m + \frac{1}{2})z) = i \left(\frac{2}{1 - e^{-2i(m+\frac{1}{2})z}} - 1 \right) \rightarrow i$, as $m \rightarrow \infty$, if and only if $\text{Im}(z) < 0$.

On the other hand, $\cotan((m + \frac{1}{2})z) \rightarrow -i$ if and only if $\text{Im}(z) > 0$. Hence $\cotan((m + \frac{1}{2})z/\tau) \rightarrow i$, as $m \rightarrow \infty$, if and only if $\text{Im}(z/\tau) < 0$, which holds if and only if $\text{Im}(z\bar{\tau}) < 0$. Note that

$$\text{Im}(z\bar{\tau}) = \text{Im}(z)\text{Re}(\bar{\tau}) - \text{Re}(z)\text{Im}(\tau),$$

which is greater than 0 if and only if

$$\text{Im}(z) \frac{\text{Re}(\tau)}{\text{Im}(\tau)} > \text{Re}(z)$$

From this expression, it is clear that for z above the straight line in the complex plane connecting τ to $-\tau$, we have that $\text{Im}(z\bar{\tau}) > 0$. Hence $\cotan((m + \frac{1}{2})z)$ converges to i , as $m \rightarrow \infty$, if $z \in (-\tau, 1) \cup (1, \tau)$, and $\cotan((m + \frac{1}{2})z)$ converges to $-i$, as $m \rightarrow \infty$, if $z \in (\tau, -1) \cup (-1, \tau)$.

Thus we conclude that $f_m(z)$ converges to 1, as $m \rightarrow \infty$, on the line segments $1, \tau$ and $-1, -\tau$, and $f_m(z)$ converges to -1 , as $m \rightarrow \infty$, on the line segments $\tau, -1$ and $-\tau, 1$.

By the dominated convergence theorem we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Gamma} f_m(z) \frac{dz}{z} &= \int_{\Gamma} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} f_m(z) \frac{dz}{z} \\ &= \left(\int_1^{\tau} - \int_{\tau}^{-1} + \int_{-1}^{-\tau} - \int_{-\tau}^1 \right) \frac{dz}{z} \\ &= 2 \left(\int_1^{\tau} - \int_{-\tau}^1 \right) \frac{dz}{z} \\ &= 2(\log(\tau) + \log(-\tau)) \\ &= 4 \left(\log(\tau) - \frac{\pi i}{2} \right) = 4 \log \left(\frac{\tau}{i} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \exp \left(3 \int_{\Gamma} g_m(z) dz \right) = \exp (\log(\tau/i))^{12} \\ = \tau^{12}$$

f) Prove that for all m , we have

$$\int_{\Gamma} g_m(z) dz = -\frac{2i\pi}{3}(\tau + \tau^{-1}) + 8 \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{m+1/2}{\pi} \rfloor} \frac{1}{k} \left(\frac{1}{e(-k\tau) - 1} - \frac{1}{e(k/\tau) - 1} \right).$$

Solution:

By the residue theorem we have

$$\int_{\Gamma} g_m(z) \frac{dz}{z} = -2\pi i \frac{\tau + \tau^{-1}}{3} + 2\pi i \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{m+1/2}{\pi} \rfloor} \frac{2}{\pi} (\cotan(\pi k \tau) + \cotan(\pi k / \tau)),$$

which is equivalent to

$$\frac{2\pi i}{3}(\tau + \tau^{-1}) + \int_{\Gamma} g_m(z) \frac{dz}{z} = 4i \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{m+1/2}{\pi} \rfloor} \frac{1}{k} (\cotan(\pi k \tau) + \cotan(\pi k / \tau)) \\ = 8 \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{m+1/2}{\pi} \rfloor} \frac{1}{k} \left(\frac{1}{e(-k\tau) - 1} - \frac{1}{e(k/\tau) - 1} \right).$$

g) Deduce that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \exp \left(3 \int_{\Gamma} g_m(z) dz \right) = \frac{\Delta(-1/\tau)}{\Delta(\tau)},$$

and conclude that $\Delta \in M_{12}^0$. (This proof is due to Siegel.)

Solution:

We are grateful to Cajetan Tulej for suggesting this elegant solution.

We firstly obtain from part f) that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \exp \left(3 \int_{\Gamma} g_m(z) dz \right) = e(-(\tau + \tau^{-1})) \exp \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \left(\frac{1}{e(-k\tau) - 1} - \frac{1}{e(k/\tau) - 1} \right) \right)^{24}.$$

Now observe that for all $k \geq 1$

$$|e(-k\tau)| = e^{2\pi k \operatorname{Im} \tau} > 1, \\ |e(k/\tau)| = e^{2\pi k \operatorname{Im} \tau / |\tau|^2} > 1$$

hold, so that using the geometric series expansion (after rewriting the terms), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \left(\frac{1}{e(-k\tau) - 1} - \frac{1}{e(k/\tau) - 1} \right) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \left(\frac{e(k\tau)}{1 - e(k\tau)} - \frac{e(-k/\tau)}{1 - e(-k/\tau)} \right) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} (e(k\tau)e(nk\tau) - e(-k/\tau)e(-kn/\tau)) \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} (e(nk\tau) - e(-kn/\tau)).
\end{aligned}$$

Recalling that $\log(z - 1) = -\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{k}$ for $|z| < 1$, we rewrite this further as

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} (e(nk\tau) - e(-kn/\tau)) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} -\log(e(n\tau) - 1) + \log(e(-n/\tau) - 1).$$

Inserting this into our initial equality, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \exp \left(3 \int_{\Gamma} g_m(z) dz \right) &= e(-(\tau + \tau^{-1})) \exp \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} -\log(e(n\tau) - 1) + \log(e(-n/\tau) - 1) \right)^{24} \\
&= e(-(\tau + \tau^{-1})) \prod_{n \geq 1} \left(\frac{1 - e(-n/\tau)}{1 - e(n\tau)} \right)^{24} \\
&= \frac{e(-1/\tau)}{e(\tau)} \cdot \frac{\prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - e(-n/\tau))^{24}}{\prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - e(n\tau))^{24}} \\
&= \frac{\Delta(-1/\tau)}{\Delta(\tau)}
\end{aligned}$$

as was to be shown. From part e) it then follows that

$$\frac{\Delta(-1/\tau)}{\Delta(\tau)} = \tau^{12} \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \Delta \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \tau \right) = \Delta(-1/\tau) = \tau^{12} \Delta(\tau).$$

On the other hand, we clearly also have by periodicity of e that

$$\Delta \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \tau \right) = 1^{12} \Delta(\tau).$$

As these two matrices generate $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ was arbitrary, we conclude that Δ defines a modular form of weight 12. (The above relation $\tau^{12} = \frac{\Delta(-1/\tau)}{\Delta(\tau)}$ also implies that it is not the zero function.)

It remains to be shown that Δ is a cusp form. Transferring Δ to $\tilde{\Delta} : \mathbf{D}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, given by

$$\tilde{\Delta}(w) = w \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - w^n)^{24},$$

we see that it has continuation to 0, namely $\tilde{\Delta}(0) = 0$, which proves $\Delta \in M_{12}^0$.

