

Exercise 4.1. ★

Let $T \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $S \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and recall that we defined $T \star S, S \star T \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as

$$\langle T \star S, \varphi \rangle = \langle T, \check{S} \star \varphi \rangle_{\mathcal{S}', \mathcal{S}} \quad \text{and} \quad \langle S \star T, \varphi \rangle = \langle S, \check{T} \star \varphi \rangle_{\mathcal{E}', C^\infty}$$

respectively, for $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

(a) Let $(\chi_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0}$ be a sequence of mollifiers as usual, and for $S \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, define $S_\varepsilon := S \star \chi_\varepsilon \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Show that, if $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then

$$S_\varepsilon \star \varphi \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} S \star \varphi \quad \text{in } \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Solution: We have that

$$S_\varepsilon \star \varphi(x) = \langle S_\varepsilon, \varphi(x - \cdot) \rangle = \langle S \star \chi_\varepsilon, \varphi(x - \cdot) \rangle = \langle S, \check{\chi}_\varepsilon \star (\varphi(x - \cdot)) \rangle = \langle S, (\chi_\varepsilon \star \varphi)(x - \cdot) \rangle,$$

because $(\check{\chi}_\varepsilon \star \varphi)(x - \cdot)(y) = (\chi_\varepsilon \star \varphi)(x - y)$. Since $\partial^\alpha(S_\varepsilon \star \varphi) = S_\varepsilon \star \partial^\alpha \varphi$, it suffices to prove that $\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and any $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$,

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left| x^\beta (\langle S, (\chi_\varepsilon \star \varphi)(x - \cdot) \rangle - \langle S, \varphi(x - \cdot) \rangle) \right| \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0.$$

Let p be the order of S . Since $K := \text{supp } S \subset B_\rho(0)$ is compact, there exists a constant $C_S > 0$, such that

$$|\langle S, \psi \rangle| \leq C_S \sum_{|\alpha| \leq p} \|\partial^\alpha \psi\|_{L^\infty(K)}, \quad \forall \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Hence we have for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$|x^\beta (\langle S, (\chi_\varepsilon \star \varphi)(x - \cdot) \rangle - \langle S, \varphi(x - \cdot) \rangle)| \leq C_S \sum_{|\alpha| \leq p} \|x^\beta ((\chi_\varepsilon \star \partial^\alpha \varphi)(x - y) - \partial^\alpha \varphi(x - y))\|_{L_y^\infty(K)}.$$

Let $\delta > 0$ and $R > 2\rho > 0$ to be fixed later on. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_R(0)$ we bound

$$\left| x^\beta (\langle S, \chi_\varepsilon \star \varphi(x - \cdot) \rangle - \langle S, \varphi(x - \cdot) \rangle) \right| \leq C_S \sum_{|\alpha| \leq p} \|x^\beta ((\chi_\varepsilon \star \partial^\alpha \varphi)(x - y) - \partial^\alpha \varphi(x - y))\|_{L_y^\infty(B_\rho(0))}.$$

Observe that for $|x| > R > 2\rho$ and $y \in B_\rho(0)$ one has $2|x| > |x - y| > |x|/2$ and also

$$|(\chi_\varepsilon \star \partial^\alpha \varphi)(x - y)| \leq \|\chi_\varepsilon\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\partial^\alpha \varphi\|_{L^\infty(B_{\rho+\varepsilon}(x))} = \|\partial^\alpha \varphi\|_{L^\infty(B_{\rho+\varepsilon}(x))} \leq C|x|^{-1} \mathcal{N}_{p+1}(\varphi).$$

Hence we have for any $|\alpha| \leq p$

$$C_S \sum_{|\alpha| \leq p} \left\| \|x^\beta ((\chi_\varepsilon \star \partial^\alpha \varphi)(x - y) - \partial^\alpha \varphi(x - y))\|_{L_y^\infty(B_\rho(0))} \right\|_{L_x^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_R(0))} \leq C_p R^{-1} \mathcal{N}_{p+1}(\varphi).$$

We choose R such that $C_p R^{-1} \mathcal{N}_{p+1}(\varphi) < \delta/2$. Being R now fixed, on $B_{R+\rho}(0)$ the convergence of $\chi_\varepsilon \star \partial^\alpha \varphi$ towards $\partial^\alpha \varphi$ is uniform, hence

$$\begin{aligned} C_S \sum_{|\alpha| \leq p} \left\| \|x^\beta ((\chi_\varepsilon \star \partial^\alpha \varphi)(x - y) - \partial^\alpha \varphi(x - y))\|_{L_y^\infty(B_\rho(0))} \right\|_{L_x^\infty(B_{R+\rho}(0))} \\ \leq C_S R^{|\beta|} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq p} \|((\chi_\varepsilon \star \partial^\alpha \varphi)(z) - \partial^\alpha \varphi(z))\|_{L_z^\infty(B_{R+\rho}(0))} \leq \delta/2 \end{aligned}$$

for ε small enough and we are done.

