

Proof. (1) For $f \neq 0$, $f \in M_{2k}$, all $v_z(f)$ are ≥ 0 integers, so the formula above becomes

$$(*) \quad v_\infty(f) + \frac{v_i(f)}{2} + \frac{v_{e(1/3)}(f)}{3} + \left(\sum_{\substack{\text{other} \\ \text{pts}}} v_z(f) \right) = \frac{2k}{12}.$$

In particular, $k < 0$ is impossible, as well as $k = 1$ (i.e. $M_2 = \{0\}$) because $\frac{1}{6}$ can not be written $a + \frac{b}{2} + \frac{c}{3}$, $a, b, c \geq 0$ integers. So $M_{2k} = \{0\}$ if $k < 0$ or $k = 1$.

(2) Claim: there exists a function $\Delta \in M_{12}^0 - \{0\}$ such that $v_z(\Delta) = 0$ for $z \in \mathbb{H}$.

Indeed, let

$$\Delta = E_4^3 - E_6^2$$

Then $\Delta \in M_{12}$ and its value at ∞ is $1 - 1 = 0$, according to the previous lemma.

We need to check that $\Delta \neq 0$. For this we apply (*) to $f = E_4$ and E_6 ; the only possibilities are

$$v_i(\bar{E}_4) = 0, \quad v_{e(1/3)}(\bar{E}_4) = 1$$

$$v_i(\bar{E}_6) = 1, \quad v_{e(1/3)}(\bar{E}_6) = 0$$

(and all other values are 0), so $\Delta(i) \neq 0$ for instance.

(3) Claim: $\dim M_h^0 = \dim M_h$ or $\dim M_h - 1$

Indeed, $M_k^0 = \ker (f \mapsto \tilde{f}(0))$, the kernel of a (possibly ~~zero~~) linear form.

(4) Claim: $f \mapsto f \Delta$ is an isomorphism of \mathbb{C} -vector spaces from M_{2k} to M_{2k+12}^0 .

Indeed, this map u is linear and injective, and $f \Delta(\infty) = 0$, so it does have image in M_{2k+12}^0 . We need then only to check that it is surjective: let $f \in M_{2k+12}^0$; define

$g = \frac{f}{\Delta}$; then g is modular of weight $2k$, and in fact is holomorphic everywhere

$$\text{since } v_\infty(g) = v_\infty(f) - 1 \geq 0$$

$$z \in \mathbb{H} \Rightarrow v_z(g) = \cancel{v_z(f)} v_z(f) \geq 0.$$

Since $u(g) = f$, we have the result.

(5) Combining (1) and (4) we have the conclusion

that $M_{2k}^0 = \{0\}$ for $2k \leq 10$ (or $2k = 14$),

so $M_0, M_4, M_6, M_8, M_{10}$ must be generated by the non-zero elements $1, \cancel{E_4}, E_6, E_8, E_{10}$.

(So we see that it was not a coincidence that E_2 does not converge...). Then $M_{12}^0 \simeq M_0$

is one-dimensional, so generated by Δ .

(6) Finally observe that the RHS and LHS of the formula in statement (1) coincide for $2k \leq 12$,

and increase by 1 when replacing h by $h + \delta$.
 So the formula holds for all $h \geq 0$ by induction.

□

Corollary - (1) The \mathbb{C} -algebra

$$\bigoplus_{h \geq 0} M_h$$

(with product of modular forms as multiplication)

is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}[X, Y]$ by $\begin{cases} X \mapsto E_4 \\ Y \mapsto E_6 \end{cases}$

(2) There exists a meromorphic modular form

$j: \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of weight 0 such that

j defines a bijection

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{H} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C} \\ \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) & \searrow & \end{array}$$

and j has a pole at ∞ with residue 1.

Proof (1) Since $\begin{cases} E_4(e^{2i\pi/3}) = 0, E_6(e^{2i\pi/3}) \neq 0 \\ E_6(i) = 0, E_4(i) \neq 0 \end{cases}$

the obvious map $\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}[X, Y] & \xrightarrow{u} & \bigoplus M_h \\ X & \longmapsto & E_4 \\ Y & \longmapsto & E_6 \end{array}$

is injective: indeed, otherwise we would have for

some k with a relation

$$\sum_{i+j=k} d_{ij} E_4^i E_6^j = 0, \quad \text{not all } d_{ij} \text{ zero}$$

Picking a, b with $4a + 6b = h$ and a minimal, we observe that for all i, j with $4i + 6j = h$, we have $i \geq a$ so $j \leq b$, and

$$E_4^i E_6^j = E_4^a E_6^b \frac{E_6^{j-b}}{E_4^{i-a}}$$

and this is $E_4^a E_6^b f^{\frac{j-b}{2}}$ for

$$f = \frac{E_6^2}{E_4^3}$$

(since $4(a-i) = 6(j-b)$, we have $2|j-b$ and $3|a-i$). So f would solve an algebraic equation with constant coefficients, which is only possible if f is constant, which the values at $i, e(1/3)$ show isn't the case.

To prove that u is surjective, we argue by induction that $M_h \subset \text{Im}(u)$. This holds

for $h \leq 6$ since $M_0 = \mathbb{C} \cdot 1$, $M_2 = \{0\}$, $M_4 = \mathbb{C} \cdot E_4$, $M_6 = \mathbb{C} \cdot E_6^3$.

Otherwise, pick a, b with

$$4a + 6b = h$$

(Exercise: all even $h \geq 4$ are of this form) and

note that if $f \in M_h$ then ~~there is λ s.t. $f - \lambda E_4^a E_6^b \in M_h^0$~~ there

is λ s.t. $f - \lambda E_4^a E_6^b \in M_h^0$ (because

$E_4^a E_6^b(\infty) \neq 0$), hence

$$f = \lambda E_4^a E_6^b + 0g$$

for some $g \in M_{g-1,2}$, and we conclude the induction since $\Delta \in \text{Im}(u)$ by definition.

(2) Since Δ has a simple zero at ∞ and no other zero, we can find $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ such that $j = \lambda \frac{E_4^3}{\Delta}$ has a simple pole with residue 1 at ∞ . It is of weight zero, and holomorphic on \mathbb{H} . (But $j \notin M_0$ since it is not holomorphic at ∞ .)

So j defines certainly a ~~holo~~ map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{H} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C} \\ \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) & \searrow & \end{array}$$

To prove this is a bijection, pick $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

The function

$$f_z = \lambda E_4^3 - z \Delta$$

is in M_{12} , and is $\neq 0$ (since Δ, E_4^3 differ at ∞). The formula of p. 28 gives

$$0 = v_\infty(f_z) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^3 v_i(f_z) + \frac{1}{3} v_{e(1/3)}(f_z) + \sum_{\substack{\text{other} \\ w}} v_w(f_z) = 1$$

The only possible choices are

$$v_i(f_z) = 2, \quad \text{others are } 0$$

$$v_{e(1/3)}(f_z) = 3, \quad \text{others are } 0$$

\exists unique $w \neq i, e(1/3)$ s.t. $v_w(f_z) = 1$, others are 0.

In any case, f_z has a unique zero $w \in \mathbb{H}$
so a unique solution to $j(w) = z$.
 $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$

□