

(1) It is enough to show this on elementary matrices E_{ij} since they span \mathfrak{gl}_m .

$$\langle P(E_{ij}) \cdot \psi_s | \psi_{s'} \rangle = \begin{cases} \pm 1 & \text{if } s \text{ and } s' \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

if s and s'
differ by i and j
i.e. $S \setminus \{i\} = S \setminus \{j\}$. same sign
as before.

In this case,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi_s | P(E_{ji}) \cdot \psi_{s'} \rangle &= \begin{cases} \pm 1 & \text{if } s \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \\ \Rightarrow \langle P(E_{ij}) \cdot \psi_s | \psi_{s'} \rangle &= \langle \psi_s | P(E_{ji}) \cdot \psi_{s'} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

(2) (2.1) Let $V \subseteq F^{(m)}$ be a subrep.

Consider its orthogonal complement $V^\perp = \{v \in F^{(m)} \mid \langle v | v' \rangle = 0 \}$.

We want to show that V^\perp

is also a subrep of $\mathcal{F}^{(n)}$,
 i.e. $M \cdot v \in V^+$ for $\forall v \in V^\perp$ &
 $\forall M \in gl_\infty$.

$$\begin{aligned}\langle Mv | v' \rangle &= \langle v | M^t v' \rangle \\ v \in V, \quad M^t \cdot v' &\in V, \quad v \in V^\perp \\ \Rightarrow \langle Mv | v' \rangle &= 0 \text{ for } \forall v' \in V \\ \Rightarrow Mv &\in V^\perp \\ \Rightarrow V^\perp &\text{ is a subrep of } \mathcal{F}^{(n)}.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, $\mathcal{F}^{(n)} = V \oplus V^\perp$ as
 reps of gl_∞ .

By induction, we can split $\mathcal{F}^{(n)}$
 as sums of irreps.

(2.2) Given a semi-infinite monomial

$$\psi_S = v_{s_0} \wedge v_{s_1} \wedge v_{s_2} \wedge \dots$$

Then

$$\psi_S = \rho(E_{s_{m-n}}) \cdots \rho(E_{s_0}) \cdot \psi_m$$

such that $V_i = i + m$ & $i < -k$.

(2.3) By (2.1), $F^{(m)} = V \oplus V^\perp$ for any
 \uparrow
 subrep V .
 as reps of gl_∞

Since ψ_m is the unique
 semi-infinite monomial of

degree $\deg(\psi_m) = 0$, it
 must belong to V or V^\perp .

But by (2.2), all other
 semi-infinite monomials can

be created from it,

$$\Rightarrow V = F^{(m)} \text{ or } V^\perp = \overline{F}^{(m)} \\ V^\perp = \{0\} \quad V = \{0\}.$$

$\Rightarrow F^{(m)}$ cannot have
 any non-trivial subreps.
 i.e. it is irreducible,

(3) For d_n, d_m s.t. $n \neq m$,

$$\text{we have } [d_n, d_m]_{\bar{\alpha}_\infty} = [d_n, d_m]_{\alpha_\infty}$$

in $\bar{\alpha}_\infty$, it can be computed as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
(d_n d_m - d_m d_n) \cdot v_k &= \\
&= (k-m)v_k \cdot v_{k-m-n} - (k-n)v_k \cdot v_{k-m-n} \\
&= (n-m)v_k \cdot v_{k-m-n} \\
&= (n-m)d_{m+n} \cdot v_k \\
\Rightarrow [d_n, d_m]_{a_\infty} &= (n-m)d_{m+n} \\
&\text{if } m \neq -n,
\end{aligned}$$

Assume now $n = -m$.

$$d_n = \sum_i (i+n) E_{i,i+n}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
[d_n, d_{-n}]_{a_\infty} &= [d_n, d_{-n}]_{\tilde{a}_\infty} + \Theta(d_n, d_{-n}) \overline{I} \\
&= 2n d_0 + \Theta(d_n, d_{-n}) \overline{I} \\
&\quad \uparrow \\
&\quad \text{the central extension} \\
&\quad \text{cocycle.}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\Theta\left(\sum_i (i+n) E_{i,i+n}, \sum_i i E_{i+n,i}\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \Theta\left(\sum_{\substack{i \leq 0 \\ i+n \geq 1}} (i+n) E_{i,i+n}, \sum_{\substack{i \leq 0 \\ i+n \geq 1}} i E_{i+n,i}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

on other terms

Θ vanishes

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{0 > i \geq 1-n} (i+n)i \Theta(E_{i,i+n}, E_{i+n,i}) \stackrel{=} 1
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{0 \leq i \leq n} (-1)^i \cdot$$

$$= -2 \cdot \frac{n^3 - n}{12}$$

Exactly the same computation holds
for $d_n^{\alpha, \beta}$.

\Rightarrow operators d_n induce the
action of the Virasoro
algebra.