

3. Hecke operators

When Γ is "arithmetic" (in a certain precise sense) it was discovered by Hecke that modular forms for Γ have "extra" symmetries arising from the existence of certain linear maps on spaces like $M_k(\Gamma)$. This applies in particular to $\Gamma = \Gamma_0(q)$ and the resulting Hecke operators are essential in the modern theory of modular forms.

The definition of Hecke operators can ~~be~~ be given in different ways. We follow the (slightly "ad hoc") approach of Iwaniec, but suggest to also look at Serre's discussion (Ch. VII, § 5).

The basic idea is a form of averaging again. ~~be~~

Lemma - ~~Let~~ Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer. Let

$$\Delta_n = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \mid ad = n, \quad 0 \leq b < d \right\}$$

Then Δ_n parameterizes the $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -orbits of the subset

$$G_n = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid \det(g) = n \right\}$$

for the $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -action by left-multiplication.

There is a disjoint union

$$G_n = \bigcup_{g \in \Delta_n} SL_2(\mathbb{Z})g.$$

Proof- (i) The union is disjoint: if

$$g_1 g_i = g_2 g_i, \quad \begin{matrix} g_i \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \\ g_i \in \Delta_n \end{matrix}$$

then we get

$$g g_1 = g_2, \quad g = \cancel{g_2^{-1}} g_2^{-1} g_1$$

so an equality

$$\begin{pmatrix} r & s \\ t & u \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ 0 & d_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & b_2 \\ 0 & d_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$ru - st = 1$$

$$\begin{matrix} a_i d_i = n \\ 0 \leq b_i < d_i \end{matrix}$$

which immediately gives $t = 0$ (since $a_1 \neq 0$)

$$\text{Then } n = a_2 d_2 = r \cancel{a_1} a_1 d_1 \Rightarrow r = \cancel{a_1} = 1$$

$$\text{so } \begin{cases} a_2 = a_1 \\ b_2 = b_1 \end{cases}$$

and then we get $s = 0$ because $0 \leq b_i < d_i$.

(c) Let $\cancel{\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}} \in G_n$. We first bring it to upper-triangular form: note that

$$g = \frac{c}{(a,c)}, \quad \delta = -\frac{a}{(a,c)}$$

are coprime and

$$g a + \delta c = 0.$$

Extend $(g \ \delta)$ to $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ g & \delta \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$; then

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ g & \delta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ 0 & d_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

for some integers a_1, b_1, d_1 . We have $a_1 d_1 = n$, and up to multiplying by -1 if needed, we can assume $a_1, d_1 \geq 1$.

Then there is some $u \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ 0 & d_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 + u d_1 \\ 0 & d_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

satisfies

$$0 \leq b_1 + u d_1 < d_1, \text{ and then}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Delta_n$$

so

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \{L_u(\mathbb{Z}) \Delta_n\}.$$

□

Definition - Fix $k, q \geq 1$ and a Dirichlet character $\chi \bmod q$. Let

$$P_k = \{f: \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid f \text{ is } 1\text{-periodic}\}.$$

Define $T_n: P_k \rightarrow P_k$ [depending on k, q, χ]

by

$$T(n)f(z) = n^{\frac{k}{2}-1} \sum_{g \in \Delta_n} (f|_k g)(z) \chi(a)$$

for $z \in \mathbb{H}$, where for $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Delta_n$ one puts

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}) \cap GL_2(\mathbb{Q})$$

one puts

$$(f|_k g)(z) = (\det g)^{\frac{k}{2}} (cz+d)^{-k} f(gz)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Note: } T(n)f(z) &= n^{\frac{k}{2}-1} \sum_{\substack{ad=n \\ a>0, d>0}} \chi(a) n^{\frac{k}{2}-k} f\left(\frac{az+b}{d}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{ad=n} \chi(a) a^k f\left(\frac{az+b}{d}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Lemma - $T(n)$ is well-defined: if f is t -periodic,
 so is the function $T(n)f$ defined above.