(b) Show that $S \star T = T \star S$ whenever $T \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $S \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. To do this, first prove it for S_ε in place of S , and then use the first part (applied to $\check{S}$) to conclude.

Solution: Let us prove this formula first for $S_\varepsilon \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle S_\varepsilon \star T, \varphi \rangle &= \langle S_\varepsilon, \check{T} \star \varphi \rangle = \int S_\varepsilon(x) (\check{T} \star \varphi)(x) dx = \int S_\varepsilon(x) \langle \check{T}, \varphi(x - \cdot) \rangle dx \\ &= \left\langle \check{T}, \int S_\varepsilon(x) \varphi(x - \cdot) dx \right\rangle = \left\langle T, \int S_\varepsilon(x) \varphi(x + \cdot) dx \right\rangle = \langle T, \check{S}_\varepsilon \star \varphi \rangle = \langle T \star S_\varepsilon, \varphi \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where we have exchanged $\check{T}$ and the integral of Schwartz functions parametrized by x , and we also have used the equality

$$\int S_\varepsilon(x) \varphi(x + y) dx = \int \check{S}_\varepsilon(-x) \varphi(x + y) dx \stackrel{z=-x}{=} \int \check{S}_\varepsilon(z) \varphi(y - z) dz = \check{S}_\varepsilon \star \varphi(y).$$

Now fix $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and observe that

$$\langle S_\varepsilon \star T, \varphi \rangle = \langle S_\varepsilon, \check{T} \star \varphi \rangle = \langle S, \chi_\varepsilon \star (\check{T} \star \varphi) \rangle \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \langle S, (\check{T} \star \varphi) \rangle = \langle S \star T, \varphi \rangle$$

since $\chi_\varepsilon \star (\check{T} \star \varphi) \rightarrow \check{T} \star \varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $S \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. On the other hand,

$$\langle T \star S_\varepsilon, \varphi \rangle = \langle T, \check{S}_\varepsilon \star \varphi \rangle \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \langle T, \check{S} \star \varphi \rangle = \langle T \star S, \varphi \rangle$$

by part (a) and because $T \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The result follows.

Exercise 4.2.

For each $0 < \alpha < n$, show that the function $f(x) = |x|^{-\alpha}$ defines a tempered distribution and compute its Fourier transform.

Hint: first consider $\alpha > n/2$, show that $\hat{f}$ is an L^1_{loc} function and apply Exercise 3.5 to deduce that $\hat{f}(\xi) = \gamma |\xi|^\beta$ for some $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ with β explicit. In order to find γ , test against a Gaussian $e^{-|x|^2/2}$, integrate in polar coordinates and relate the resulting expression to the Γ function. Argue for $\alpha < n/2$ using the inverse Fourier transform and finally for $\alpha = n/2$ by approximation.

Solution: If $n/2 < \alpha < n$, then $f(x) = |x|^{-\alpha} = |x|^{-\alpha} \chi_{\{|x| \leq 1\}} + |x|^{-\alpha} \chi_{\{|x| > 1\}} \in L^1 + L^2$, so $\hat{f}$ can be written as the sum of a continuous function vanishing at infinity (and hence in L^∞) and an L^2 function, and therefore $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Since f is radially symmetric and $(-\alpha)$ -homogeneous (as a distribution), $\hat{f}$ is radially symmetric and $(-n + \alpha)$ -homogeneous, and using part (d) and the fact that $\hat{f} \in L^1_{\text{loc}}$ we get that $\hat{f}(\xi) = \gamma_{n,\alpha} |\xi|^{-(n-\alpha)}$ for a constant $\gamma_{n,\alpha} \in \mathbb{C}$.

