## Brownian Motion and Stochastic Calculus Exercise Sheet 8

Submit by 12:00 on Wednesday, April 16 via the course homepage.

**Exercise 8.1** Suppose  $M \in \mathcal{M}_{0,\text{loc}}$ .

- (a) Show that if there exists an integrable random variable Z with  $|M_t| \leq Z$  for all  $t \geq 0$ , then M is a uniformly integrable martingale.
- (b) Suppose in addition that M is continuous. Show that the sequence of stopping times  $(\tau_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  defined by

$$\tau_n := \inf\{t \ge 0 : |M_t| \ge n\}$$

forms a localising sequence for M, and each  $\tau_n$  is a stopping time for the (right-continuous and complete) filtration  $\mathbb{F}^M$  generated by M.

## Solution 8.1

(a) First note that M is adapted because it is a local martingale, and it is integrable because it is dominated by the integrable random variable Z. Now let  $(\tau_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a localising sequence for M. Fix  $0 \leq s \leq t$  and write

$$E[M_{\tau_n \wedge t} \,|\, \mathcal{F}_s] = M_{\tau_n \wedge s}.$$

Since  $M_{\tau_n \wedge s} \to M_s$  and  $M_{\tau_n \wedge t} \to M_t$  *P*-a.s., the conditional dominated convergence theorem gives

$$E[M_t \,|\, \mathcal{F}_s] = M_s.$$

Thus M is a martingale. To see explicitly that it is uniformly integrable, we write

$$\lim_{K \to \infty} \sup_{t \ge 0} E[|M_t| \mathbf{1}_{\{|M_t| \ge K\}}] \leqslant \lim_{K \to \infty} E[Z \mathbf{1}_{\{Z \ge K\}}] = 0$$

by the dominated convergence theorem. This completes the proof.

(b) Since M is RCLL, we have  $\tau_n \uparrow \infty P$ -a.s. It remains to show that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the stopped process  $M^{\tau_n}$  is a martingale. By the construction of  $\tau_n$  and because M is continuous, we have  $|M^{\tau_n}| \leq n$  so that  $M^{\tau_n}$  is bounded. By Exercise 7.1(b),  $M^{\tau_n}$  is a local martingale. So by part (a), we have that  $M^{\tau_n}$  is a (uniformly integrable) martingale. Thus  $(\tau_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a localising sequence for M. Since M is continuous,  $\tau_n$  is an  $\mathbb{F}^M$ -stopping time. This completes the proof.

Updated: April 9, 2025

1 / 5

**Exercise 8.2** Fix a filtration  $\mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ . For a filtration  $\mathbb{G}$ , we let  $\mathcal{M}_{0,\text{loc}}^c(\mathbb{G})$  denote the space of continuous local  $\mathbb{G}$ -martingales null at zero. In all four parts below we assume the processes M and N are independent.

- (a) Suppose M and N be martingales with respect to their natural filtrations  $\mathbb{F}^M$  and  $\mathbb{F}^N$ , respectively. Show that MN is a martingale with respect to its natural filtration  $\mathbb{F}^{MN}$ .
- (b) Suppose  $M, N \in \mathcal{M}_{0,\text{loc}}^c(\mathbb{F})$ . Show that also  $MN \in \mathcal{M}_{0,\text{loc}}^c(\mathbb{F})$ .
- (c) Suppose  $M \in \mathcal{M}_{0,\text{loc}}^c(\mathbb{F}^M)$  and  $N \in \mathcal{M}_{0,\text{loc}}^c(\mathbb{F}^N)$ . Show that  $MN \in \mathcal{M}_{0,\text{loc}}^c(\mathbb{F}^{MN})$ .
- (d) Suppose M and N are continuous  $\mathbb{F}$ -martingales. Show that MN is also an  $\mathbb{F}$ -martingale.

Remark: There is an example of a filtration  $\mathbb{F}$  and (not continuous) independent bounded  $\mathbb{F}$ -martingales M and N both null at zero such that MN is not a local  $\mathbb{F}$ -martingale.

**Solution 8.2** We follow many of the arguments in the paper *Some particular problems on martingale theory* by A.S. Cherny, which can be found online at http://alexanderchernyy.com/pmt.pdf.