Proof - By definition

$$T_n(f)|_h \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = n^{\frac{h}{2}-1} \sum_{g \in \Delta_n} f|_h g|_h \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Claim: ~~For~~ For $g \in \Delta_n$, there is a unique

$v \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $g' \in \Delta_n$ such that

$$g \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & v \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} g'$$

and moreover $g \mapsto g'$ is bijective, $\chi(a) = \chi(u')$

This claim gives

$$\begin{aligned} T_n(f)|_h \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} &= n^{\frac{h}{2}-1} \sum_{g' \in \Delta_n} \underbrace{\chi(a') f|_h \begin{pmatrix} 1 & v \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}}_{= f \text{ by periodicity}}|_h g' \\ &= T_n(f) \end{aligned}$$

so $T_n(f)$ is periodic.

The claim itself follows from the Lemma on page 10: $g \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in G_n$ so has a unique expression

$$\gamma g', \quad \begin{cases} \gamma \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \\ g' \in \Delta_n \end{cases}$$

and just by examination, one sees that in fact

$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & v \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ for some v :

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & a+b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{and } \begin{pmatrix} 1 & v \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b'+vd \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}$$

so we can find v such that
$$\begin{cases} a+b = b' + vd \\ 0 \leq b' < d \end{cases}$$

□

This allows us to compute directly the Hecke operator action on Fourier expansions, which makes certain aspects of the theory quite transparent.

Corollary - Suppose $f \in P_k$ satisfies

$$f(\tau) = \sum_{m \geq 0} a(m) e(m\tau)$$

Then

$$T_n f(\tau) = \sum_{m \geq 0} \left(\sum_{d|(m,n)} \chi(d) d^{h-1} a\left(\frac{mn}{d^2}\right) \right) e(m\tau)$$

(Note in particular the surprising symmetry between m and n in this formula.)

Proof - By definition

$$\begin{aligned} T_n f(\tau) &= n^{\frac{h}{2}-1} \sum_{g \in \mathcal{O}_n} (f|_h g)(\tau) \chi(a) \\ &= n^{\frac{h}{2}-1} \sum_{\substack{ad=n \\ a, d \geq 1}} \chi(a) \sum_{0 \leq b < d} n^{\frac{h}{2}} d^{-h} f\left(\frac{a\tau+b}{d}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\substack{ad=n \\ a, d \geq 1}} \chi(a) \sum_{0 \leq b < d} a^h \sum_{m \geq 0} a(m) e\left(\frac{m(a\tau+b)}{d}\right) \end{aligned}$$

We exchange the order of these sums to start with m .

This gives

$$\sum_{m \geq 0} a(m) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\substack{ad=n \\ a, d \geq 1}} \chi(a) a^k e\left(\frac{mz}{d}\right) \sum_{0 \leq b < d} e\left(\frac{mb}{d}\right)$$

$$= \begin{cases} 0, & d \nmid m \\ d, & d \mid m \end{cases}$$

$$= \sum_{m \geq 0} a(m) \sum_{\substack{ad=n \\ d \mid m}} \chi(a) a^{k-1} e\left(\frac{amz}{d}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{ad=n \\ a, d \geq 1}} \chi(a) a^{k-1} \sum_{\ell \geq 0} a(\ell d) e(\ell z)$$

$$= \sum_{r \geq 0} e(rz) \sum_{\substack{a\ell=r \\ ad=n}} \chi(a) a^{k-1} a(\ell d)$$

$$= \sum_{a \mid (r, n)} \chi(a) a^{k-1} a\left(\frac{rm}{d^2}\right)$$

□

Corollary - Assume again $f \in P_k$ has Fourier expansion $f(z) = \sum_{m \geq 0} a_f(m) e(mz)$.

$$(1) \quad a_{T_n(f)}(0) = \left(\sum_{d \mid n} d^{k-1} \chi(d) \right) a_f(0)$$

$$(2) \quad a_{T_n(f)}(1) = a_f(n)$$

(3) If $T_n(f) = \lambda f$ then either $af(0) = 0$ or

$$\lambda = \sum_{d|n} d^{h-1} \chi(d).$$

(4) If $T_n(f) = \lambda f$ then

$$af(n) = \lambda af(1).$$

Proof. This follows immediately from the computation of the Fourier expansion.

