## Brownian Motion and Stochastic Calculus Exercise Sheet 1

Submit by 12:00 on Wednesday, February 26 via the course homepage.

**Exercise 1.1** (Monotone class theorem) Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space and  $X, Y : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  random variables. Use the monotone class theorem to show that a random variable Z is  $\sigma(X, Y)$ -measurable if and only if it is of the form Z = f(X, Y) for some (Borel)-measurable function  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ .

**Hint:** It may be helpful to start by assuming that Z is bounded.

**Solution 1.1** Since the random variable Z = f(X, Y) is  $\sigma(X, Y)$ -measurable for any measurable  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ , it only remains to prove the converse.

Define the sets  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  by

 $\mathcal{H} := \{ Z = f(X, Y) : Z \text{ bounded}, f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R} \text{ measurable} \}, \\ \mathcal{M} := \{ \mathbf{1}_{\{X \in A\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{Y \in B\}} : A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \}.$ 

We check that  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  satisfy the conditions of the monotone class theorem. It is clear that  $\mathcal{M}$  is closed under multiplication, and that  $\mathcal{H}$  is a real vector space of bounded functions that contains  $\mathcal{M}$  and the constant function 1. It remains to check that  $\mathcal{H}$  is closed under monotone bounded convergence. To this end, suppose that  $(Z_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$  is a nondecreasing sequence of nonnegative random variables where each  $Z_k$ is of the form  $Z_k = f_k(X, Y)$  for some measurable  $f_k : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ . Suppose moreover that  $Z := \sup_{k\in\mathbb{N}} Z_k = \lim_{k\to\infty} Z_k$  is bounded. Let C > 0 be an upper bound on Z, and define the function  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  by

$$f(x,y) := \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} f_k(x,y) \wedge C.$$

Then f is measurable and Z = f(X, Y), so that  $Z \in \mathcal{H}$ . As  $\sigma(\mathcal{M}) = \sigma(X, Y)$ , the monotone class theorem asserts that  $\mathcal{H}$  contains all bounded  $\sigma(X, Y)$ -measurable random variables. It now only remains to show that any (not necessarily bounded)  $\sigma(X, Y)$ -measurable random variable is of the form f(X, Y) for some measurable  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ .

So consider a  $\sigma(X, Y)$ -measurable random variable Z. By writing  $Z = Z^+ - Z^-$  and arguing for  $Z^+$  (and analogously for  $Z^-$ ), we may assume without loss of generality that  $Z \ge 0$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $Z^n := Z \wedge n$ . Then  $Z^n$  is  $\sigma(X, Y)$ -measurable

Updated: February 19, 2025

and bounded random variable, and so there exists measurable  $f^n : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  such that  $Z^n = f^n(X, Y)$ . By construction, we have  $Z^n \to Z$  pointwise. Moreover, the sequence  $(Z^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is increasing, so that  $f^n(X, Y) \leq f^{n+1}(X, Y)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus, by defining  $h^{n+1} := f^n \vee f^{n+1}$ , we have  $h^{n+1}(X, Y) = f^{n+1}(X, Y)$ . Now  $(h^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is an increasing sequence of functions, and thus  $h := \lim_{n \to \infty} h^n = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} h^n$  exists. As the  $h^n$  are measurable, so is h, and we have

$$h(X,Y) = \lim_{n \to \infty} h^n(X,Y) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f^n(X,Y) = \lim_{n \to \infty} Z^n = Z.$$

This completes the proof.

**Exercise 1.2** (Modifications and indistinguishability) Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space and assume that  $X = (X_t)_{t \ge 0}$  and  $Y = (Y_t)_{t \ge 0}$  are two stochastic processes on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ . Recall that two processes Z and Z' on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  are said to be modifications of each other if  $P[Z_t = Z'_t] = 1$  for each  $t \ge 0$ , while Z and Z' are indistinguishable if  $P[Z_t = Z'_t \text{ for all } t \ge 0] = 1$ .

- (a) Assume that X and Y are both P-a.s. right-continuous or both P-a.s. leftcontinuous. Show that the processes are modifications of each other if and only if they are indistinguishable.
- (b) Give an example showing that one of the implications in part (a) does not hold for general stochastic processes X and Y.

## Solution 1.2

(a) For a fixed  $t_0 \ge 0$ , we have

$$\{X_{t_0} = Y_{t_0}\} \supseteq \{X_t = Y_t \text{ for all } t \ge 0\}.$$

So if X and Y are indistinguishable, it follows that  $P[X_{t_0} = Y_{t_0}] = 1$ , so that X and Y are modifications of each other.