In what follows, we define for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the random times  $\tau_n$  and  $\sigma_n$  by

 $\tau_n := \inf\{t \ge 0 : |M_t| \ge n\} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_n := \inf\{t \ge 0 : |N_t| \ge n\}.$ 

(a) Clearly MN is  $\mathbb{F}^{MN}$ -adapted, and it is integrable because

$$E[|M_tN_t|] = E[|M_t|]E[|N_t|] < \infty$$

by independence. It remains to check the martingale property. So fix  $0 \leq s \leq t$ and pick  $A \in \mathcal{F}_s^M$  and  $B \in \mathcal{F}_s^N$ . We use independence, the martingale property of M and N and again independence to compute

$$E[M_t N_t \mathbf{1}_{A \cap B}] = E[M_t \mathbf{1}_A N_t \mathbf{1}_B]$$
  
=  $E[M_t \mathbf{1}_A] E[N_t \mathbf{1}_B]$   
=  $E[M_s \mathbf{1}_A] E[N_s \mathbf{1}_B]$   
=  $E[M_s N_s \mathbf{1}_{A \cap B}].$ 

So setting  $\mathcal{D} := \{A \cap B : A \in \mathcal{F}_s^M, B \in \mathcal{F}_s^N\}$ , we have that

$$\mathcal{D} \subseteq \{ C \in \mathcal{F}_s^M \lor \mathcal{F}_s^N : E[M_t N_t \mathbf{1}_C] = E[M_s N_s \mathbf{1}_C] \} =: \mathcal{G}.$$

Note that  $\mathcal{D}$  is closed under finite intersections and contains  $\Omega$ . Also, the dominated convergence theorem shows that  $\mathcal{G}$  is closed under increasing limits, and clearly  $\mathcal{G}$  is closed under differences (meaning that whenever  $C, D \in \mathcal{G}$  with

Updated: April 9, 2025

2/5

 $C \subseteq D$ , we have  $D \setminus C \in \mathcal{G}$ ). It follows by the monotone class theorem for sets (see e.g. [Protter, Theorem 6.2]) that  $\sigma(\mathcal{D}) \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ . But we have  $\sigma(\mathcal{D}) = \mathcal{F}_s^M \vee \mathcal{F}_s^N$ , which means that

$$E[M_t N_t \,|\, \mathcal{F}_s^M \lor \mathcal{F}_s^N] = M_s N_s.$$

We have thus shown that MN is an  $\mathbb{F}^M \vee \mathbb{F}^N$ -martingale. As  $\mathbb{F}^{MN} \subseteq \mathbb{F}^M \vee \mathbb{F}^N$ , it follows from the tower law that MN is also an  $\mathbb{F}^{MN}$ -martingale, as required.

(b) By Exercise 8.1(b) (which is applicable because M and N are continuous),  $(\tau_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  and  $(\sigma_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  are localising sequences for M and N, respectively, so that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $M^{\tau_n}$  and  $N^{\sigma_n}$  are bounded  $\mathbb{F}$ -martingales. Moreover, since  $\tau_n$  and  $\sigma_n$  are  $\mathbb{F}^{M_-}$  and  $\mathbb{F}^N$ -stopping times, respectively, it can be seen from the independence of M and N that  $M^{\tau_n}$  and  $N^{\sigma_n}$  are also independent. So  $M^{\tau_n}$  and  $N^{\sigma_n}$  are independent  $\mathbb{F}$ -martingales, and thus by the tower law they are also independent martingales with respect to their natural filtrations  $\mathbb{F}^{M^{\tau_n}}$  and  $\mathbb{F}^{N^{\sigma_n}}$ , respectively. So by part (a),  $M^{\tau_n}N^{\sigma_n}$  is an  $\mathbb{F}^{M^{\tau_n}N^{\sigma_n}}$ -martingale. Also,  $M^{\tau_n}N^{\sigma_n}$  is continuous because M and N are. We therefore have that  $\langle M^{\tau_n}, N^{\sigma_n} \rangle \equiv 0$  when computed in  $\mathbb{F}^{M^{\tau_n}N^{\sigma_n}}$ . But as  $\langle M^{\tau_n}, N^{\sigma_n} \rangle$  can be computed pathwise, we also have  $\langle M^{\tau_n}, N^{\sigma_n} \rangle$  when computed in  $\mathbb{F}$ . We thus have

$$\langle M^{\tau_n}, N^{\sigma_n} \rangle = \langle M, N \rangle^{\tau_n \wedge \sigma_n} \equiv 0, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

As  $\tau_n \wedge \sigma_n \uparrow \infty$  as  $n \to \infty$ , we have  $\langle M, N \rangle \equiv 0$  in  $\mathbb{F}$ . It therefore follows that  $MN \in \mathcal{M}^c_{0,\text{loc}}(\mathbb{F})$ , as required.