□

Theorem - The Hecke operators give linear maps

$$\begin{aligned} M_h(q, \chi) &\longrightarrow M_h(q, \chi) \\ S_h(q, \chi) &\longrightarrow S_h(q, \chi) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. ~~The~~ The idea is similar to the case of periodic functions: given $f \in \rho_0(q)$ and $g \in \Delta_n$ we have

$$f \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ 0 & d' \end{pmatrix} f'$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ 0 & d' \end{pmatrix}$$

for a unique $\begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ 0 & d' \end{pmatrix} \in \Delta_n$ and $f' \in S_h(\mathbb{Z})$.

The point is then that:

- (i) if $(a, q) = 1$ then $(a', q) = 1$
- (ii) $f' \in \rho_0(q)$
- (iii) $\overline{\chi(f)} \chi(a) = \chi(a') \overline{\chi(f')}$
- (iv) The map $g \mapsto g'$ is a bijection of $\{g \in \Delta_n \mid (a, q) = 1\}$

Indeed, if we assume this, we get for $f \in M_h(q, \chi)$

and $\gamma \in \rho_0(q)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(n) f|_k \gamma &= n^{\frac{k}{2}-1} \sum_{\substack{g \in \Delta_n \\ \text{"} \\ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \quad (a,q)=1}} \chi(a) f|_k \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} |_k \gamma \\
 &= n^{\frac{k}{2}-1} \sum_{\substack{g \in \Delta_n \\ (a,q)=1}} \chi(a) f|_k \gamma' |_k g' \\
 &= n^{\frac{k}{2}-1} \sum_{\substack{g \in \Delta_n \\ (a,q)=1}} \underbrace{\chi(a) \chi(\gamma')}_{\text{"} \\ \chi(a') \chi(\gamma)} f|_k g' \\
 &= \chi(\gamma) n^{\frac{k}{2}-1} \sum_{\substack{g' \in \Delta_n \\ (a',q)=1}} \chi(a') f|_k g' \\
 &= \chi(\gamma) T(n) f.
 \end{aligned}$$

The holomorphy / vanishing at all cusps is checked by using the fact that the "cusps are permuted".

Now we check the properties above :

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \gamma = \gamma' \begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ 0 & d' \end{pmatrix}$$

Write $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} r & s \\ t & u \end{pmatrix}$, $\gamma' = \begin{pmatrix} r' & s' \\ t' & u' \end{pmatrix}$; then

$$\begin{pmatrix} ra + tb & * \\ dt & * \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} r'a' & * \\ t'a' & * \end{pmatrix}$$

Since $q|t$ we have $ra \equiv r'a' \pmod{q}$. ~~Then~~
 If $(a,q)=1$, then since r, r' are coprime to q

we get $(a', q) = 1$. Then from

$$t'a' = dt \equiv 0 \pmod{q} \quad (y \in P_0(q))$$

we deduce $t' \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$ so $y' \in P_0(q)$. Finally

$$ar \equiv a'r' \pmod{q}$$

gives

$$\overline{\chi(r)} \chi(q) = \overline{\chi(a')} \chi(y').$$

Finally $g \mapsto g'$, on $\{g \in \Delta_n \mid (a, g) = 1\}$ is injective, hence bijective

□

on $M_h(q, \chi)$ (or on P_h)

Proposition. The Hecke operators satisfy the relations

$$T(m)T(n) = \sum_{d|(m,n)} \chi(d) d^{h-1} T\left(\frac{mn}{d^2}\right)$$

for $m, n \geq 1$. In particular

$$T(m)T(n) = T(n)T(m)$$

$$\text{and } T(m)T(n) = T(mn) \text{ if } (m, n) = 1.$$

Proof. By definition

$$mn T(m)T(n)f = \sum_{\substack{a, d_1 = m \\ a, d_2 = n}} \chi(a, a_2) (a, a_2)^{h-1} \sum_{\substack{b_1 \\ b_2}} f|_h \begin{pmatrix} a, b_1 \\ 0, d_1 \\ a_2, b_2 \\ 0, d_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{a, d_1 = m \\ a, d_2 = n}} \chi(a, a_2) (a, a_2)^h \sum_{\substack{b_1 \text{ mod } d_1 \\ b_2 \text{ mod } d_2}} f|_h \begin{pmatrix} a, a_2 & b \\ 0 & a_2 d_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

with $b = a_1 b_2 + b_1 d_2$.