Conversely, suppose that X is a modification of Y. We need to show that if X and Y are both right-continuous, then they are indistinguishable (the proof for the left-continuous case is analogous). Consider the set

$$A := \bigcap_{t \in \mathbb{Q}_+} \{ \omega \in \Omega : X_t(\omega) = Y_t(\omega) \}$$
$$= \{ \omega \in \Omega : X_t(\omega) = Y_t(\omega) \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{Q}_+ \}.$$

Since X and Y are modification of each other and the above intersection is countable, it follows that P[A] = 1 (as the countable intersection of probability-1 events is a probability-1 event). Let B be a probability-1 event on which X and Y are both right-continuous, and define the probability-1 event C by

$$C := A \cap B$$
  
= {\omega \in \Omega : X\_t(\omega) = Y\_t(\omega) for all t \in \mathbb{Q}\_+, X\_.(\omega) and Y\_.(\omega) right-cts}.

Updated: February 19, 2025

2/5

Now fix  $\omega \in C$  and take some  $t_0 \ge 0$ . Since  $X_{\cdot}(\omega)$  and  $Y_{\cdot}(\omega)$  are rightcontinuous, then for some (any) decreasing sequence of rationals  $(t_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  with  $t_n \downarrow t_0$ , we have

$$X_{t_0}(\omega) = \lim_{n \to \infty} X_{t_n}(\omega) = \lim_{n \to \infty} Y_{t_n}(\omega) = Y_{t_0}(\omega),$$

where the second equality above follows from the fact that  $X_t(\omega) = Y_t(\omega)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{Q}_+$ . We have thus shown that

 $\{\omega \in \Omega : X_t(\omega) = Y_t(\omega) \text{ for all } t \ge 0\} \supseteq C,$ 

implying that  $P[X_t = Y_t \text{ for all } t \ge 0] = 1$ . (To be precise, we have shown that the complement of the set  $\{X_t = Y_t \text{ for all } t \ge 0\}$  is contained in a *P*-nullset and thus has outer *P*-measure 0.) This completes the proof.

(b) Take  $\Omega = [0, \infty)$ ,  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{B}([0, \infty))$  the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra, and P a probability measure with  $P[\{\omega\}] = 0$ ,  $\forall \omega \in \Omega$  (for instance, the exponential distribution).

Set  $X \equiv 0$  and

$$Y_t(\omega) = \begin{cases} 1, & \omega = t, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then for each  $t \ge 0$ , we have

$$P[X_t \neq Y_t] = P[Y_t = 1] = P[\{t\}] = 0$$

so that X and Y are modifications of each other. However,

 $P[X_t = Y_t \text{ for all } t \ge 0] = P[Y_t = 0 \text{ for all } t \ge 0] = P[\emptyset] = 0,$ 

and thus X and Y are not indistinguishable. Note here that Y is neither right-continuous nor left-continuous, as we should expect.

**Exercise 1.3** (Measurability of stochastic processes) Let  $X = (X_t)_{t \ge 0}$  be a stochastic process defined on a filtered probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \ge 0}, P)$ . The aim of this exercise is to show the following chain of implications:

X optional  $\Rightarrow$  X progressively measurable  $\Rightarrow$  X product-measurable and adapted.

- (a) Show that every progressively measurable process is product-measurable and adapted.
- (b) Assume that X is adapted and *every* path of X is right-continuous. Show that X is progressively measurable.

*Remark:* The same conclusion holds true if every path of X is left-continuous.

*Hint:* For fixed  $t \ge 0$ , consider the approximating sequence of processes  $Y^n$  on  $\Omega \times [0, t]$  given by  $Y_0^n = X_0$  and  $Y_u^n = \sum_{k=0}^{2^n - 1} \mathbf{1}_{(tk2^{-n}, t(k+1)2^{-n}]}(u) X_{t(k+1)2^{-n}}$  for  $u \in (0, t]$ .

Updated: February 19, 2025

3 / 5

- (c) Recall that the optional  $\sigma$ -field  $\mathcal{O}$  is generated by the class  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$  of all adapted processes whose paths are all RCLL. Show that  $\mathcal{O}$  is also generated by the subclass  $\mathcal{M}$  of all *bounded* processes in  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ .
- (d) Use the monotone class theorem to show that every optional process is progressively measurable.

## Solution 1.3

(a) Suppose that X is progressively measurable, so that for each  $t \ge 0$ ,  $X|_{\Omega \times [0,t]}$  is  $\mathcal{F}_t \otimes \mathcal{B}[0,t]$ -measurable. For fixed  $t \ge 0$ , the map

$$i_t : (\Omega, \mathcal{F}_t) \to (\Omega \times [0, t], \mathcal{F}_t \otimes \mathcal{B}[0, t]), \\ \omega \mapsto (\omega, t)$$

is measurable, and thus  $X_t = X \circ i_t$  is  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -measurable. Moreover, the processes  $X^n$  defined by  $X_t^n := X|_{\Omega \times [0,n]} \mathbf{1}_{[0,n]}(t), t \ge 0$ , are  $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{B}[0, \infty)$ -measurable. Since  $X^n \to X$  pointwise (in  $(t, \omega)$ ) as  $n \to \infty$ , also X is  $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{B}[0, \infty)$ -measurable.

