## Introduction to Mathematical Finance Exercise sheet 10

**Exercise 10.1** Let  $U : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be a strictly increasing utility function and consider a general arbitrage-free market in finite discrete time, with horizon  $T \in \mathbb{N}$  and with  $\mathcal{F}_0$  trivial. Recall that  $\mathcal{C} = G_T(\Theta) - L^0_+$ .

(a) Show that an optimizer for

$$u(x) = \sup_{\vartheta \in \Theta} E\left[U(x + G_T(\vartheta))\right]$$

can be obtained from an optimizer for

$$u_{\mathcal{C}}(x) = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{C}} E\left[U(x+f)\right],$$

and vice versa.

(b) Denote by  $\mathbb{P}_a$  the set of absolutely continuous martingale measures. Show that if  $\Omega$  is finite and  $f \in L^0$ , then

$$f \in \mathcal{C} \iff E_Q[f] \le 0, \quad \forall Q \in \mathbb{P}_a$$

**Exercise 10.2** Consider a general market in finite discrete time with horizon  $T \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $U : (0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$  be an increasing and concave utility function, and denote by u the indirect utility from maximizing the utility of final wealth, i.e.,

$$u(x) = \sup_{\theta \in \Theta_{adm}^{x}} E\left[U\left(x + G_{T}(\vartheta)\right)\right],$$

for x > 0, where  $\Theta_{adm}^x = \{ \vartheta \in \Theta : \vartheta \text{ is } x \text{-admissible} \}.$ 

- (a) Assume that  $u(x_0) < \infty$  for some  $x_0 > 0$ . Show that u is increasing, concave and  $u(x) < \infty$  for all x > 0.
- (b) Show that if U is unbounded from above and the market admits an arbitrage opportunity, then  $u \equiv +\infty$ . What happens if U is not unbounded from above?

## Exercise 10.3

(a) Suppose that  $U: (0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$  is strictly increasing, strictly concave and  $C^1$ . Show that for any  $Q \in \mathbb{P}_e$ , we have

$$\sup_{f \in L^0} E\left[U(f) - f\lambda \frac{dQ}{dP}\right] = E\left[\sup_{z>0} \left(U(z) - z\lambda \frac{dQ}{dP}\right)\right].$$

$$1 / 2$$

(b) Using the notations from Theorem IV.0.5 and Theorem IV.0.3, show that  $Q^* = Q^*(\lambda^*)$ , i.e., the measure  $Q^*$  constructed in the proof of Theorem IV.0.5 coincides with the optimal  $Q^*(\lambda^*)$  for the dual problem in Theorem IV.0.3 with the parameter  $\lambda = \lambda^*$  from the proof of Theorem IV.0.5.