- (c) Just as in part (b), we can see that  $M^{\tau_n}$  and  $N^{\sigma_n}$  are independent  $\mathbb{F}^{M^{\tau_n}}$  and  $\mathbb{F}^{N^{\sigma_n}}$ -martingales, respectively. We then argue the same as part (b), but with  $\mathbb{F}$  replaced with  $\mathbb{F}^{MN}$ .
- (d) Assume first that  $M_0 = N_0 = 0$ . Then we have  $M^{\tau_n}, N^{\sigma_n} \in \mathcal{M}^c_{0,\text{loc}}(\mathbb{F})$ , and since  $M^{\tau_n}$  and  $N^{\sigma_n}$  are independent (by the same reasoning as in part (b)), we can apply part (b) to get that  $M^{\tau_n}N^{\sigma_n} \in \mathcal{M}^c_{0,\text{loc}}(\mathbb{F})$ . As  $M^{\tau_n}N^{\sigma_n}$  is also bounded by the construction of  $\tau_n$  and  $\sigma_n$ ,  $M^{\tau_n}N^{\sigma_n}$  is a bounded  $\mathbb{F}$ -martingale. So fixing  $0 \leq s \leq t$ , we have

$$E[M_t^{\tau_n} N_t^{\sigma_n} \,|\, \mathcal{F}_s] = M_s^{\tau_n} N_s^{\sigma_n},$$

or equivalently,

$$E[M_t^{\tau_n} N_t^{\sigma_n} \mathbf{1}_A] = E[M_s^{\tau_n} N_s^{\sigma_n} \mathbf{1}_A], \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathcal{F}_s.$$
(1)

Now note that as  $n \to \infty$ ,  $M_t^{\tau_n} \to M_t$  *P*-a.s. By the stopping theorem, we have  $M_t^{\tau_n} = E[M_t | \mathcal{F}_{\tau_n \wedge t}]$ , and thus the family  $(M_t^{\tau_n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is uniformly integrable. Therefore we also have that  $M_t^{\tau_n} \to M_t$  in  $L^1(\Omega)$ . Similarly we have  $N_t^{\tau_n} \to N_t$  in  $L^1(\Omega)$ . We now write, using independence of M and N,

$$\begin{split} E[|M_t^{\tau_n} N_t^{\sigma_n} - M_t N_t|] &\leq E[|M_t^{\tau_n}| |N_t^{\sigma_n} - N_t|] + E[|M_t^{\tau_n} - M_t| |N_t|] \\ &= E[|M_t^{\tau_n}|] \|N_t^{\sigma_n} - N_t\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + \|M_t^{\tau_n} - M_t\|_{L^1(\Omega)} E[|N_t|] \\ &\leq C \|N_t^{\sigma_n} - N_t\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + \|M_t^{\tau_n} - M_t\|_{L^1(\Omega)} E[|N_t|], \end{split}$$

Updated: April 9, 2025

3 / 5

where in the last step we set  $C := \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E[|M_t^{\tau_n}]$ , which we know is finite as  $(M_t^{\tau_n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is uniformly integrable. We have thus shown that  $M_t^{\tau_n} N_t^{\sigma_n} \to M_t N_t$  in  $L^1(\Omega)$ . Similarly we also have  $M_s^{\tau_n} N_s^{\sigma_n} \to M_s N_s$  in  $L^1(\Omega)$ . So for fixed  $A \in \mathcal{F}_s$ , we also have  $M_t^{\tau_n} N_t^{\sigma_n} \mathbf{1}_A \to M_t N_t \mathbf{1}_A$  and  $M_s^{\tau_n} N_s^{\sigma_n} \mathbf{1}_A \to M_s N_s \mathbf{1}_A$  in  $L^1(\Omega)$ . Thus we may take the limit as  $n \to \infty$  in (1) to get

$$E[M_t N_t \mathbf{1}_A] = E[M_s N_s \mathbf{1}_A], \text{ for all } A \in \mathcal{F}_s,$$

so that  $E[M_tN_t | \mathcal{F}_s] = M_sN_s$ . As  $0 \leq s \leq t$  were chosen arbitrarily, we have thus shown that MN is an  $\mathbb{F}$ -martingale.

Finally, for the general case when  $M_0$  and  $N_0$  are not necessarily 0, we define the  $\mathbb{F}$ -martingales  $\widetilde{M}$  and  $\widetilde{N}$  by  $\widetilde{M}_t := M_t - M_0$  and  $\widetilde{N}_t := N_t - N_0$ . Then we write

$$M_t N_t = M_0 N_0 + M_0 \widetilde{N}_t + \widetilde{M}_t N_0 + \widetilde{M}_t \widetilde{N}_t.$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

From above we know that  $\widetilde{MN}$  is an  $\mathbb{F}$ -martingale, and so from (2) it can be easily seen that MN is also an  $\mathbb{F}$ -martingale, completing the proof.

**Exercise 8.3** Let W be a Brownian motion with respect to its natural filtration. By using Itô's formula, show that the processes  $M^{(1)}, M^{(2)}, M^{(3)}$  given by

$$M_t^{(1)} = e^{t/2} \cos W_t, \quad M_t^{(2)} = tW_t - \int_0^t W_u \,\mathrm{d}u, \quad M_t^{(3)} = W_t^3 - 3tW_t$$

are martingales.

