

6 - "Newforms" / Primitive forms

For k, q, χ general, there is no direct analogue of the basis ϕ_k in $S_k(q, \chi)$. The problem is that it is not true that $T(n)$ is always normal. More precisely:

Lemma. (Hecke) For $(n, q) = 1$, $T(n)$ is normal on $S_k(q, \chi)$, in fact

$$T(n)^* = \overline{\chi(n)} T(n)$$

So there is a basis of eigenfunctions of all $T(n)$ with $(n, q) = 1$. But, this is not unique, because different ϕ 's may have the same eigenvalues.

Atkin-Lehner found the right way to work around this. They defined a subspace

$$S_k^{\text{new}}(q, \chi) \subset S_k(q, \chi)$$

which is preserved by all $T(n)$, and showed that multiplicity one holds in the "new" space. They also showed how to understand $S_k(q, \chi)$ from the new space and $S_k(d, \chi')$ where $d \mid q$.

Elements of $S_k^{\text{new}}(q, \chi)$ which are eigenf. of $T(n)$ for $(n, q) = 1$ are called newforms.

In particular, if $f \in S_k^{\text{new}}(q, \chi)$ is eigenfunction of all $T(n)$, $(n, q) = 1$, it is also one of $T(n)$, $n \geq 1$: by commutativity, f and $T(n)f$ have the same $T(n)$ -eigenvalues for $(n, q) = 1$, hence are proportional.

Similarly, it follows that $\tilde{f} = f|_k \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ q & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is in $S_k^{\text{new}}(q, \bar{\chi})$ if f is ~~a~~ a newform, and then that

$$\tilde{f} = \eta \sum_{n \geq 1} \overline{a_f(n)} e(n\tau)$$

for some η of modulus 1. So the functional equation relates $L(f, s)$ to $L(\tilde{f}, s)$ where

$$\tilde{f}(\tau) = \sum \overline{a_f(n)} e(n\tau),$$

in particular

$$L(\tilde{f}, s) = \prod_p \frac{1}{1 - \overline{\lambda_f(p)} p^{-s} - \chi(p) p^{k-1-2s}}$$