In order to find $\gamma_{n,\alpha}$, we test against $g(x) = e^{-|x|^2/2}$, with $\hat{g}(\xi) = e^{-|\xi|^2/2}$:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x|^{-\alpha} e^{-|x|^2/2} dx = \gamma_{n,\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\xi|^{-(n-\alpha)} e^{-|\xi|^2/2} d\xi.$$

On the left we have, using the change of variables $s = r^2/2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x|^{-\alpha} e^{-|x|^2/2} dx &= \int_0^\infty r^{-\alpha} e^{-r^2/2} n\omega_n r^{n-1} dr = n\omega_n \int_0^\infty (2s)^{\frac{n-\alpha}{2}-1} e^{-s} ds \\ &= n\omega_n 2^{\frac{n-\alpha}{2}-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{n-\alpha}{2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\xi|^{-(n-\alpha)} e^{-|\xi|^2/2} d\xi = n\omega_n 2^{\frac{n-(n-\alpha)}{2}-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{n-(n-\alpha)}{2}\right) = n\omega_n 2^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right),$$

from which we deduce

$$\gamma_{n,\alpha} = \frac{n\omega_n 2^{\frac{n-\alpha}{2}-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{n-\alpha}{2}\right)}{n\omega_n 2^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)} = 2^{\frac{n}{2}-\alpha} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n-\alpha}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)}.$$

Thanks to the Fourier inversion formula, we get for free that the Fourier transform of $|x|^{-\alpha}$ is $\gamma_{n,n-\alpha}^{-1} |\xi|^{-(n-\alpha)}$ for $0 < \alpha < n/2$. Finally, for $\alpha = n/2$, choose a sequence $\alpha_j \rightarrow \alpha$ and use the fact that $|x|^{-\alpha_j} \rightharpoonup |x|^{-\alpha}$ and $|\xi|^{-(n-\alpha_j)} \rightharpoonup |\xi|^{-(n-\alpha)}$ in $\mathcal{S}'$ (using the dominated convergence theorem when we test against $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$), together with the continuity of the Fourier transform with respect to weak convergence of tempered distributions.

Exercise 4.3.

Show that, for each $n \geq 2$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$, p. v. $\frac{x_i}{|x|^{n+1}}$ defines a tempered distribution and compute its Fourier transform.

Hint: use the previous exercise.

Solution: We claim that p. v. $\frac{x_i}{|x|^{n+1}} = \frac{1}{1-n} \partial_{x_i} |x|^{1-n}$, where $|x|^{1-n} \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and we are taking the distributional derivative. Indeed, for $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\{|x|>\varepsilon\}} \frac{x_i}{|x|^{n+1}} \varphi(x) dx &= \frac{1}{1-n} \int_{\{|x|>\varepsilon\}} \partial_{x_i} |x|^{1-n} \varphi(x) dx = \frac{1}{1-n} \int_{\{|x|>\varepsilon\}} \operatorname{div}(e_i |x|^{1-n}) \varphi(x) dx \\ &= \int_{\partial B_\varepsilon} |x|^{1-n} \varphi(x) e_i \cdot \nu(x) d\sigma(x) - \frac{1}{1-n} \int_{\{|x|>\varepsilon\}} |x|^{1-n} e_i \cdot \nabla \varphi(x) dx \\ &= \varepsilon^{1-n} \int_{\partial B_\varepsilon} (\varphi(x) - \varphi(0)) \frac{x_i}{|x|} d\sigma(x) - \frac{1}{1-n} \int_{\{|x|>\varepsilon\}} |x|^{1-n} \partial_{x_i} \varphi(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have subtracted $\varphi(0)$ thanks to the fact that $x_i/|x|$ is odd. The first term is bounded in absolute value by

$$\varepsilon^{1-n} \int_{\partial B_\varepsilon} |\varphi(x) - \varphi(0)| d\sigma(x) \leq \varepsilon^{1-n} \cdot \varepsilon \cdot C \varepsilon^{n-1} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0,$$

whereas the fact that $|x|^{1-n}$ is integrable around the origin allows us to pass to the limit the second term:

$$-\frac{1}{1-n} \int_{\{|x|>\varepsilon\}} |x|^{1-n} \partial_{x_i} \varphi(x) dx \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} -\frac{1}{1-n} \langle |x|^{1-n}, \partial_{x_i} \varphi \rangle = \frac{1}{1-n} \langle \partial_{x_i} |x|^{1-n}, \varphi \rangle.$$