If m and n are coprime, then b runs uniquely over

(representatives of) $\mathbb{Z}/d_1\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/d_2\mathbb{Z}$ as b_1, b_2 vary

Using periodicity, which representative is used

in $f_k \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a_1, a_2 & b \\ 0 & d_1, d_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right)$ does not matter so

$$mn T(m) T(n) f = \sum_{\substack{a_1, d_1 = m \\ a_2, d_2 = n}} \chi(a_1, a_2) (a_1, a_2)^k \sum_{b \bmod d_1, d_2} f_k \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a_1, a_2 & b \\ 0 & d_1, d_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right)$$

Moreover, since $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ ^{we assume}, we see that the pairs $(a_1, d_1), (a_2, d_2)$ are in bijection with (a, d)
 $a_1, d_1 = m \quad a_2, d_2 = n$

such that $ad = mn$. So

$$mn T(m) T(n) = mn T(mn)$$

in this case.

The general case is a bit more involved. The

entry $b = d_2 b_1 + a_1 b_2$, for d_2, a_1 varying is always a multiple of $\delta = (a_1, \frac{d_2}{\gcd(a_1, d_2)})$. So are a_1, a_2 and d_1, d_2 . Thus we split the sum according

to δ , and replace a_1 by δa_1 , d_2 by δd_2

$$\sum_{\delta | (m, n)} \chi(\delta) \delta^k \sum_{\substack{a_1, d_1 = m/\delta \\ a_2, d_2 = n/\delta \\ \gcd(a_1, d_1) = 1}} \chi(a_1, a_2) (a_1, a_2)^k \sum_{\substack{b_1(d_1) \\ b_2(\delta d_2)}} f_k \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a_1, a_2 & b \\ 0 & d_1, d_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right)$$

with again $b = d_2 b_1 + a_1 b_2$.

Claim - As $b_1 (d_1)$ and $b_2 (\delta d_2)$ vary, the value $b = d_2 b_1 + a_1 b_2$ ranges δ times over

every class modulo d_1, d_2 (because b determines $b_2 \bmod d_2$ and $b_1 \bmod d_1$).

So the sum becomes

$$\sum_{\delta | (m, n)} \chi(\delta) \delta^{k+1} \sum_{\substack{a_1 d_1 = m/\delta \\ a_2 d_2 = n/\delta \\ (a_1, d_1) = 1}} (a_1, a_2)^k \chi(a_1, a_2) \sum_{b \bmod d_1 d_2} f_k \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a_1 a_2 & b \\ 0 & d_1 d_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right)$$

Now observe that the pairs $(a_1, d_1), (a_2, d_2)$ correspond bijectionally to pairs with $\begin{cases} a = a_1 a_2 \\ d = d_1 d_2 \end{cases}$ such that $ad = \frac{mn}{\delta^2}$ and $(a_1, d_2) = 1$.

Thus the sum is

$$\begin{aligned} mn T(m) T(n) &= \sum_{\delta | (m, n)} \chi(\delta) \delta^{k+1} \sum_{\substack{a \\ ad = \frac{mn}{\delta^2}}} a^k \chi(a) \sum_{\substack{b \\ b \bmod d}} f_k \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{smallmatrix} \right) \\ \Rightarrow T(m) T(n) &= \sum_{\delta | (m, n)} \chi(\delta) \delta^{k-1} \frac{1}{\left(\frac{mn}{\delta^2} \right)} \sum_{\substack{a \\ ad = \frac{mn}{\delta^2}}} a^k \chi(a) \sum_{b(d)} f_k \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{smallmatrix} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\delta | (m, n)} \chi(\delta) \delta^{k-1} T\left(\frac{mn}{\delta^2}\right) f. \end{aligned}$$

□