- (b) Fix  $t \ge 0$  and consider the sequence of processes  $Y^n$  on  $\Omega \times [0, t]$  as in the hint. By construction, each  $Y^n$  is  $\mathcal{F}_t \otimes \mathcal{B}[0, t]$ -measurable. Since X is right-continuous, we have  $Y^n \to X|_{\Omega \times [0,t]}$  pointwise as  $n \to \infty$ , and thus  $X|_{\Omega \times [0,t]}$  is also  $\mathcal{F}_t \otimes \mathcal{B}[0, t]$ -measurable, as required.
- (c) Let X be adapted with all paths being RCLL. For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , consider the processes  $X^n := (X \wedge n) \vee (-n)$ . Each  $X^n$  is bounded and RCLL, and thus  $\sigma(\mathcal{M})$ -measurable. But as  $X^n \to X$  pointwise (in  $((t, \omega))$ , X is also  $\sigma(\mathcal{M})$ -measurable. It follows immediately that  $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{M})$ , and as  $\sigma(\mathcal{M}) \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ , this completes the proof.
- (d) It suffices to show that all bounded optional processes are progressively measurable, since for a general optional process X, we then have that  $X^n := X \mathbf{1}_{\{|X| \leq n\}}$ , a bounded optional process, is progressively measurable, which then implies that X is also progressively measurable because  $X^n \to X$  pointwise.

So let  $\mathcal{H}$  denote the real vector space of bounded and progressively measurable processes. By part (b), we have  $\mathcal{H} \supseteq \mathcal{M}$ , and of course  $\mathcal{H}$  contains the constant process 1 and is closed under monotone bounded convergence. Also,  $\mathcal{M}$  is closed under multiplication. The monotone class theorem then yields that every bounded  $\sigma(\mathcal{M})$ -measurable process is contained in  $\mathcal{H}$ . By part (c), we can then conclude that every bounded optional process is progressively measurable. This completes the proof.

**Exercise 1.4** (Transformations of Brownian motion) Let  $W = (W_t)_{t \ge 0}$  be a Brownian motion.

- (a) Show that  $(-W_t)_{t\geq 0}$  is a Brownian motion.
- (b) Show that for any  $c \neq 0$ ,  $(cW_{t/c^2})_{t \geq 0}$  is also a Brownian motion.

## Solution 1.4

(a) We have  $P[-W_0 = 0] = P[W_0 = 0] = 1$ , so that (BM1) holds. Next, for any fixed  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $0 \leq t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n$ , the increments  $W_{t_i} - W_{t_{i-1}}$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ , are independent and distributed  $\mathcal{N}(0, t_i - t_{i-1})$ . We then have that the increments

$$-W_{t_i} - (-W_{t_{i-1}}) = -(W_{t_i} - W_{t_{i-1}})$$

are independent (as the map  $x \mapsto -x$  is measurable on  $\mathbb{R}$ , and independence is preserved under measurable transformations) and  $\sim \mathcal{N}(0, t_i - t_{i-1})$ . Thus (BM2') holds. Lastly, *P*-almost all trajectories  $t \mapsto -W_t(\omega)$  are continuous, since for any  $\omega \in \Omega$  with  $t \mapsto W_t(\omega)$  being continuous, we also have that  $t \mapsto -W_t(\omega)$  is continuous, and hence (BM3) holds. We thus have that -W is a Brownian motion.

(b) We have  $P[cW_{0/c^2} = 0] = P[cW_0 = 0] = P[W_0 = 0] = 1$ , so that (BM1) holds. Next fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $0 \le t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n$ . We can write

$$cW_{t_i/c^2} - cW_{t_{i-1}/c^2} = c(W_{t_i/c^2} - W_{t_{i-1}/c^2}).$$

We know that  $W_{t_i/c^2} - W_{t_{i-1}/c^2} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, t_i/c^2 - t_{i-1}/c^2)$ , and thus we have  $cW_{t_i/c^2} - cW_{t_{i-1}/c^2} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, t_i - t_{i-1})$ . Moreover, the increments  $W_{t_i/c^2} - W_{t_{i-1}/c^2}$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ , are independent (as  $0 \leq t_0/c^2 < t_1/c^2 < \cdots < t_n/c^2$ ), and thus so are  $cW_{t_i/c^2} - cW_{t_{i-1}/c^2}$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ , since the map  $x \mapsto cx$  is measurable on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Thus (BM2') holds. Lastly, for each  $\omega$  with  $t \mapsto W_t(\omega)$  continuous, we have that  $t \mapsto cW_{t/c^2}(\omega)$  is also continuous, as it is a composition of continuous functions. Thus (BM3) holds, completing the proof.

Updated: February 19, 2025