This proves the claim. Denote $f(x) = |x|^{1-n}$. We have by Exercise 3.?(e) that $\hat{f}(\xi) = \gamma |\xi|^{-1}$ with

$$\gamma = \gamma_{n,n-1} = 2^{1-\frac{n}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n-1}{2})}.$$

It follows that

$$\widehat{\text{p. v. } \frac{x_i}{|x|^{n+1}}}(\xi) = \frac{1}{1-n} \widehat{\partial_{x_i} |x|^{1-n}}(\xi) = \frac{1}{1-n} i \xi_i \hat{f}(\xi) = i \frac{\gamma}{1-n} \frac{\xi_i}{|\xi|}.$$

Exercise 4.4. ★

Recall that the distribution $S \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^4)$ defined by

$$\langle S, \varphi \rangle := \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\varphi(x, |x|)}{|x|} dx, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^4),$$

is a fundamental solution of the wave operator $\square$. Show that, given $f \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^4)$, $u := S \star f$ is the only solution to $\square u = f$ which is supported in $\mathbb{R}^3 \times (t_0, \infty)$ for some $t_0 > 0$.

Solution: Assume there exists another solution $\tilde{u} \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^4)$ supported in $\mathbb{R}^3 \times (t'_0, +\infty)$ for some t'_0 . Denoting $w := u - \tilde{u}$, which is supported in $\mathbb{R}^3 \times (t''_0, +\infty)$ and satisfies $\square w = 0$, we have

$$w = \delta_0 \star w = (S \star \square \delta_0) \star w.$$

Let now $\Theta \in C_c^\infty(B_2(0))$ with $\Theta \equiv 1$ on $B_1(0)$, and define $\Theta_i(x) = \Theta(x/i)$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\Theta_i \equiv 1$ on $B_i(0)$ and $\Theta_i \equiv 0$ on $B_{2i}(0)^c$. This gives

$$\underbrace{(\Theta_i S \star \square \delta_0)}_{\in \mathcal{E}'} \star \underbrace{w}_{\in \mathcal{S}'} = \Theta_i S \star (\square \delta_0 \star w) = \Theta_i S \star \square w = 0 = \Theta_i S \star 0 = 0. \quad (1)$$

Moreover there holds

$$\square(\Theta_i S) = \square S = \delta_0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(B_i(0)),$$

and

$$\square(\Theta_i S) = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(B_{2i}(0)^c).$$

Thus $\square(\Theta_i S) = \delta_0 + h_i$ for a distribution h_i supported in $B_{2i}(0) \setminus B_i(0) \cap \Lambda^+$, where $\Lambda^+ = \text{supp } S$ denotes the positive light cone. Let now $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4)$ with $\text{supp } \varphi \subset B_R^4(0)$. Since

$$\langle (\Theta_i S \star \square \delta_0) \star w, \varphi \rangle = \langle \square \Theta_i S, \tilde{w} \star \varphi \rangle \quad (2)$$

and

$$\text{supp } \tilde{w} \subset \{(x, t), t < -t_0''\},$$

this implies

$$\text{supp } (\tilde{w} \star \varphi) \subset \{(x, t), t \leq -t_0'' + R\}.$$

On the other hand, $\text{supp } h_i \subseteq \mathbb{R}^4 \setminus B_i \cap \Lambda^+ = \{(x, t) : t = |x| > i/\sqrt{2}\}$. Thus

$$\langle h_i, \tilde{w} \star \varphi \rangle_{\mathcal{E}', C^\infty} = 0$$

for i large enough. Combining the above we have for i large enough

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \left\langle \square \Theta_i \frac{T}{4\pi\rho}, \tilde{w} \star \varphi \right\rangle = \langle \delta_0 + h_i, \tilde{w} \star \varphi \rangle \\ &\quad \uparrow \\ &(1), (2) \\ &= \langle \delta_0, \tilde{w} \star \varphi \rangle = \langle \delta_0, \langle w(-y), \varphi(x-y) \rangle \rangle = \langle w, \varphi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have proved that $w = u - \tilde{u} = 0$ in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^4)$. This holds as well in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^4)$ since $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Exercise 4.5. ★

(a) Show that the formal solution to the heat equation with initial data $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ obtained in the lecture,

$$u(t, x) = \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{n/2}} \left(e^{-|\cdot|^2/4t} \star f \right) (x) \quad (\dagger)$$

satisfies the initial condition in the following sense:

$$u(t, \cdot) \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow 0} f \quad \text{in } \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (\text{IC})$$