**Solution 8.3** We can express  $M^{(1)}, M^{(2)}, M^{(3)}$  in the form

$$M_t^{(1)} = f^{(1)}(t, W_t), \quad M_t^{(2)} = f^{(2)}\left(t, W_t, \int_0^t W_u \,\mathrm{d}u\right), \quad M_t^{(3)} = f^{(3)}(t, W_t),$$

where

$$f^{(1)}(t,w) = e^{t/2}\cos w, \quad f^{(2)}(t,w,x) = tw - x, \quad f^{(3)}(t,w) = w^3 - 3tw$$

are  $C^2$  functions. We note that the processes I and X defined by  $I_t = t$  and  $X_t = \int_0^t W_u \, du$ , respectively, are continuous and have finite variation, while  $\langle W \rangle_t = t$ . Therefore we have by Itô's formula that

$$\begin{split} M_t^{(1)} &= M_0^{(1)} + \int_0^t \frac{\partial f^{(1)}}{\partial t}(s, W_s) \,\mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t \frac{\partial f^{(1)}}{\partial w}(s, W_s) \,\mathrm{d}W_s + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \frac{\partial^2 f^{(1)}}{\partial w^2}(s, W_s) \,\mathrm{d}s \\ &= 1 + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t e^{s/2} \cos W_s \,\mathrm{d}s - \int_0^t e^{s/2} \sin W_s \,\mathrm{d}W_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t e^{s/2} \cos W_s \,\mathrm{d}s \\ &= 1 - \int_0^t e^{s/2} \sin W_s \,\mathrm{d}W_s, \end{split}$$

Updated: April 9, 2025

$$\begin{split} M_t^{(2)} &= M_0^{(2)} + \int_0^t \frac{\partial f^{(2)}}{\partial t} (s, W_s, X_s) \, \mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t \frac{\partial f^{(2)}}{\partial w} (s, W_s, X_s) \, \mathrm{d}W_s \\ &+ \int_0^t \frac{\partial f^{(2)}}{\partial x} (s, W_s, X_s) \, \mathrm{d}X_s + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \frac{\partial^2 f^{(2)}}{\partial w^2} (s, W_s, X_s) \, \mathrm{d}s \\ &= \int_0^t W_s \, \mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t s \, \mathrm{d}W_s - X_t \\ &= \int_0^t s \, \mathrm{d}W_s, \end{split}$$
$$\begin{aligned} M_t^{(3)} &= M_0^{(3)} + \int_0^t \frac{\partial f^{(3)}}{\partial t} (s, W_s) \, \mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t \frac{\partial f^{(3)}}{\partial w} (s, W_s) \, \mathrm{d}W_s + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \frac{\partial^2 f^{(3)}}{\partial w^2} (s, W_s) \, \mathrm{d}s \\ &= -3 \int_0^t W_s \, \mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t (3W_s^2 - 3s) \, \mathrm{d}W_s + 3 \int_0^t W_s \, \mathrm{d}s \\ &= \int_0^t (3W_s^2 - 3s) \, \mathrm{d}W_s. \end{split}$$

Since the integrands are continuous, hence locally bounded, we immediately get that  $M^{(1)}, M^{(2)}, M^{(3)}$  are local martingales. To show that they are martingales, remember that  $W_t^* \stackrel{\text{(d)}}{=} |W_t|$ . Since all moments of the Gaussian distribution are finite, the same is true of  $W_t^*$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{split} E\left[\int_0^T e^s (\sin W_s)^2 \,\mathrm{d}\langle W \rangle_s\right] &= E\left[\int_0^T e^s (\sin W_s)^2 \,\mathrm{d}s\right] \leqslant \int_0^T e^s \,\mathrm{d}s = e^T - 1 < \infty, \\ E\left[\int_0^T s^2 \,\mathrm{d}\langle W \rangle_s\right] &= \int_0^T s^2 \,\mathrm{d}s = T^3/3 < \infty, \\ E\left[\int_0^T (3W_s^2 - 3s)^2 \,\mathrm{d}\langle W \rangle_s\right] &= E\left[\int_0^T (3W_s^2 - 3s)^2 \,\mathrm{d}s\right] \leqslant TE[(3(W_T^*)^2 + 3T)^2] < \infty, \end{split}$$

which shows that  $(M^{(1)})^T, (M^{(2)})^T, (M^{(3)})^T \in \mathcal{H}^{2,c}$  for any T > 0. In particular,  $M^{(1)}, M^{(2)}$  and  $M^{(3)}$  are martingales, as required.

Updated: April 9, 2025