Solution: Recall that, in Fourier, the solution is given by

$$\hat{u}(t) = e^{-t|\xi|^2} \hat{f},$$

where we are multiplying $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with a Gaussian, which is a Schwartz function. We need to prove that, given $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\langle \hat{f}, e^{-t|\xi|^2} \psi \rangle = \langle e^{-t|\xi|^2} \hat{f}, \psi \rangle \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow 0} \langle \hat{f}, \psi \rangle,$$

so it suffices to show that $e^{-t|\xi|^2} \psi \rightarrow \psi$ in $\mathcal{S}$. First of all, when we do not take any derivatives, we have to prove that given $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, for t small enough,

$$|\xi|^m (1 - e^{-t|\xi|^2}) |\psi(\xi)| \leq \varepsilon \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (1)$$

Let $R > 0$ so that $|\xi|^m |\psi|(\xi) \leq \varepsilon$ for all ξ with $|\xi| > R$. Then clearly (1) holds on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_R$ for every t , and it also holds on B_R for t small enough thanks to the fact that $1 - e^{-t|\xi|^2} \leq 1 - e^{-tR^2} \rightarrow 0$.

To prove convergence in the Schwartz space, we also have to show that for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ with $|\alpha| \leq m$, $|\xi|^m \partial^\alpha \left((1 - e^{-t|\xi|^2}) \psi(\xi) \right) \rightarrow 0$ uniformly in $\mathbb{R}^n$. One can easily see by induction that for every such α ,

$$|\xi|^m \partial^\alpha \left((1 - e^{-t|\xi|^2}) \psi(\xi) \right) = |\xi|^m (1 - e^{-t|\xi|^2}) \partial^\alpha \psi(\xi) + |\xi|^m \sum_{|\beta| \leq m} t p_\beta(t, \xi) e^{-t|\xi|^2} \partial^\beta \psi(\xi),$$

where the p_β are polynomials in t and ξ coming from differentiating the exponential. We have already shown uniform convergence of the first summand. For the terms in the second sum we first bound, for $t \leq 1$, $p_\beta(t, \xi) \leq C(1 + |\xi|^k)$ for some k , and then

$$t |\xi|^m |p_\beta(t, \xi)| e^{-t|\xi|^2} |\partial^\beta \psi(\xi)| \leq t |\xi|^m (1 + |\xi|^k) |\partial^\beta \psi(\xi)| \leq C \mathcal{N}_{2m+k}(\psi) t \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow 0} 0.$$

(b) Show rigorously that, if $u \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^+, L^1(\mathbb{R}^n))$ satisfies (IC) for some $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and also

$$\partial_t \langle u, \varphi \rangle = \langle \Delta u, \varphi \rangle \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

then u must be given by the formula (†) and in particular it is unique in this class.

Solution: Let $\hat{u}(t, x)$ be the Fourier transform of u in space; since $u(t, \cdot) \in L^1$ for each t , it follows that $\hat{u}(t, \cdot)$ is continuous for each t ; the continuous differentiability in t imply that $t \in \mathbb{R}^+ \mapsto \hat{u}(t, \cdot) \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is also C^1 , and in particular $\partial_t \hat{u}$ can be computed pointwise.

Fourier-transforming the equation, we get that

$$\langle \partial_t \hat{u}, \psi \rangle = \partial_t \langle \hat{u}, \psi \rangle = -\langle |\xi|^2 \hat{u}, \psi \rangle \quad \forall \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

so since $\hat{u}$ and $\partial_t \hat{u}$ are continuous we get that $\partial_t \hat{u}(t, \xi) = -|\xi|^2 \hat{u}(t, \xi)$ pointwise for all $t > 0$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Solving the ODE we have that $\hat{u}(t, \xi) = c(\xi) e^{-t|\xi|^2}$ for some constant $c(\xi)$ such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \hat{u}(t, \xi) = c(\xi)$. The initial condition becomes also

$$\langle c(\cdot), \psi \rangle = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \langle \hat{u}(t, \cdot), \psi \rangle = \langle \hat{f}, \psi \rangle,$$

from which it follows that $\hat{u}(t, \xi) = e^{-t|\xi|^2} \hat{f}(\xi)$. The equation (†) now follows from the formula for the convolution, and this determines u uniquely.